

SENATE COMMITTEE ON
JUDICIARY

May 12, 1993 Hearing Room C
1:00 p.m. Tapes

MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. Dick Springer, Chair
 Sen. Jeannette Hamby
 Sen. Karsten Rasmussen
 Sen. Bob Shoemaker
 Sen. Gordon Smith
 Sen. Catherine Webber

MEMBERS EXCUSED: Sen.

STAFF PRESENT: Bill Taylor, Committee Counsel
 Kirk Bailey, Committee Assistant

ISSUES DISCUSSED: Public Hearing & Work Session on HB 2596, HB 2261, HB
225 9,
 HB 2256, HB 2254, HB 2253, HB 2251, HB 2391
 Work Session on HB 2216

[--- Unable To Translate Graphic ---]

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize
statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in
quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete
contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

[--- Unable To Translate Graphic ---]

TAPE , SIDE A

003 CHAIR SPRINGER: Opens the hearing at

Public Hearing & Work Session

HB 2256: Expands category of persons who may draw blood for HIV
testing
 following criminal conviction.

WITNESSES:

MARIE BELL, TASK FORCE ON SEX OFFENSES AGAINST CHILDREN
FRED AVERA, OREGON DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S ASSOCIATION
KAREN SCHEFFER, OREGON ASSOCIATION OF NATUROPATHIC PHYSICIANS

MARIE BELL: Submits and reviews written testimony in support of the
bill
(EXHIBIT).

ham: medical witness?
csp: reps from oada and oanp.

ham: probability of contracting aids virus.
bell: no answer.

LLOYD ATHERTON, HEALTH DIVISION: comments generally on infection time of HIV.
NO opposition to one year testing requirement.

FRED AVERA: Submits and reviews written testimony in support of the bill
(EXHIBIT).

KAREN SCHEFFER: Submits and reviews written testimony with amendments in support of the bill (EXHIBIT).

HB 2216:

csp: calls witnesses for HB 2216 in conjunction with HB 2256.

TOM HART, ODAA: Testifies in support of the bill. Reviews bill generally.

csp: -a3 amendments?

tom: reviewed and no objection.

shoe:

committee clarifies amendments.

csp: requests witness reidentify himself.

csp: objections to combining the bills?

hart: that is appropriate.

JANE EDWARDS, CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES DIVISION: Testifies in support of the bill. No objections to the amendments.

csp: comments generally on combining the bills.

HB 2391: Modifies existing law regarding crimes of unlawful sound recordings
and unlawful videotape recordings.

WITNESSES:

TED HUGHES

RALPH VAUGHAN, RECORDING INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA

TED HUGHES: Testifies in support of the bill.

RALPH VAUGHAN: Submits and reviews written testimony in support of the bill
(EXHIBIT).

ted: notes bill is the same as bill last session.

web: fiscal impact on prison space.

ralph: no impact projection.

tay: reviews impact statement from house side.

>minimal costs are estimated.

ralph: reviews example experience on similar statutes in other states.

web: class a misdemeanor not effective?

ralph: currently that is classification and it is not effective.
ted: refers to similar crimes noted in testimony.

MOTION: csp: do pass
VOTE: aye.

csp:

csp:

HB 2261: Modifies drug-free school zone law.

WITNESSES:
FRED AVERA, OREGON DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S ASSOCIATION

FRED AVERA: Submits and reviews written testimony in support of the
bill
(EXHIBIT).

csp: holds bill for further testimony and passage.

HB 2253: Removes requirement that district attorney's file
statistical
reports concerning mental disease of defect defenses.

WITNESSES:

FRED AVERA: Submits and reviews written testimony in support of the
bill
(EXHIBIT).

csp: holds for further testimony and passage.

HB 2251: Clarifies procedure for charging person with offense.

WITNESSES:

FRED AVERA: Submits and reviews written testimony in support of the
bill
(EXHIBIT).

shoe:

MOTION: shoe: moves
VOTE: aye.

shoe:

shoe:

shoe: resumes consideration of HB 2261 and HB 2253.
>calls Ross Shepard.

ROSS SHEPARD, OREGON CRIMINAL DEFENSE LAWYERS ASSOCIATION: Testifies
in

support of both bills.

HB 2261: Modifies drug-free school zone law.

MOTION: csp: moves

VOTE: aye

csp:

shoe:

HB 2253: Removes requirement that district attorney's file statistical reports
concerning mental disease of defect defenses.

MOTION: csp: moves

VOTE: aye.

csp:

smi:

HB 2596: Allows federal officer to arrest person when officer is aiding state
or local peace officer.

WITNESSES:

PIERCE MCINTOSH, US POSTAL INSPECTOR

CHARLES MATHEWS, FBI

PAUL DONHEFFNER, MARINE BOARD

FRED AVERA, OREGON DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S ASSOCIATION

BOB KEYSER, OCPA

JOHN ELMS, POSTAL INSPECTOR

WILLIAM WARTHER, OREGON SPORTING GOODS AND FIREARM

PIERCE MCINTOSH: Submits and reviews written testimony in support of the bill
(EXHIBIT).

JOHN ELMS: Submits and reviews written testimony in support of the bill
(EXHIBIT).

CHARLES MATHEWS: Testifies in support of the bill.

csp: training or certification by bpsst? What is that training? Do they
need to be familiarized with oregon law.
pierce: yes. training is under discussion. Do not expect any cost for
training because it would be part of current training.
elms: reviews training issue further. Federal training programs have been
reviewed with state officials.
pierce: reviewed oregon statutes closely. basic policies in oregon
match
federal law closely.
shoe: sub 5, page 2, lines 4-6. What is that about?

elms: issue raised in initial efforts. Reviews effect of the provision.
shoe: originating agency has authority to make arrest?
elms: yes.
shoe:
elms: clarifies.
shoe: not intended to give authority to make arrest when warrant required?
elms: no.

shoe: doesn't amendment give feds broader authority than local police.
elms: no.
shoe: what is local authority?
mathews: reviews probable cause issue.
elms: can arrest for probable cause without crime in his presence.
shoe: is that true even if there is time to get warrant?
elms: technical issue. Preferable to have warrant, but perhaps not necessary.
shoe: could he arrest on probable cause?
mathews: could under federal authority.
shoe: wants to assure authority is not broader than state.

web: how many federal agencies have law enforcement authority.
pierce: Reviews federal agencies.
elms: 500-1000 agents.
web: how many agencies?
elms: not sure
mathews: not sure.
web: do they all receive bpsst equivalent training.
pierce: Yes, but there are some exceptions.
web:
pierce: Reviews federal investigator training programs.
elms: refers to package presented to bpsst.
web:
elms:

WILLIAM WARTHER: Submits and reviews written testimony in opposition with amendments to the bill (EXHIBIT).

FRED AVERA: Testifies in support of the bill. Reviews amendments to the bill. Reviews current law on probable cause and arrest.
csp: requests avera and proponents meet and review possible amendments.

shoe: respond to gun dealer amendments to line 14. Opinion?
avera: don't see purpose for that.
>No greater protection provided by the amendment.

shoe: reason for amendment relates to chain of command issues and accountability.
avera: understand concern. Questions how realistic it is. Never had problems dealing with federal agencies.

PAUL DONHEFFNER: Testifies in support of the bill.

BOB KEYSER: Testifies in support of the bill.

web: if you could select three agencies, who would you choose?

bob: easier three years ago. Now batf, fbi, postal inspectors, etc are involved. Perhaps military arms could be excluded. Hard to pick.

csp: carries bill over for further discussion and amendments.

HB 2254: Defines terms in murder statute.

WITNESSES:

FRED AVERA, ODAA

PETE GARTLAN, OCDLA

DAN KELLEY, BEAVERTON POLICE

SEN. HAMBY: Submits amendments to the bill (EXHIBIT).

FRED AVERA: Submits and reviews written testimony in support of the bill (EXHIBIT). Testifies in support of the bill. Reviewed amendments and support.

csp: comments on committee business.

DAN KELLEY: Testifies in support of the bill.

shoe: amendment wouldn't limit to murder?

dan: that was my intent to limit.

shoe:

dan: thinks there are some problems with the amendments. Intent to apply to murder by abuse cases.

PETER GARTLAN: Submits written testimony with amendments to the bill (EXHIBIT).

csp: Recesses hearing at 3:00 pm, until 5:30 pm in Hearing Rm. C.

SENATE COMMITTEE ON
JUDICIARY

May 12, 1993 Hearing Room C
5:30 p.m. Tapes 156 - 158

MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. Dick Springer, Chair
 Sen. Bob Shoemaker, Vice-Chair
 Sen. Jeannette Hamby
 Sen. Karsten Rasmussen
 Sen. Catherine Webber

MEMBER EXCUSED: Sen. Gordon Smith

VISITING MEMBERS: Sen. Tricia Smith
 Rep. Kate Brown

STAFF PRESENT: Karen Quigley, Legislative Counsel
 Kate Wrightson, Committee Administrator
 Ellen L. Senecal, Committee Assistant

ISSUES DISCUSSED: Public Hearing & Possible Work Session on SCR 1, SB
904 ,
SB 1113

Work Session on SB 499 & SB 500

[--- Unable To Translate Graphic ---]

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize
statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in
quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete
contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

[--- Unable To Translate Graphic ---]

TAPE 156, SIDE A

003 CHAIR SPRINGER: Opens hearing at 5:40 p.m.

WORK SESSION
SB 499 & SB 500

008 PHIL KEISLING, SECRETARY OF STATE: Testifies in support of SB
499
amendments.

- We recommend dropping SB 500 and focusing on SB 499.

037 LES SITES, SECRETARY OF STATE'S OFFICE: Testifies in support of SB
499 -3
amendments.

- You have been told that this bill will wreck government, business
and private lives.

094 SEN. WEBBER: The law around public records is in bad shape.
I'm

confused over the law of public records. It isn't possible to keep information private. Is there anything in SB 500 that can be resurrected?

123 SEN. SHOEMAKER: I agree with Sen. Webber. Certain, but not all, records should be available.

152 KEISLING: I'd be happy to continue working with SB 500. But, I don't see that at this time.

181 SEN. HAMBY: I would also be willing to sit down and mediate.

KEISLING: Speaks to SB 499. There are some significant changes. Most of it is consistent with current public records law. It would extend the reach to areas where there is no effort to have existing balancing tests apply.

- There is no uniformity within licensing boards and in their disciplinary actions; therefore this bill will balance consumer protection and privacy.

273 QUIGLEY: Gives overview of SB 499-3 and -2 amendments. (EXHIBIT I, Q)

312 NINA JOHNSON, SECRETARY OF STATE'S OFFICE: We need to include the language relating to the suspected violation of the licensing statute that's not in the formal -3 amendments.

- We need to conform all amendments and who is subject to the disciplinary process.

- The deletion of sections 29 and 32. There are two other peer review sections that shouldn't be in there.

344 SEN. SHOEMAKER: If there anything to be added or taken away from the hand-engrossed amendments please give it to us line by line.

KEISLING: Change the word "licensee" to "any person subject to the authority of the Board".

SEN. HAMBY: I'm happy that information is held being until completion.

401 JOHNSON: Section 4 on pg. 3A provides that a hearing be open whenever a professional board conducts one concerning a disciplinary matter.

KEISLING: That's with formal action being taken.

TAPE 157, SIDE A

004 SEN. WEBBER: You would contemplate not just certificatees but,

those
falsely practicing?

KEISLING: Yes.

020 SEN. SHOEMAKER: I have a problem that this clear and convincing
evidence
is weighed by only one person with no opportunity for the other side
to
be heard.

032 KEISLING: It gives the complainant the ability to remain confidential
if
they so request.

SITES: I think that's fine. It would become public if it were a
contested case. Confidentiality will protect the complainant, but they
will have to be identified if called as a witness.

050 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Then the complainant could withhold identity. How
about
the facts of the complaint, are they confidential? And then only when
there is a hearing could the facts be exposed?

SITES: That was a clear policy decision. The majority of the licensing
agencies operate under these laws. The openness is beneficial to
members and the public.

084 KEISLING: There are advantages and disadvantages. When someone
is
accused of a crime it becomes public. Our recommendation is that a
complaint should be public.

127 SEN. SHOEMAKER: I'm less concerned about identity than about the
nature
of the complaint due to the damage it can do.

KEISLING: One should know the minimum nature before the investigation
is
launched and then complete information made public afterwards.

SEN. WEBBER: How does the Bar's process differ from this?

148 SITES: The current process is that everything is open from the moment
a
complaint is filed throughout the investigation.

SEN. WEBBER: Why shouldn't we do that with all the Boards? It appears
to work as far as the Bar is concerned.

163 SEN. RASMUSSEN: I have concerns, but my preference is to amend
it
tonight.

SEN. SHOEMAKER: I move to limit disclosure prior to a public hearing after investigation to the existence of the complaint and upon completion to the facts of the matter.

198 SEN. HAMBY: Senator Shoemaker, what is your opinion regarding the Bar's process?

SEN. SHOEMAKER: It has worked well and I suspect the complaints made have more to do with money than personal abuse that health care professionals are apt to be accused of.

217 CHAIR SPRINGER: Senator Shoemaker, does your amendment include confidentiality of the person making the complaint?

222 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Yes. I think so.

CHAIR SPRINGER: As the law now says there is a clear and convincing burden placed on the person seeking disclosure of the identity of confidentiality as requested. I sense that was the lesser concern from your comment.

SEN. SHOEMAKER: Yes. But, I don't want to discourage women from coming forward and making claims.

- There is concern about medical records being made public in this process, but isn't that a privilege between the doctor and the patient?

245 KEISLING: The passage of SB 499-2 and -3 amendments plus your change, leave in tact all language about confidentiality of medical records.

SEN. SHOEMAKER: How does that work? If the investigation gets into the medical records and it becomes public at its conclusion, would not the medical records become public also?

259 KEISLING: No. Its protected by specific clauses in existing public record law.

SEN. SHOEMAKER: What if the facts within the medical records are crucial to the complaint and the investigation?

KEISLING: In sec. 3A, sub 2 if a record in that file is exempt from

disclosure the Board shall separate it out.

275 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Then at the conclusion of the investigation those
parts that are confidential shall remain so.

299 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Moves to ADOPT that upon the filing of a complaint
the existence of the complaint shall be subject to disclosure. The nature
of the complaint will be confidential unless public requires that it
be disclosed. There shall be no further public disclosure until the
investigation is concluded, at which point the investigation shall
become public except to the extent that portions of it are otherwise
protected as confidential. [QUOTE]

317 JOHNSON: I would like to clarify two points. First, the
complainant's identity is confidential if requested. Second, are you suggesting that
under no circumstances the investigatory information can be revealed
during the course of the investigation? That is different from the way
the bill is drafted.

SEN. SHOEMAKER: I was proposing that it not be revealed if either side
requests it.

337 KEISLING: In your proposal you would retain the existing language
on confidentiality? The existence of the complaint would be a public
record and the nature of the complaint would be a public record on
completion of the investigation? The investigatory information would
be withheld, which would give the Board the authority to withhold this
prior to the investigation?

SEN. SHOEMAKER: My proposal is that at the request of any party, the
investigation won't be public record until its complete.

KEISLING: I don't think either of these are a preferred approach.

382 SITES: Allowing the complainant to control the investigation by
deciding

on the release of information would be a big change.

- I'm puzzled as to why we should allow a physician or a real
estate agent to have that kind of protection.

397 SEN. SHOEMAKER: I would hope that each agency would have rules
that
would require conducting the investigation in a timely fashion. I
don't
see why the public needs to watch an investigation as it proceeds.

TAPE 156, SIDE B

003 JOHNSON: You wouldn't want to craft it so that an investigation
was
hampered by its inability to disclose information in order to solicit
information.

SEN. SHOEMAKER: I don't intend that to happen.

010 KEISLING: The language that is in there about investigatory
information
is in effect gives the Board the call as opposed to the complainant.

033 SEN. SHOEMAKER: The licensing boards are protected for reasons.
Aren't
we proposing to remove that protection?

KEISLING: Its the Board's call across the line.

JOHNSON: That is the language in the bill. The board really makes the
decision.

054 SEN. SHOEMAKER: What is the change then?

JOHNSON: The bill opens up the possibility that information could be
released under certain circumstances and currently there isn't that
discretion.

070 SEN. SHOEMAKER: What would be the strong public interest in having
an
investigation made public before it's completion?

SITES: The public records law protects the consumer.

087 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Why should the public be entitled to read
somebody's
account of an investigation during the investigation?

SITES: Looking at the state Bar, how often were the thousands of
complaints publicized?

- Others who don't know about the investigation can make a
contribution to it.

108 SEN. SHOEMAKER: I'm more concerned about the media using
this
investigatory information.

155 MOTION: SEN. WEBBER: Moves to ADOPT the SB 499-3 and SB 499-2 amendments with the deletion of sections 29 & 32 and the conforming language.

VOTE: In a roll call vote Sen. Rasmussen, Sen, Hamby, Sen. Webber and Chair Springer vote AYE. Sen. Shoemaker votes NAY. Sen. Smith is EXCUSED.

168 MOTION: SEN. WEBBER: Moves that SB 499 as amended be sent to the Floor with a DO PASS recommendation.

VOTE: In a roll call vote Sen. Rasmussen, Sen, Hamby, Sen. Webber and Chair Springer vote AYE. Sen. Shoemaker votes NAY. Sen. Smith is EXCUSED.

181 The motion is ADOPTED. Chair Springer will CARRY.
PUBLIC HEARING & POSSIBLE WORK SESSION

SCR 1:

Proposes that Legislative Assembly encourage local law enforcement agencies to explore ways to improve response to domestic violence.

201 JANET ARENZ, ACLU: Testifies in support of SCR 1.

217 BOB KEYSER, OREGON COUNCIL POLICE ASSOCIATIONS: Testifies in support of SCR 1.

226 VIETTA HELMLE, OREGON COALITION AGAINST DOMESTIC AND SEXUAL VIOLENCE:

Testifies in support of SCR 1.

JUDITH ARMATTA, OCAD&SV: Submits and reviews written testimony in support of SCR 1. (EXHIBIT A)

235 KATHY KIEL, MID-VALLEY WOMENS' CRISES CENTER: Testifies in support of SCR 1.

- Gives examples of various women who went to jail for killing abusive spouses in self defense.

277 SEN. TRICIA SMITH: Reviews proposed SCR 1-1 amendment.

- Texas statistics were put in our resolution by accident and this amendment corrects that.
- Reads amendment into the record

318 MOTION: CHAIR SPRINGER: Moves to ADOPT SCR 1-1 amendment.

VOTE: Hearing no objection the amendment is ADOPTED.

321 SEN. T. SMITH: Submits and reviews an additional conceptual amendment.

(EXHIBIT B)

- Mr. Faatz has indicated that there is no problem and we are sure there is no cost involved.

346 MOTION: CHAIR SPRINGER: Moves to ADOPT the conceptual amendment.

VOTE: Hearing no objection the amendment is ADOPTED.

355 MOTION: CHAIR SPRINGER: Moves that SCR 1 as amended be sent to the Floor with a DO PASS recommendation.

VOTE: In a roll call vote all members present vote AYE. Sen. Smith is EXCUSED.

The motion is ADOPTED.

SB 904: Adds gender to list of categories protected by intimidation statutes.

370 QUIGLEY: Gives overview of SB 904-1 through SB 904-3 amendments.

(EXHIBIT H)

- The -3 amendments replace the majority of the bill.

CHAIR SPRINGER: What are we doing about stalking provision since we passed out SB 833.

SEN. T. SMITH: We don't have an amendment to remove sections 40 & 41 from the bill, but feel it should be addressed in a separate bill.

411 MOTION: CHAIR SPRINGER: Moves to ADOPT the deletion of sections 39-43 of the -3 amendments.

VOTE: Hearing no objection the amendments are adopted.

TAPE 157, SIDE B

006 SEN. T. SMITH: The -3 amendments come from conversations with Dale Penn and the Polk County DAA.

012 MOTION: CHAIR SPRINGER: Moves to ADOPT the deletion of line 14, page 56, relating to stalking, in the -3 amendments.

VOTE: Hearing no objection the amendment is ADOPTED.

020 SEN. T. SMITH: I don't think we should remove section 53, which relates to the self defense statutes. We should ask LC to cross reference Oregon law with the stalking bill.

026 MOTION: CHAIR SPRINGER: Moves to ADOPT the cross referencing the senate bill on stalking with SB 904.

VOTE: Hearing no objection the amendments are adopted.

029 DALE PENN, MARION COUNTY DA: Testifies in support SB 904 as amended.
- Concerned that this doesn't simply apply to domestic violence situations. Is there a need to expand self defense law in Oregon.

This is section 53 of the -3's.

064 BOB KEYSER, OREGON COUNCIL OF POLICE ASSOCIATIONS: Testifies in support of SB 904 as amended.

069 INGRID SWENSON, OCDLA: Submits and reviews written testimony on SB 904 .
(EXHIBIT C)

182 JANET ARENZ, ACLU: Submits and reviews proposed amendments to SB 904 .
(EXHIBIT D)

206 CHAIR SPRINGER: I don't feel comfortable moving these amendments today.

SEN. SHOEMAKER: I agree.

228 SEN. T. SMITH: We had not seen the objection of the OCDLA until tonight.
Their testimony is contrary to what we've heard from the association in the past.

238 REP. KATE BROWN, DISTRICT 13: Submits and reviews written testimony in support of SB 904. (EXHIBIT R)
- Section 5 was heard in the House over a month ago. No defense lawyers testified against that piece of the bill.

SEN. T. SMITH: No one has contacted us and suggested changes.
- Judges feel that current release language is too vague to act on.

284 REP. BROWN: I consider all domestic violence crimes as hate crimes. In terms of Sec. 5 the intention was for less confusing terminology. The sexual abuse statute we propose is being successfully used in four other states.

326 SEN. HAMBY: I have to agree with Ingrid. You need to sit down with her

and talk about this.

338 JUDITH ARMATTA, OCAD&SV: Submits and reviews written testimony on SB
904 plus testimony from Priscilla Seaborg. (EXHIBIT A, E)

370 CHAIR SPRINGER: The bill is important enough to have more
consideration.

SB 1113:

Includes legislative, judicial and executive branches of state
government in definition of "employer" for purposes of certain laws
relating to discrimination.

396 SEN. HAMBY: Gives overview of SB 1113 -1 through SB 1113-3
amendments.
(EXHIBIT J, K, F)

TAPE 158, SIDE A

004 QUIGLEY: The members will also be receiving a copy of an opinion
from the Attorney General dated 4-2-93, which addresses the issue of the
Legislative Employee and immunity questions. (EXHIBIT P)

CHAIR SPRINGER: The -1's insert language on page two, the -2's are a
definition of what we intend sexual harassment to be consistent within
existing law as it relates to BOLI's existing authority.

030 SEN. WEBBER: There's no legislative and judicial immunity that
can apply? We've waived that?

111 3. KATHLEEN BOUFFET, LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL: Testifies in support of SB
- We aren't sure if there's legislative immunity. If there is a
residual of immunity this bill kills that.

040 SEN. RASMUSSEN: When we talk of legislative immunity we're talking
of ultimate immunity, not the immunity that we enjoyed regarding service
of process, or not?

BOUFFET: This immunity is aimed at some common law immunity, not the
constitutional one.

60 SEN. RASMUSSEN: The purpose of this is to allow an employee of mine
to bring a complaint to BOLI complaint?. Is that what we're doing?

BOUFFET: Yes, or a complaint that involved the Legislative Assembly

itself.

075 SEN. RASMUSSEN: Does this cover anyone else as an employee other than immediate staff? How broad is this?

BOUFFET: Reads from the -2 amendments.

089 DAVE FIDANQUE, ACLU: Testifies in support of SB 1113.

095 MOTION: SEN. HAMBY: Moves to ADOPT the SB 1113-1 and SB 111 3-2 amendments.

VOTE: Hearing no objections the amendments are ADOPTED.

097 MOTION: SEN. HAMBY: Moves that SB 1113 as amended be sent to the Floor with a DO PASS recommendation.

VOTE: In a roll call vote all members present vote AYE. Sen. Smith is EXCUSED.

The motion is ADOPTED. Sen. Hamby will CARRY.

SEN. HAMBY: May the record show thanks to Kathleen Bouffet.

105 CHAIR SPRINGER adjourns hearing at 7:40 p.m.

Submitted by:

Reviewed by:

Ellen L. Senecal
Assistant

Karen Quigley
Administrator

EXHIBIT LOG

- A - Testimony on SCR 1 & SB 904-Judith Armatta-4 pgs.
- B - Proposed SCR 1 amendment-Tricia Smith-1 pg.
- C - Testimony on SB 904-Ingrid Swenson-4 pgs.
- D - Testimony on SB 904-Janet Arenz-4 pgs.
- E - Testimony on SB 904-Priscilla Seaborg-2 pgs. *
- F - Testimony on SB 1113-Kelly Hagan-1 pg. *
- G - Proposed SB 500-3 amendments-staff-12 pgs.

H - Proposed SB 904-1 through SB 904-3 amendments-staff-59 pgs.
I - Proposed SB 499-3 amendments-staff-1 pg.
J - Proposed SB 1113-1 amendments-staff-1 pg.
K - Proposed SB 1113-2 amendments-staff-1 pg.
L - Testimony on SB 500-Jonathan Wolf-3 pgs. *
M - Testimony on SB 500-Jonathan Wolf-2 pgs. *
N - Testimony on SB 500-David Yandell-2 pgs. *
O - Testimony on SB 500-Marianne Gest-3 pgs. *
P - Testimony on SB 1113-Theodore Kulongoski-9 pgs. *
Q - Hand-engrossed SB 499-2 amendments-staff-9 pgs.
R - Testimony on SB 904-Kate Brown-6 pgs.

* Submitted written testimony only.