

SENATE COMMITTEE ON LABOR AND GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS

February 15, 1993 Hearing Room B 9:00 a.m. Tapes 22 - 23 MEMBERS
PRESENT: Senator Tricia Smith, Chair Senator Grattan Kerans, Vice
Chair Senator Brady Adams Senator Wes Cooley EXCUSED: Senator Peg
Jolin STAFF PRESENT: Joan Van Almen, Committee Counsel Joan Green,
Committee Assistant MEASURES HEARD: HB 2270 relating to the Secretary
of State HB 2279 relating to voters' pamphlet HB 2096 relating to law
enforcement WITNESSES: Kenneth Chambers, State Police Steve Bennett,
Board on Public Safety Standards and Training Nina Johnson, Executive
Assistant, Secretary of State's Office Jim Bunn, State Senator, District
15 -

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize
statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation
marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the
proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 1. SIDE A

006 Chair Smith: Meeting called to order at 9:13 a.m.

PUBLIC HEARING HB 2096 RELATING TO LAW ENFORCEMENT

018 JIM BUNN, STATE SENATOR, DISTRICT 15: Discusses proposed amendment.

046 Chair Smith: The amendment should be out of Legislative Counsel
this afternoon.

049 Sen. Bunn: Responds.

057 Joan Van Almen, Counsel: Reviews the bill. 068 KENNETH CHAMBERS,
STATE POLICE: Speaks in support of the bill, Exhibit A.

085 Chair Smith: Discussion of the amended impact statement. Requests
response to Sen. Bunn's proposed amendments. 109 Chambers: Responds.

114 Van Almen: Do you feel you will now fall within the definition of
"law enforcement unit"? 125 Chambers: Responds. 134 Van Almen: How
do you reconcile ORS 236 to what you are wanting to do? 149 Chambers:
Responds. 148 Sen. Kerans: What is going on in §'s 2 and 3 of the
bill. 157 Chambers: Responds.

190 Sen. Kerans:

199 STEVE BENNETT, BOARD ON PUBLIC SAFETY STANDARDS AND TRAINING:
Speaks in support of the bill.

219 Chair Smith: You were a requestor of the Attorney General's
opinion, what prompted that request?

225 Bennett: Responds. ~' 240 Chair Smith: Do local government's,
currently using this program, pay?

247 Bennett: Responds.

259 Chair Smith: Who else wanted to avail themselves of your services?

263 Bennett: Responds.

266 Chair Smith: Could those entities go through your process now, if they paid?

271 Bennett: Yes, on a space available basis, but they are not certifiable.

288 Chair Smith: Are there other bills to include those other entities?

292 Bennett: Responds.

291 Chair Smith: Are the state police officers certified, prior to getting this order, in jeopardy of losing their certification? The Attorney General's opinion does not negate or nullify that?

300 Bennett: Responds. 310 Sen. Kerans: Are there recent hires who are not certified? 326 Bennett: Yes. 329 Sen. Kerans: They would be the first gone, and they want to work for someone who can afford Senate Labor and Government Operabons February 15, 1993 - Page 3

them?

330 Bennett: Yes. 340 Sen. Kerans: Questions §'s 2 and 3, wants that clarified.

342 Bennett: Responds. 351 Van Almen: Why weren't State Police Officers included in 1991?

369 Bennett: Responds.

HB 2270 RELATING TO THE SECRETARY OF STATE TAPE 23, SIDE A

400 NINA JOHNSON, EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT, SECRETARY OF STATE'S OFFICE: Speaks in support of the bill, Exhibit B.

022 Chair Smith: What is this insurance?

025 Johnson: Responds.

028 Chair Smith: Is there anyone else that they must file with?

031 Johnson: Responds.

044 Joan Van Almen, Counsel: Would eliminating that requirement endanger the public in any way?

050 Johnson: Responds. 056 Van Almen: How would someone know if they do/don't have this?

059 Johnson: Responds. Continues with testimony, pg. 2, §2, Exhibit B.

093 Chair Smith: Why don't we eliminate this from the Executive Department instead?

096 Johnson: Responds.

106 Chair Smith: Do you know what the Executive Department's retention requirements are?

108 Johnson: Responds. Continues with testimony, pg. 2, §5, Exhibit B.

119 Chair Smith: The Executive Department compiles the report and sends a copy to you? 123 Johnson: Responds.

127 Chair Smith: Is the requirement for the Executive Department statutory or rule?

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes. Senate Labor and Government Operabons February 15, 1993 - Page 4

129 Johnson: Responds. Continues with testimony, page 3, §6, Exhibit B.

153 Van Almen: Refers to §2, what would happen to the will that is currently registered with you?

159 Johnson: Responds.

164 Sen. Adams: How long would that registry stay on file?

170 Johnson: Responds.

177 Sen. Adams: Refers to §3, would they be securely stored with the State Division of Lands?

183 Johnson: Responds.

196 Chair Smith: If you are required statutorily to retain these, would you verify that the retention schedules would be equivalent or better.

208 Van Almen: Questions the bond language and other securities language, is it just obsolete language?

210 Johnson: Responds.

221 Van Almen: Refers to §6, what is the underlying reason for your office receiving that notice? 234 Johnson: Responds.

243 Chair Smith: Where would these be filed, under this bill?

253 Johnson: Responds.

HB 2279 RELATING TO VOTERS' PAMPHLET 263NINA JOHNSON, EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT, SECRETARY OF STATE'S OFFICE: Speaks in support of the bill.

284 Sen. Adams: Could there be some legal question whether a county could participate, if we don't pass this? How does it apply to SB 357?

300 Johnson: Responds.

304 Chair Smith: Your bill has no emergency clause. How would this compare?

312 Johnson: Responds.

329 Chair Smith: This bill, if passed, would be in effect in November, 1993, but not in time for counties should we go to an election in October without an emergency clause? 336 Johnson: Responds.

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes. _ . Senate Labor and Government Operations February 15,1993 - Page S

349 Sen. Adams: Speaks in support of adding the emergency clause.

357 Chair Smith: Is there other legislation relating to the voters' pamphlet?

360 Johnson: Responds.

Recessed at 10:90 a.m.

Reconvened at 10:12 a.m.

WORK SESSION

404 MOTION: SEN. COOLEY MOVED TO SEND HB 2279 TO THE FLOOR WITH A DO PASS RECOMMENDATION. 409 Sen. Adams: Requests an emergency clause be added. 422 MOTION: SEN. COOLEY WITHDRAWS HIS MOTION. 430 MOTION: SEN. ADAMS MOVED THAT THE BILL BE AMENDED WITH THE ADDITION OF AN EMERGENCY CLAUSE. HEARING NO OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. 436 MOTION: SEN. COOLEY MOVED TO SEND HB 2279 AS AMENDED TO THE FLOOR WITH A DO PASS RECOMMENDATION. HEARING NO OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. (EXCUSED: SEN. JOI IN, CHAIR SMITH). 440 Meeting adjourned at 10:15 a.m.

Submitted by:

Joan Green
Almen Assistant

Reviewed by:

Joan Van
Counsel

EXHIBIT LOG:

A - Testimony on HB 2096 - Chambers - 3 pages B - Testimony on HB 2270 - Johnson - 4 pages

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.