SENATE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY July 14, 1993 Hearing Room C 1:00 p.m. Tapes 219 - 220 MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. Dick Springer, Chair Sen. Jeanette Hamby Sen. Karsten Rasmussen Sen. Bob Shoemaker Sen. Gordon Smith Sen. Catherine Webber STAFF PRESENT: Bill Taylor, Committee Counsel Karen Quigley, Committee Counsel Kirk Bailey, Committee Assistant ISSUES DISCUSSED: Reconsideration & Work Session on HB 2386 Public Hearing & Worlk Session on HB 3104, HB 2033, HB 2854, HB 247 7 HB 2233, HB 3059, HB 2224, HB 2514 Work Session on HB 2309, HB 3233 TAPE 219 SIDE A 003 CHAIR SPRINGER: Opens the hearing. (1:12 p.m.) PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 3104: Exempts certain records of non-regulated corporation having affiliated interest with telecommunications utility of public utility from public disclosure. WITNESSES: MARY TOMLINSON, US WEST COMMUNICATIONS GARY WILHELM, US WEST COMMUNICATIONS PHIL REISLING, SECRETARY OF STATE DREW MORGAN, C111ZEN SCOTT GALLANT, OREGON MEDICAL ASSOCIATION JANE MYERS, OREGON DENTAL ASSOCIATION 011 MARY TOMLINSON, US WEST COMMUNICATIONS: Submits and reviews written testimony in support of the bill, (EXHIBIT C). • Testifies in support (-A8) amendments, (EXHIBIT A). · The finandal statement exemption would be added as a new section, being deleted from the generic definition section; the exemption is limited to telecommunication utilities. · This would protect the public because the exemptions are placed under ORS 192 .501 and are what I call conditional exemptions. Senate Committee on Judiciary July 14, 1993 Page 2 112 GARY WILHELMS, US WEST COMMUNICATIONS: Testifies in support of 3104 with amendments. · I appeal to you to not "stuff" other bills into HB 3104. CHAIR SPRINGER: Refers to a letter received from Rion Bouijies, (not available for the record). 152 SEN. WEBBER: The letter raises issues that mane me weary; I would appreciate a good franlc discussion of those issues. SEN. HAMBY: I am comfortable with the bill as it is and with the amendment. 180 PHIL KEISLING, SECRETARY OF STATE: Submits and reviews written testimony, with proposed amendments, in support of the bill, (EXHIBIT B). 300 SEN. HAMBY: I note that regarding the issue of privacy, it lasts for no more than five years; if necessary, would there be an allowable extension period? NINA JOHNSON, SECRETARY OF STATE'S OFEICE: Yes; that just means that you don't have to talce affirmative action during that five year period. 321 DREW MORGAN, ClTIZEN: Submits and reviews written testimony in support of amendments to the bill, EXHIBIT D). CHAD: SPRINGER: Notes support for Keisling amendments from Les Zeitz. 357 JANE MYERS, OREGON DENTAL ASS)CIATION: Testifies in opposition to the bill. · States concerns about anonymous complaints; recommends narrowing that provision.

· On page three of SB 499-A we'd request that you not allow the complainant to, in every case, have their identity not disclosed. 419 SCOTT GALLANT, OREGON MEDICAL ASSOCIATION: Testifies in opposition to SB 499; states concerns about the bill. · This bill provides that if there is a contest on whether it is to be released or not, there is a procedure provided by statute and if the state loses the case, the access of that information would be reimbursed by the agency or state and that is cost that hasn't been considered. · Continues with concerns with SB 499. TAPE 220, SIDE A 055 GALLANT: We still don't support SB 499 as currently amended. Senate Committee on Judiciary July 14, 1993 - Page 3 0 70 DAVID FIDANQUE, ACLU: Testifies in support of the Keiding amendments. 074 BRUCE BISHOP, KAISER PERMANENTE: Testifies in opposition to Keisling amendments. · We would request the deletion of section thirty-nine of SB 499; those provisions relate to insurance examinations by the Department of Insurance and Pinance and we don't believe they are appropriate in this bill. 091 GALLANT: Peer review is carried out by some boards; this will allow some boards to use peer review activities to Iceep the information they use for disciplinary activiq confidential because they have peer review activities under statute. SEN. WEBBER Could you clarify the cha acterization concerning the dental board? GALLANT: According to our COUD - , some boards, by statute, utilize peer review and would be able to utilize that mechaniSMto Iceep that information confidential. 125 QUIGLEY: Reviews (-A8) and Keisling an endments, (see Exhibit A & B). JOHNSON: Concernisg section thirty-nine, it is unnecessary and can be deleted. · -Responding to Gallant, all actions at board level are confidential; reviews the contested case process. 183 JOHNSON: Concersing section four, this is a rewrite regarding clarity. · Peer review needs to be left intact. 219 SEN. SHOEMAKER: There is concern that under section four the accused could never face the accuser? JOHNSON: That is clearly not the intent of that section; the accuser has to reveal their identity to go this far in the complaint process. 265 TOMLINSON: The new version of the bill addresses concerss; the process is much more open in this bill than he describes, (referring to a letter Sen. Springer received). · The law recognizes that it may be appropriate to limit the manner or the way that information is disclosed in court or PUC hearings. 357 MIKE WIREWICK, ASSISANT ATTORNEY GENERAL, PUC: Information is not Icept from anyone, they can receive the information if they comply with the discovery process requirements. · Describes process. SEN. SHOEMAKER: If this bill had been law certain information in put cues would not have Senate Committee on Judiciary July 14, 1993 Page 4 been public? WIREWICK: Information would have been accasible if the utility had filed

and agreed to enmre confidentiality; nondisclosure would have been required.

424 PHIL NYGARD, ADMINISTRATOR, FINANCIAL ANALYSIS DIV - ON, PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISION: There is a clear difference between the discovery process we we in docketed cases and the public records process, which is addrased by this bill.

471 CHAIR SPRINGER: If the (-AS) amendments were clarified in subsection twenty(a), malcing it clear that we are referring to telecommunication utility audit reports? TOMLINSON: I agree with the amendments as drafted by Legislative Counsd.

TAPE 219, SIDE B

035 QUIGLEY: A suggestion has been made to amend the tide in Section twenty(a) and probably section nineteen(a) also, that the audit reports refer only to the telecommulication exemption.

TOMLINSON: We would have no objection to that.

HB 3059: Allows person, organization or association to offer reward for information leading to apprehension of person who has violated state wildlife law.

WITNESSES: REPRESENTATIVE LARRY SOWA, HOUSE DISTRICT 26 LARRY KRAFT, OREGON STATE POLICE ROD HARDER, OREGON SPORTSMANS DEFENSE FUND KEN EVANS, OREGON HUNTERS ASSOCIATION

057 REPRESENTATIVE LARRY SOWA, HOUSE DISIRICT 26: Testifies in support of HB 3059. • We are trying to clarify the law to malce sure that we are allowed to not only oar a reward for wildlife violations, but that the Judge is allowed to return the money to the reward fund so there can be a revolving reward fund. SEN. SHOEMAKER: Is there a sentence imposed by the court for violations of wildlife laws?

REP. SOWA: Yes.

SEN. SHOEMAKER: You are satisfied it works? REP. SOWA: Yes; we thinlc this language will accomplish what we intend to do.

112 XEN EVANS, OREGON HUNTERS ASSOCIATION & NORTHWEST STEELHEADERS ASSOCIATION: Testifies in support of HB 3059. Senate Committee OD Judichry July 14, 1993 - Page 5

The number of citations since 1989 have increased, so things are starting to get done; we are finding that 50% of our game is illegally poached and with the dwindling supply we can't Iceep statistical records with poaching going on.
131 ROD HARDER, OREGON SPORTSMANS DEFENSE FUND: Submits and reviews written testimony in support of the bill, (EXHIBIT F)

We'd ask, along with the National Rifle Association, (NRA), that you approve this bill.
138 LARRY KRAFT, Lt., OREGON FIH AND WILDLIFE DIVISION, OREGON STATE POLICE: Submits and reviews written testimony in support of the bill, (EXHIBIT G).

SEN. HAMBY: In one year 554 calls were received and there were 45 citations?
KRAFT: Correct.

SEN. HAMBY: Based on these statistics, 22 of 598 were cited; do these kinds of statistics help you to look at how to be more effective?

172 CHAIR SPRINGER: What is the procedure for calls, what training talces piece?

KRAFT: Call ta}ers are trained, calls are screened; the individual is not required to leave name; the call is then sent to the closest office who responds to the call. The statistics don't show us being effect ve; with a large percentage of Ihe calls we were unable to locate the individual, and quite a few of the calls are unfounded and a violation hadn't taken place. 209 MOTION: SEN. RASMUSSEN MOVES IIB 3059-A TO THE FLOOR WITH ADO PASS RECOMMENDATION.

VOTE: IN A ROLL CALL VOTE THE MOTION CARRIE S UNANIMOUSLY.

HB 2309: Establishes procedures for investigation, hearing and sanction by Senior and Disabled Services Division or area agenq on aging for abuse of resident of lo" term eare facilities.

WITNESS: SALLY GOODWIN, OREGON ASSOCIATION OF HOMES FOR TILE AGING SEN. WEBBER: Submhs (-A9) amendments, (EXHIBIT H). 230 QUIGLEY: We also have the (-A8) amendments, (EXHIBIT L), from the hst hearing that limit the definition of abuse.

245 SALLY GOODWIN, OREGON ASSOCIATION OF HOMES FOR THE AGING: Testifies in support of all the amendments, submits written test1mony, (EXHIBIT I).

Senate Committee on Judiciary July 14, 1993 - Page 6

SEN. SHOEMAKER: Should we include "duress"; that isn't really consent. 267 MOTION: SEN. SHOEMAKER MOVES THAT THE WORD "DURESS" BE INSERTED AFTER THE WORD "THREAT" ON LINE 19. 270 VOI E: HE ARING NO OBJECTION THE MOTION CARRIES.

MOTION: CHAIR SPRINGER MOVES THAT THE (-A8) AMENDMENTS BE ADOPTED. VOTE: HEARING NO OBJECTION THE MOTION CARRIES.

274 MOTION: CHAIR SPRINGER MOVES THAT THE (-A9) AMENDM - TS BE ADOPTED. VOTE: HEARING NO OBJECTION THE MOTION CARRIES. MOTION: SEN. WEBBER MOVES THAT HB 2309 AS AMENDED TO THE FLOOR WITH A DO PASS RECOMMENDATION. VOTE: IN A ROLL CALL VOTE THE MOTION CARRIES UNANIMOUSLY. HB 2386: Increases minim n damages that y be recovered for nolation of unlawful trade practices law. MOTION: CHAIR SPRINGER MOVES FOR RECONSIDERATION OF EB 238C. VOTE: HEARING NO OBJECT ON THE MOTION CARRIES.

307 QUIGLEY: Reviews (-A3) amendments, EXHIBIT M). 419 SEN. SHOEMAKER What would be the change in section eight?

QUIGLEY: It is only a clarification on line twenty six. 436 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Shouldn't the violation be the greater of S1000.00 or the amount lost; we

should permit the violation penalty to be equal to the amount lost. 460 QUIGLEY: Continues review of (-A3) amendments. CHAIR SPRINGER: We will hold the bill for amendments to be drafted; Counsel

will work

with LC.

TAPE 220, SIDE B

HB 3104: Exempts artain rccords of non-regu lated corporation hanng affliated interest with

Senate Committee on Judiciary July 14, 1993 - Page 7

telecommunications utility of public ut lity from public disclosure.

050 MOTION: CHAIR SPRINGER-MOVES THAT THE (-A8) AMENDMENTS BE ADOPTED WITH THE TITLE CORRECTION FOR THE NEW SECTIONS 19A & 20A.

057 VOTE: HEARING NO OBJECTION THE MOTION CARRIES. MOTION: CHAIR SPRINGER MOVES THAT THE AMENDMENTS PROPOSED BY THE SECRETARY OF STATE, BASICALLY INCORPORATING PROVISIONS OF 499 AS AM1 :ND]ED, INCLUDING TILE DELETION OF SECTION 39, BE ADOPTED. TILE MO ION ALSO INCLUDES APPROPRIATE CONFLICT AMENDMENTS THI .T MAY BE NECESSARY.

078 SEN. SMITH: I will register a no vote as a matter of objecting to the process; I will be voting for the bill.

082 VOTE: IN A ROLL CALL VOIE THE MOTION CARRIES. MEIMBERS VOTING NO: SEN. SMITH MOTION: CHAIR SPRINGER MOVES THE TOP TWO PAGES OF TILE SECRETARY OF STATE'S PROPOSED AMENDMENTS, SECTION X, Y ~ Z. VOTE: IN A ROLL CALL VOTE THE MOTION CARRIES. MEMBERS VOTING NO: SEN. SMITH. MOTION: CHAIR SPRINGER MOVES HB 3104 AS AMENDED TO THE FLOOR WITH A DO PASS RECOMMENDATION.
103 VOTE: IN A ROLL CALL VOTE: THE MOTION CARRIES UNANIMOUSLY.

HB 2224: Creates crime of unlawful impersonation of peace officer.

148 MOTION: SEN. HAMBY MOVES THE (-A4) AMENDMENTS BE ADOPTED. CHAIR SPRINGER: These amendments should be read as deleting the original language of the bill in it's entirety, renumbering the sections. 168 SEN. RASMUSSEN: I would like to review the amendments.

Senate Committee on Judidary July 14, 1993 - Page 8

• The committee stands at ease. HB 2033: Prondes that certain property held in safe deposit box which remains unclaimed for more than one year is presumed abandoned.

WITNESSES: MARCELLA EASLEY, DIVISION OF STATE LANDS 195 MARCELLA EASLEY, DIVISION OF STATE LANDS: Submits and reviews written testimony in support of the bill, (EXHIBIT K). 221 CHAIR SPRINGER: The financial illStitUtil ns have no objections?

EASLEY: Correct.

SEN. SHOEMAKER: What happens if owner does show up after three years? EASLEY: The owner is always entitled to claim belongings; it is held in trust for the owner by the state indefinitely. 248 MOTION: CHAIR SPRINGER MOVES THAT HB 2033-A BE SENT TO THE FLOOR WITH A DO PASS RECOMMENDATION. 253 VOTE IN A ROLL CALL VOTE THE MOTION CARRIES UNANIMOUSLY. HB 2224: Creates cnme of unlawful impersonation of peace officer. WITNESS: JOHN NICHOLS, OREGON STATE SHOOTING ASSOCIATION 262 SEN. RASMUSSEN: I will be voting no.

SEN. HAMBY: This is clear; no concealed weapons, no fireanns, no weapons in

court houses and no concealed weapons, firearms and no weapons in schools; it is in sync with the federal law. 290 JOHN NICHOLS, OREGON STATE SHOOTING ASSOCIATION: I do not support section four, page two, lines eight through eleven of the amendments. SEN. HAMBY: Without that language there is no support for the bill, including from mysdf.

312 NICHOLS: Under current law a concealed weapon license holder can have concealed weapons in those places.

· We still like giving the Judge the power to declare what they have to do.

324 SEN. HAMBY: My preference is, if we are sitting in judgement of what a Judge can do and can't do, to sit with the group from court security that recommended this language.

Senate Committoe on Judiciary July 14, 1993 - Page 9

NICHOLS: There aren't statistics where a concealed license holder has screwed up in court or school.

SEN. HAMBY: According to State Police statistics, 345 Oregonums have been brought in the court rooms on crinlinal charges, wearing their concealed weapons permit.

385 SEN. RASMUSSEN: I don't care if a person with a concealed weapon permit can go in or out of courtroom, my problem is with "courthouse"; this language is too broad.

410 VOTE: IN A ROLL CALL V (TE THE MOTION CARRIES. MEMBERS VOIING NO: SEN. RASMUSSEN & SEN. SMITH. MOTION: SEN. HAMBY MOVE; THAT HB 2224 AS AMENDED BE SENT TO THE FLOOR WITH A DO PASS RECOMMENDATION.
420 VOTE: IN A ROLL CALL VOTE THE MOTION CARRIES. MEMBERS VOTING NO: SEN. RASMUSSEN.

429 CHAIR SPRINGER: We are adjourned.

Transcribed by,	Reviewed by,
Kimberly Burt	Bill Taylor
Committee Assistant	Committee Counsel

EXHIBIT SUMMARY: A - HB 3104: Proposed (-A8) amendments submitted by staff, pp 2 B - HB 3104: Written testimony submitted by Keisling, pp 17 C - HB 3104: Written testimony submitted by Tonlinson, pp D - HB 3104: Wntten testimony submitted by Morgan, pp 1 E - HB 3104: Proposed amendments submitted by Morgan, pp 2 F - HB 3059: Written ~ submitted by Harder, pp 1 G - HB 3059: Written testimony submitted by Kraft, pp 6 H - HB 2309: Proposed (-A9) amendments submitted by staff, pp 1 I - HB 2309: Written testimony submitted by Gaodwin, pp 1 J - HB 2224: Proposed (-A4) amendments submitted by staff, pp 4 K - HB 2033: Written testimony submitted by Easley, pp 8 L - HB 2309: Proposed (-A8) amendments submitted by staff, pp 1 M - HB 2386: Proposed (-3) amendments submitted by staff, pp 15 --. The~c mi~ con~m mebd~l~ ~bich puepb~ - ~/~ _ ~1 ~ m~ hie ~ion. Onl~ b~a encb~od ill qwl~ mu~ report e Qe~r'~ e~ct ~vo~. P-compkte con~cnb of ~e p~coedil p, plc~e refer to-tcpe~.