SENATE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

June 2, 1993 Hearing Room C 1:00 p.m. Tapes 179-180

MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. Dick Springer, Chair Sen. Jeannette Hamby Sen. Karsten Rasmussen Sen. Bob Shoemaker Sen. Gordon Smith Sen. Catherine Webber

MEMBERS EXCUSED: Sen.

STAFF PRESENT: Karen Quigley, Committee Counsel Kirk Bailey, Committee Assistant

ISSUES DISCUSSED: Public Hearing & Possible Work Session on HB 3577, HB 228 1  $\,$ 

Work Session on HB 2144, SB 722

[--- Unable To Translate Graphic ---]

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes. [--- Unable To Translate Graphic ---]

TAPE 179, SIDE A

003 CHAIR SPRINGER: Opens the hearing at 1:34 pm.

HB 2281: Creates privilege for deaf or hearing impaired or non-English speaking person to prevent interpreter from disclosing information

that would be privileged under Oregon Evidence Code.

WITNESSES: EUGENE ORGAN, OREGON DISABILITIES COMMISSION DAVID POWELL, OREGON DISABILITIES COMMISSION ANNABELLE JARAMILLO, COMMISSION ON HISPANIC AFFAIRS

016 EUGENE ORGAN: Submits and reviews written testimony in support of the bill (EXHIBIT B).

031 DAVID POWELL: Submits and reviews written testimony in support of the bill (EXHIBIT A). >Unaware of any opposition. 116 ANNABELLE JARAMILLO: Submits and reviews written testimony in support of the bill (EXHIBIT C). 142 MOTION: SEN. WEBBER: moves HB 2281 be sent to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation. VOTE: In a roll call vote all members present vote AYE. SEN. SMITH & SEN. RASMUSSEN are excused. 146 CHAIR SPRINGER: The motion CARRIES. SEN. WEBBER will lead discussion on the floor. HB 3577: Provides that Children's Services Division shall not require parents to relinquish legal custody of child in order to have child placed in foster home, group home or institutional child care. WITNESSES: REPRESENTATIVE KATE BROWN MURIEL GOLDMAN, MENTAL HEALTH ASSOCIATION OF OREGON LINDA REILLY, CITIZEN JUDY RINKIN, OREGON FAMILY SUPPORT NETWORK GAIL ARKLEY, CHILDRENS SERVICES DIVISION KATHERINE WHITE, FAMILIES AS LEADERS 149 KAREN QUIGLEY, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Reviews the bill and HB 357 7-A2 amendments (EXHIBIT E). 164 REPRESENTATIVE KATE BROWN: Testifies in support of the bill. 174 MURIEL GOLDMAN: Submits and reviews written testimony in support of the bill (EXHIBIT D). >Notes typographical error on line 5, after "voluntarily", it states "to relinquish". 255 MOTION: SEN. HAMBY: moves to ADOPT amendments deleting "relinguish" on line 5 of the HB 3577-A2 amendments . VOTE: Hearing no objection, the amendments are ADOPTED. 259 GAIL ARKLEY: Submits and reviews written testimony in support with concerns about the bill (EXHIBIT F). 294 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Present law states CSD may agree with care facility that relinquishment is necessary for effective care and treatment of the child thereby creating an escape valve not present in the bill or amendments. Why?

311 LINDA REILLY: Developed in the placement agreement the ability for CSD to retain control over screening, assessment and placement and both

parents and CSD could terminate agreement after appropriate notice. >Submits and reviews written testimony in support of the bill (EXHIBIT

H).

332 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Puzzled why was it deleted?

REILLY: Intent of the original bill was that parents would not relinquish custody with the little caveat you mentioned.

- 352 GOLDMAN: The language will be in the voluntary placement agreement. It will protect CSD's ability to require relinquishment in order to continue treatment.
- 357 SEN. SHOEMAKER: If it is there, why not include it in the statute? REILLY: We don't want it applied in every instance. We don't believe that parents of children with mental or developmental disorders should be required to relinquish their legal custody. The old statute did not work.

370 GOLDMAN: Research shows most effective treatment involves active participation by all the parties including children and parents. >Intent is to help parents who come to CSD voluntarily get help without being required to relinquish custody.

- 401 REILLY: Notes voluntary placement agreement in testimony.
- 411 SEN. SHOEMAKER: So it works as follows: if parent is agreeable to length of custody then that could be done, but if not and CSD believes that relinquishing custody is in the best interests of the child then they can go to court?

REILLY: Yes. Provides example.

476 SEN. WEBBER: How do other states address this issue?

ARKLEY: CSD is researching that information now. Refers to Colorado and Regional Research Institute at PSU.

TAPE 180, SIDE A

044 SEN. WEBBER: Hopeful something can be worked out, problem is just in

the wording?

ARKLEY: Correct.

049 SEN. WEBBER: Comments on experience with child welfare in Massachusetts.

064 REILLY: Comments on CSD administrative rules regarding delegation.

070 CHAIR SPRINGER: Are there situations where there may be reasons other than behavioral that the person could be subject to other petitions such

as delinquency? >What happens with simultaneous petitions or jurisdictions?

GOLDMAN: Delinquency petition takes precedent. >These are people who have volunteered and no offense has been

committed. The bill does not change other statutes which place children before the juvenile court.

## 086 CHAIR SPRINGER: Should we be concerned about this bill in relation to the other CSD bill which is on the House side.

REP. BROWN: We should move forward with making sure current law is acceptable.

094 SEN. WEBBER: When agencies are identified where placement will occur, do you have a foster home, group home or institutional child care, are

you excluding child care centers? >Is there a set of out of home placements that are excluded or is that

inclusive?

GOLDMAN: Intended to be inclusive. Could be any type of foster care. 101 SEN. WEBBER: Which are the old child care centers; foster home, group

home or institutional?

GOLDMAN: Some are residential, some are group homes. They would all be included.

- 107 SEN. WEBBER: Including the institutional? Because there may be a different term of art outside the statute regarding this. GOLDMAN: It applies to all out of home care.
- 111 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Refers to 4/14/93 letter from Department of Health & Human Services to William Carey, DHR; which raises the issue that if

CSD doesn't have absolute authority regarding child then they may not be eligible for federal funding? 121 GOLDMAN: We referred this bill to Region X and that was their response. They suggested an Attorney General opinion regarding custody be obtained. >The question is whether CSD can retain responsibility under a voluntary care agreement. 143 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Don't have the answer yet? GOLDMAN: No, but we expect it soon. 146 SEN. SHOEMAKER: If the Senate passes this bill and the House concurs, it puts the situation beyond our control, what do we do if the AG opinion is adverse? REP. BROWN: Our position is that CSD is empowered to do a voluntary placement now, but the problem is that parents are not informed of that fact. >Other states do this and still receive Title IV funding. SEN. SHOEMAKER: Do other states take away authority to 159 require relinguishment from CSD? 163 REILLY: Clarifies letter. Information in the letter is not correct, CSD still has control over the placement process. CHAIR SPRINGER: Will House concur with amendments? 200 REP. BROWN: Yes. 205 JUDY RINKIN: Submits and reviews written testimony in support of the bill (EXHIBIT G). KATHERINE WHITE: Testifies in support of the bill. 259 274 CHAIR SPRINGER: What is the will of the committee? 276 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Expresses concern about the legal question and the need for an answer from Attorney General. >Notes support for intent but hesitant to move with out AG advice. 287 CHAIR SPRINGER: Who is the AG on this? ARKLEY: Nancy Simmons.

293 CHAIR SPRINGER: Holds bill for further review with Attorney General.

308 SEN. SMITH and SEN. RASMUSSEN noted as aye votes on HB 2281.

HB 2144: Allows juvenile court to enter restraining order against alleged child abuser under certain circumstances.

314 QUIGLEY: Reviews bill and HB 2144-A3 amendments (EXHIBIT J). Refers to Nebel amendments.

>Refers to section 37 in SB 1051 which is included in this bill.

349 TIM TRAVIS, JUVENILE RIGHTS PROJECT: Submits and reviews written testimony with concerns about the bill (EXHIBIT K).

CHAIR SPRINGER: Urges members to take a moment to review language.

374 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Are amendments technical or substantive?

QUIGLEY: Line 4, section 1 changes language so there is no longer a

requirement that the child be removed before hearing. >Do not have language that addresses other children.

396 TRAVIS: Regarding amendments on when to take into custody, after petition was in the original form of the bill. House Judiciary took it out of the bill.

- 422 DAVID NEBEL, OREGON LEGAL SERVICES: Reviews amendments. Legal aid practitioners feel strongly child should not be taken from home unnecessarily. Notes statutory reference made by the House is inappropriate.
- 472 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Should we consider amending ORS 419.577-3 so that it applies appropriately?

NEBEL: Happy to work on that, but now does not seem to be the right time.

487 SEN. SHOEMAKER: There is another vehicle to accomplish that? NEBEL: Yes, SB 1051.

TAPE 179, SIDE B

035 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Does that make sense to Travis and CSD? CHAIR SPRINGER: Reviews options; pass the bill and amend later, recess and amend or carry the bill over.

045 VICTOR CONGLETON, CSD: Juvenile courts ability to issue restraining order is important and a big step forward. >Amendments are limitations to the applicability of that concept. >Unaware of the number of cases where this may be applied. 066 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Could we inquire about "other child" amendment? TRAVIS: Yes. Reviews additional amendments included in testimony. CHAIR SPRINGER: Notes discussion with Judge Herrell. 069 QUIGLEY: Reviews Herrell comments. 074 >Herrell thinks bill is a good idea and that abusers are probable threat to other children. >He believed judges should have discretion. 091 CHAIR SPRINGER: For example, court may decide a supervised visitation period would be acceptable? TRAVIS: That can be accomplished under this bill. 099 CHAIR SPRINGER: Holds for further questions and discussion with Judge Herrell. 109 SEN. SHOEMAKER: In discussions with Nebel, indicated it would be helpful to hear from Judge Herrell on the "other child" issue. Did he address that? QUIGLEY: Yes, that was the main part of the conversation. SB 722: Prescribes procedure allowing health care provider to report blood alcohol content (BAC) of certain motor vehicle accident victims. STAFF NOTE: The following submitted written testimony only in support of the bill: MIKE SCHRUNK, MULTNOMAH DISTRICT ATTORNEY, (EXHIBIT M). MARK ROSE, LEBANON COMMUNITY HOSPITAL, (EXHIBIT N). LAUREEN OSKOCHIL, CITIZEN, (EXHIBIT O). VINITA HOWARD, GOVERNORS COMMISSION ON DUII, (EXHIBIT P). LARRY BLUMENSTEIN, OREGON FIRE MEDICAL ADMINISTRATORS ASSOCIATION, (EXHIBIT Q). 120 QUIGLEY: Reviews bill and SB 722-1 & -3 amendments with engrossed

version dated 5/13/93 (EXHIBIT L).

129 CHAIR SPRINGER: Reviews the bill and approach to committee action on this bill and HB 2736. Notes committee may be interested in action on the House bill. >HB 2736 changes the consent law. 164 DAVID FIDANQUE, ACLU: Submits and reviews written testimony with amendments to the bill (EXHIBIT R & S). 272 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Notes that the ACLU method is a good approach and seems to satisfy everyone involved. Is language too tight? >Would it create possibility that evidence could be thrown out in later trial? >Would absolute standard make it easier to throw out the case? 289 FIDANQUE: This language is first draft, it is open to discussion. >Language attempts to include the Oregon constitutional standard. 310 SEN. SHOEMAKER: My language might not make it past constitutional muster? FIDANQUE: Probably not. 313 CHAIR SPRINGER: Reviews statute and notes that the officer has to go to the hospital to be present for blood testing. DEBBIE WILL, EMERGENCY NURSES ASSOCIATION: Testifies in support of 334 the bill. 386 CHAIR SPRINGER: Notes amendments speak to mandatory reporting provisions and the question of chain of evidence and certification. 393 WILL: There is a document included on trauma sheets to provide all the necessary information at the time of testing. 402 CAROL BONONNO, CITIZEN: Submits and reviews written testimony in support of the bill (EXHIBIT T). >Supports SB 722-1 and -3 amendments. 415 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Would it be possible to have arresting officer have а telephone conversation with another officer at the hospital conveying information from scene and have the hospital officer meet the requirements of probable cause sufficient to order the test? 426 FIDANQUE: I don't know.

CHAIR SPRINGER: Counsel can review that issue for the committee.

466 CHAIR SPRINGER: Holds bill for further review. Bill will be
posted
with HB 2736.
>Adjourns hearing at 3:00 pm.
Submitted by: Reviewed by:
Kirk Bailey
Assistant
Karen Quigley
Administrator

EXHIBIT LOG:

A - Testimony on HB 2281, David Powell, 4 pages B - Testimony on HB 2281, Eugene Organ, 1 page C - Testimony on HB 2281, Annabelle Jaramillo, 1 page D - Testimony on HB 3577, Muriel Goldman, 4 pages E - Amendments to HB 3577, Staff, 2 pages F - Testimony on HB 3577, Gail Arkley, 7 pages G - Testimony on HB 3577, Judy Rinkin, 2 pages H - Testimony on HB 3577, Linda Reilly, 2 pages I - Amendments to HB 3577, Judy Rinkin, 21 pages J - Amendments to HB 2144, Staff, 2 pages K - Testimony on HB 2144, Tim Travis, 7 pages L - Amendments to SB 722, Staff, 7 pages M - Testimony on SB 722, Michael Schrunk, 2 pages N - Testimony on SB 722, Mark Rose, 1 page O - Testimony on SB 722, Laureen Oskochil, 1 page P - Testimony on SB 722, Vinita Howard, 1 page Q - Testimony on SB 722, Larry Blumenstein, 2 pages R - Amendments to SB 722, David Fidanque, 1 page S - Testimony on SB 722, David Fidanque, 1 page T - Testimony on SB 722, Carol Bonnono, 7 pages

SENATE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY June 2, 1993 Hearing Room C 6:15 p.m. Tapes 181 - 182 MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. Dick Springer, Chair Sen. Bob Shoemaker, Vice-Chair Sen. Jeannette Hamby Sen. Karsten Rasmussen Sen. Gordon Smith Sen. Catherine Webber VISITING MEMBERS: Rep. Peter Courtney STAFF PRESENT: Bill Taylor, Legislative Counsel Kate Wrightson, Committee Administrator Ellen L. Senecal, Committee Assistant ISSUES DISCUSSED: Public Hearing & Possible Work Session HB 2478 A, HB 2482, HB 2483, SB 865. [--- Unable To Translate Graphic ---] These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes. [--- Unable To Translate Graphic ---] TAPE 181, SIDE A 003 CHAIR SPRINGER: Opens hearing at 6:15 p.m. PUBLIC HEARING & POSSIBLE WORK SESSION SB 865: Requires State Board of Pharmacy to establish Marijuana Therapeutic Use and Research File as registry of individuals using marijuana for therapeutic purposes. 005 SID LEZAK, SELF: Testifies in support of SB 865. - I am against funding the War on Drugs. - The criminal justice system is overloaded with drug users HB 2478: Provides that State Board of Parole land Post-Prison Supervision determines whether person sentenced ad dangerous offender is no longer dangerous for purposes of determining whether to release person on parole or

post-prison supervision. 096 REP. PETER COURTNEY, DISTRICT 33: Testifies in support of HB 2478, ΗB 2482, HB 2483. (EXHIBITS A, B, C) - HB 2478 allows parole board more discretion in release proceedings. 146 CHAIR SPRINGER: SB 112 was at the request of parole board and I'm sure they wouldn't object to us proceeding with your bill. Were you aware of the -A2 amendments? REP. COURTNEY: No, I haven't seen them. 169 - HB 2482 is a continuation on the Sex Offender Registration bill. - HB 2483 modifies Dangerous Offender statutes + Exempts Dangerous Offenders from Guidelines limitations SB 865 (continued) 281 LAIRD FUNK, SELF: Testifies in support of SB 865 - Gives overview of SB 865-5 amendments. (EXHIBIT D) - My intent is to provide a means to supply therapeutic requirements for people who need therapeutic Marijuana, while not placing a burden on society and law enforcement. - Law enforcement can verify documentation of user from pharmacy SEN. SHOEMAKER: The principle concern is the authorization to allow 370 the user to grow it. This could be subject to abuse. 396 LAIRD: It is an alternative avenue of supply. Federal programs have been canceled. Contraband Marijuana being distributed by state or county level health agencies through the Contraband Marijuana Distribution Process statutes (1979-1987) never worked. TAPE 182, SIDE A 003 LAIRD: Continues testimony. - I am willing to work to come up with an appropriate amount of plants grown. 011 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Why can't the state grow it? The price would cover the costs of growing the plants. LAIRD: How could we insert this into the budget? 023 SEN. WEBBER: Do any other states have a program like this? LAIRD: Thirty-four states have statutes which create defenses against

accusations of drug use involving therapeutic marijuana.

031 SEN. WEBBER: What happened to the Federal program?

LAIRD: They backed out due to too many applications. There wasn't enough federal Marijuana stocks.

042 SEN. WEBBER: What about synthetic THC?

LAIRD: It is variably effective. There are some positive and negative effects, which come with a degree of intoxication. It is only prescribable for two conditions.

052 SEN. WEBBER: Which conditions?

LAIRD: Nausea of chemotherapy and the HIV instage wasting syndrome. 061 SEN. HAMBY: I can't support the notion of the state growing it. Pres.

Clinton has a new program for alternative therapies.

081 CHAIR SPRINGER: Do you have knowledge of individuals denied use of therapeutic Marijuana that resort to the underground market for their

supplies?

LAIRD: Yes. They are gaining it through any means possible.

090 CHAIR SPRINGER: Then they are forced to get it illegally?

LAIRD: Yes.

109 ROBERT MILLER, OREGON STATE POLICE: Submits and reviews written

testimony in opposition to SB 865.

- The growing of Marijuana will subject these people to rip offs and thefts.

136 CHAIR SPRINGER: When you mention the State Police do you work for the Governor's office?

MILLER: I speak for the Superintendent of State Police.

139 CHAIR SPRINGER: Has he requested authorization from the Chief Executive Officer?

MILLER: I don't know.

- 145 CHAIR SPRINGER: Do you know haw many plants were seized last year? MILLER: In the tens of thousands.
- 148 CHAIR SPRINGER: What percentage does that represent? MILLER: If it represents 25% we'd be lucky.

154 JAMES HICKS, DEA: Testifies in opposition to SB 865.

287 SEN. G. SMITH: My object was to offer perspective to the committee should they support the bill.

298 CHAIR SPRINGER: Is Alaska within your jurisdiction? Apparently the Alaska constitution permits individuals to consume or cultivate

Marijuana.

303 HICKS: In their last election they re-criminalized it.

CHAIR SPRINGER: Was that a statutory or constitutional change?

304 HICKS: They voted on it, I'm not sure of the mechanics of the state law.

SEN. SHOEMAKER: The federal government has pre-empted the field and no

doctor or pharmacist can distribute Marijuana for therapeutic use? 312 HICKS: Unless they are a licensed researcher and have met the

requirements of the FDA and DEA in obtaining authorization to be a researcher.

SEN. HAMBY: Could I assume one could get a research project on marijuana due to alternative therapy?

353 HICKS: If the application was submitted through FDA and DEA yes it is possible.

356 BRENDA NIBLOCK, AMERICAN LUNG ASSN. OF OREGON: Submits and reviews written testimony in opposition to SB 865. (EXHIBIT F)

- The use of Marijuana deletes capabilities of immune system.

TAPE 181, SIDE B

003 NIBLOCK: Continues testimony.

010 CHAIR SPRINGER: Do you know of any drugs that are used in life threatening situations that cause serious side affects, such as AZT? Do

you see any parallel between AZT and the arguments you've made about the negative impact of Marijuana?

NIBLOCK: I don't know much about AZT. It is supposed to have some positive affects in the beginning. I don't see any parallel.

020 CHAIR SPRINGER: Give us the figure on tobacco deaths.

NIBLOCK: Approximately 6,500/yr. in Oregon causing \$818,000,000 in health care and lost productivity costs.

037 CHAIR SPRINGER: Are you aware of any figures on health due to smoking Marijuana?

042 HICKS: Emergency room use is up 4.1%.

SEN. G. SMITH: My views are reconfirmed that this bill won't pass and can't be reformed.

056 MOTION: SEN. G. SMITH: Moves to TABLE SB 865.

VOTE: In a roll call vote SEN. RASMUSSEN, SEN. HAMBY, SEN. WEBBER, SEN. G. SMITH vote AYE. SEN. SHOEMAKER and CHAIR SPRINGER vote NAY.

064 The motion is ADOPTED.

HB 2478 (continued)

075 VERN FAATZ, PAROLE BOARD: Testifies in support of HB 2478.

100 ERIK WASMANN, ODAA: Testifies in support of HB 2478.

- 106 TAYLOR: Gives overview of HB 2478-A2 amendments. (EXHIBIT I) 115 SUSAN TRIP, ADOA: Testifies in support of SB 2478.
- The intent of the -A2 amendments is to put sections 4-10 of HB 248 3  $\,$

into HB 2478.

SEN. SHOEMAKER: What is the reason for doing this?

122 TRIP: HB 2483 has a large bed impact and we're concerned that it may get stuck in Ways and Means.

137 WASMANN: I am requesting that a portion of HB 2483 be moved to HB 247 8. Dangerous Offender is a long standing procedure to deal with a small population of serious offenders.

174 TRIP: There is one problem. SB 112 has a retro activity clause. Our amendments couldn't be retroactive (section 6).

HB 2482: Provides that sex offender registration requirements apply to persons found guilty except

for insanity of certain sex crimes.

208 TAYLOR: Gives overview of bill.

212 MOTION: SEN. HAMBY: Moves that HB 2482 be sent to the Floor with a DO  $\,$ 

PASS recommendation. VOTE: In a roll call vote all members present vote AYE. SEN. RASMUSSEN is EXCUSED. 222 The motion is ADOPTED. SEN. HAMBY will CARRY. HB 2483: Provides that certain sex offenders may be sentenced as dangerous offenders even though offender is not suffering from severe personality disorder. ROSS SHEPARD, OCDLA: Submits and reviews written testimony on HB 237 248 3. (EXHIBIT K) 273 ERIK WASSMAN, ODAA: Testifies in support of sections 4-10 in HB 2483. 276 VERN FAATZ, PAROLE BOARD: Testifies in support of HB 2483. - We're in support of the sections Mr. Shepard is opposed to (pg. 1, 11.18-27). - On pg. 3 we recommend language in 11. 16-30 be made to conform with the language in the same sections of HB 2478. 328 TAYLOR: If someone has an assault, i.e. bar fight, would that be а predicate for determining a subsequent offense that they are a dangerous offender? SHEPARD: Yes. 343 TAYLOR: What is the current definition of a dangerous offender? FAATZ: Someone who is found to have a severe personality disorder with а propensity towards crimes that endanger the lives and safety of others. 402 DAVID FACTOR, OCJC: Based on the fact of the conviction of the sex offense its per se a dangerous offender. Subsection 2, sub c creates a new status of a sex offender as a dangerous offender, per se. TAPE 182, SIDE B 009 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Sub. 2 says the maximum term for a dangerous offender is 20 years. What about the current 30 years? FACTOR: That's the sex offender who is convicted for Sex Abuse 1. SHEPARD: Both terms are mandatory automatic sentences.

 $\ensuremath{\texttt{O22}}$  SEN. SHOEMAKER: If the court finds an extended period of confined

treatment is required, then they're not automatic.

SHEPARD: The court could make that finding, and then if they find that there is a previous person felony the sentence is mandatory.

035 FACTOR: The court makes a finding of dangerous offender, sentences the person to an indeterminate sentence of 30 years with a minimum term served before the board begins its review.

043 TAYLOR: On line 26, when is determination made? The date the person commits the crime or the date of conviction?

FACTOR: Under current guidelines its the date of conviction.

052 TAYLOR: Would it be possible to have someone indicted on two count, that the first non-person assault would count as a previous conviction of a

person felony?

FACTOR: Its possible.

077 CHAIR SPRINGER adjourns hearing at 7:40 p.m.

Submitted by:

Reviewed by:

Ellen L. Senecal	Bill Taylor
Assistant	Administrator

## EXHIBIT LOG

```
A –
     Testimony on HB 2483-Rep. Courtney-2 pgs.
в –
     Testimony on HB 2478-Rep. Courtney-2 pgs.
с –
     Testimony on HB 2483-Rep. Courtney-2 pgs.
D -
     Proposed SB 865-5 amendments-staff-3 pgs.
Е —
     Testimony on SB 865-Roger Miller-3 pgs.
F -
     Testimony on SB 865-Barb Niblock-3 pgs.
G –
     Testimony on SB 865-Kevin Zeese-2 pgs. *
н —
     Testimony on SB 865-Barb Phillips-1 pg. *
I -
         Proposed HB 2478A-A2 amendments-staff-4 pgs.
J –
     Testimony on HB 2482-Elyse Clawson-1 pg. *
К –
     Testimony on HB 2483-Ross Shepard-80 pgs.
L -
     Proposed HB 2483-1 amendments-staff-6 pgs.
м –
    Testimony on HB 2483-Elyse Clawson-1 pg.
```

\* Submitted written testimony only.