

SENATE COMMITTEE ON LABOR AND GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS

May 3, 1993 Hearing Room B 8:00 a.m. Tapes 115, 116 & 118

MEMBERS PRESENT: Senator Tricia Smith, Chair Senator Karsten Rasmussen,
Vice Chair Senator Brady Adams Senator Wes Cooley Senator Dick Springer

STAFF PRESENT: Joan Van Almen, Committee Counsel Stephanie
Holmes, Committee Research Pamela Stefani, Committee Clerk

MEASURES CONSIDERED: SB 768 - Public Hearing SB 962 - Public
Hearing & Work Session SB 510 - Public Hearing SB 507 - Public Hearing &
Work Session SB 377 - Public Hearing & Work Session

[--- Unable To Translate Graphic ---]

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize
statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in
quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents
of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes. [--- Unable To Translate
Graphic ---]

TAPE 115, SIDE A

004 VICE-CHAIR RASMUSSEN calls the meeting to order and opens a
public hearing on SB 768.

SB 768 - PUBLIC HEARING

Witnesses: Arlene Collins, AFSCME, Council 88 Bill Casey, Traditional
Values Coalition of Oregon Lila Ratheau, The Right to Privacy

009 ARLENE COLLINS, AFSCME, Council 88, testifies in support of SB
768. > was first to negotiate "domestic partner" in their contract and
hopes all employees have the same benefit

027 SEN. ADAMS: The fiscal analysis estimates a cost of \$1,683,338
for the 93-95 biennium and \$2,601,112 for the 95-97 biennium. Given the
current budget situation, how would you justify this?

035 MS. COLLINS: Our county's budget was \$12 Million. The cost to
our package was approximately \$300,000. Approximately 10 percent will
probably be covered; it has gone that way across the country. We
justified it because one way or the other you are going to pay for that
coverage because they use the services. I would imagine the same thing
is happening in the state.

Discussion continues on the provision of health care to all citizens.

062 BILL CASEY, Traditional Values Coalition of Oregon, submits and
reads a prepared statement in opposition to SB 768 (EXHIBIT A).

090 Discussion is held on who would be included in the term "domestic
partner."

MR. CASEY continues reading his statement.

170 LILA RATHEAU, The Right to Privacy, testifies in support of SB

768: > is important to the gay and lesbian community because of equity
> nothing in the bill would give anyone a right that no one else has >
not asking to be on partner's health insurance if there is not financial
interdependence

244 CHAIR RASMUSSEN: This bill would also include heterosexual
couples who are not married.

MS. RATHEAU: That is correct. In cities where this has passed, a very
small percentage take advantage of it. It would have a very small
fiscal impact for gays and lesbians on the State of Oregon because they
fear other discrimination if they indicate such a partner in writing.

263 CHAIR RASMUSSEN closes the public hearing on SB 768 and opens a
public hearing on SB 510.

(Tape 115, Side A) SB 510 - PUBLIC HEARING

Witnesses: Mary Botkin, AFSCME, Council 75 Mary Ann Gest, Oregon Public
Employees Union

274 MARY BOTKIN, AFSCME, Council 75, testifies in support of SB 510:
> current law applies only to State employees; this bill would extend
applicability to local governments and schools > does not expand
coverage; simply maintains worker's status the day of workers
compensation claim for a period of one year if the worker is unable to
work

370 Discussion is held on the fiscal impact to governmental agencies.

412 MARY ANN GEST, Oregon Public Employees Union, testifies in
support of SB 510: > in 1987 coverage was provided for all employees in
the state of Oregon, however, a technical flaw excluded insurance
companies like Blue Cross from the provisions of the bill > in 1989 it
was amended to include just employees of the State > is an important
benefit and should be passed to all public employees

TAPE 116, SIDE A

022 CHAIR RASMUSSEN closes the public hearing on SB 510 and opens a
public hearing on SB 962.

(Tape 116, Side A) SB 962 - PUBLIC HEARING

Witnesses: Sen. Bill Kenemer John Gervais, National Electrical
Contractors Association Greg Teeple, International Brotherhood of
Electrical Workers, Local

48 Ed Barnes, Electrical Workers and State Association of Electrical
Workers in Oregon Emerson Hamilton, National Electrical Contractors
Association James Kenworthy, Oregon Building Officials and City of
Beaverton Don Woodley, Marion County Ray Carriage, City of Portland
Bruce Anderson, Oregon State Homebuilders

027 SEN. BILL KENEMER, speaking in support of SB 962, informs the
committee he has introduced the bill at the request of the National
Electrical Contractors Association because there has been a problem with

the Building Codes Agency overriding the Electrical Board on the electrical safety code issues.

034 JOHN GERVAIS, National Electrical Contractors Association, submits copies of correspondence from the Department of Insurance and Finance (EXHIBIT B), newspaper articles (EXHIBIT D) and a prepared statement (EXHIBIT C). He summarizes his statement in support of SB 962: > suggests on page 2, lines 8, 9 and 10 be deleted because they don't make sense

107 MS. VAN ALMEN asks if Mr. Gervais' intent is to only change the appeals process.

107 MR. GERVAIS responds affirmatively.

116 GREG TEEPLE, International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 48, introduces Ed Barnes, Business Manager, Local 48 and Emerson Hamilton,

Chapter Manager, National Electrical Contractors Association and testifies in support of SB 962 and asks that the committee pass it out without Section 2 on page 2.

147 ED BARNES, Business Manager/Financial Secretary for the Electrical Workers, and representing the State Association of Electrical Workers in Oregon, comments that they are confused because in 1985 the Legislature enacted a law that requires continuing education for every journeyman,

supervisor and every apprentice in order to keep abreast with the new National Electrical Code. Mr. Gervais gave an example where the administrator or someone in his office allowed a lesser installation of conduit in electrical work than required by the National Electrical Code. We have had instances of lighting fixtures being red tagged that were installed by unlicensed people. To have the administrator and the legal counsel making these interpretations that people could do the installations---. It is important that we have one person that will speak with one voice. 212 EMERSON HAMILTON, Manager, National Electrical Contractors Association chapter in Eugene and representing the manger of the chapter in Portland, testifies in support of SB 962: > it is a safety issue > consistency of inspections and interpretations are a major problem for the contractors and the wire men in the industry > the need for consistency and safety in installations is unmatched

242 JAMES KENWORTHY, representing the Oregon Building Officials and the City of Beaverton: We concur with Mr. Gervais' comments regarding lines 8,

9, 10 and 11 on page 2 as being not necessary and perhaps encumbering the code option process we have known to work well in Oregon. Our concerns on page 1 to lines 11 and 12, as those who administer the codes at the local levels, are concerned with timeliness of the interpretative process. We currently have a rule that requires permits to be issued within 10 days of an application for an electrical permit. Whether or

not the plan review is completed, the permit must be issued. We are concerned that if an issue of interpretation of the electrical code comes up during that time frame, they will be forced, by rule, to issue the permit. Language needs to be added that would clarify what the

effect would be when a permit is pending and going through the plan review process. We are also concerned that the Electrical Board, if this bill is passed, would be obligated to produce interpretation in writing and to distribute those interpretations uniformly to all the jurisdictions in enforcement of the electrical code. We are also concerned about the timeliness of issues being raised which require an

interpretation. It would be our opinion that once a permit has been issued and work is in progress or substantially completed, that it is not good economically or for the building owner or contractor or the jurisdictions to raise an issue regarding a particular installation once the installation has essentially been complete. We believe there needs to be some onerous on the aggrieved person or group to make their appeal or request for interpretation in a timely manner.

318 SEN. ADAMS: Do you have amendments that would address your first two

issues. On the third issue, if there is a safety issue whether it is 30, or 40 or 90 percent into the project, we need to have a situation where it can be brought up.

326 MR. KENWORTHY: No. We have spoken with Mr. Gervais and he has indicated he would be happy to work with us to address those concerns.

We will take him at his word for that but we will stop short of saying we will support the bill until we have had an opportunity to do that.

337 MS. VAN ALMEN: Is it correct that there is currently a requirement that when the chief electrical inspector asks for a clarification, it be written down and dispersed to all other interested persons? If that is the case, perhaps your desire that there be an opinion in writing is all we need. Perhaps it is not being carried as well as it should be.

351 DON WOODLEY, Building Official, Marion County: I don't know of a formal process where interpretations are written down and distributed to local jurisdictions other than if you request to get a copy of the minutes of the board meeting.

430 MR. WOODLEY: In some of the testimony given this morning, you have heard the issue of licensing. That is where the individual contractor

was complaining, yet this bill is really only supposed to deal with electrical safety. Those are two entirely different issues. This bill is addressing the electrical code and electrical safety, not licensing. I would hate to think we would encumber the process by appealing the decision of a local jurisdiction on who was appropriately licensed for something.

TAPE 115, SIDE B

012 RAY CARRIAGE, Inspections Manager, City of Portland: I originally signed up to speak in opposition to this bill, however, we have had some discussions with the industry and I concur with the comments my colleagues have made. On a general level, the whole issue of interpretation of the code needs to be cleaned up and smoothed out.

We have had experiences where we have waited weeks and even months for an

interpretation to come out of the Building Codes Agency. As far as we are concerned, this is not a licensing issue and if the legislation can be crafted so it specifically zeros in on interpretations of the electrical code, the City of Portland would have no objection.

030 JOHN GERVAIS responds to testimony of other witnesses. This is not a

licensing bill; it is a code interpretation bill and I will make sure that is clear. We would all be served better if the interpretations from the chief were in writing rather than the haphazard over-the-phone kind of thing. I will make certain that we don't hamper them in the plan review process or if there is a problem they get an extension time on the review process so it is workable for them.

046 BRUCE ANDERSON, Oregon State Homebuilders Association: Initially we also had great concerns about this bill and were going to take attack similar to the Building Officials Association, but after hearing the amendments proposed by Mr. Gervais we would like to indicate a change in our position. We will wait to see the amendments before offering further positions.

055 CHAIR RASMUSSEN closes the public hearing and opens a work session on SB 962.

(Tape 115, Side B) SB 962 - WORK SESSION

062 CHAIR RASMUSSEN: My sense is that we can amend the bill to eliminate

lines 8,9 and 10 on page 2 and move the bill with the understanding that the other issues will be addressed in the House.

966 MOTION: CHAIR RASMUSSEN moves that lines 8, 9 and 10 on page 2 of SB 962 be deleted.

067 VOTE: CHAIR RASMUSSEN, hearing no objection to the motion, declares the motion PASSED. SEN. COOLEY and SMITH are EXCUSED.

070 MOTION: CHAIR RASMUSSEN moves that SB 962, as amended, be sent to the Floor with a DO PASS recommendation.

071 VOTE: In a roll call vote SENS. ADAMS, SPRINGER and CHAIR RASMUSSEN vote AYE. SENS. COOLEY and SMITH are EXCUSED.

075 CHAIR RASMUSSEN declares the motion PASSED. SEN. KENNEMER will be requested to carry the bill on the Floor.

(Tape 115, Side B) SB 507 - PUBLIC HEARING

Witnesses: Rich Peppers, OPEU Chuck Mendenhall, State Bargaining Units Benefit Board and OPEU Debbie Hinton, OPB

080 CHAIR RASMUSSEN opens a public hearing on SB 507.

083 MS. VAN ALMEN calls the members attention to proposed amendments SB 507-1, SB 507-2 and SB 507-3 and explains that the -3 amendments are the final version which substitute the contents of the bill.

092 RICH PEPPERS, Oregon Public Employees Union, submits a prepared statement (EXHIBIT E) and testifies in support of the SB 507-3 amendments.

113 CHUCK MENDENHALL, Chairperson, State Bargaining Units Benefit Board and Benefit Director for the Oregon Public Employees Union: The bill essentially allows employees and employer at the newly created Oregon Public Broadcasting to request through a collective bargaining process

continued health care coverage through a state agency. This would create continuity for those employees and the employer as they move from a state agency to a quasi or privatized agency.

129 SEN. ADAMS: Does this cost the taxpayers anything?

131 MR. MENDENHALL: The premiums being paid for the health care would no

longer be paid by a state agency; they would be paid either by the individuals at the new entity or by the new entity. It would not have any effect on the State of Oregon.

143 DEBBIE HINTON, Senior Vice President, Oregon Public Broadcasting:
We

were approached by OPEU early in the session on this idea as part of our discussion on OPB's privatization. The bill will be relevant only if OPB is separated from the state and becomes a private, non-profit corporation as proposed in SB 168. The bill gives OPB the option, but

not the mandate, to participate in the benefits plans. We are concerned about the language in lines 9 and 10 of the bill as it is currently written because it could be construed to imply that the collective bargaining agreement would hold for all OPB employees, not just those who are currently represented. We currently have about 50 employees who are under the collective bargaining agreement and about 65 who are not represented.

163 SEN. RASMUSSEN: Do you have a suggestion for fixing that?

163 MS. HINTON: I would suggest deleting the language on line 8 after "option". It would simply say "at the employer's option, eligible employees for participation...". We have a letter of agreement currently for continuation of the represented employees. I think it is just a clarification; I don't have any concerns about the bill itself.

180 CHAIR RASMUSSEN closes the public hearing and opens a work session on SB 507.

(Tape 115, Side B) SB 507 - WORK SESSION

183 MOTION: SEN. ADAMS moves that SB 507-3 amendments be

amended: delete "and" on line 8, all of line 9, and all of the words on line 10 up to "eligible" and that the 507-3 amendments, as amended, BE ADOPTED.

195 VOTE: CHAIR RASMUSSEN, hearing no objection to the motion, declares the motion PASSED. SEN. COOLEY and SMITH are EXCUSED.

197 MOTION: SEN. ADAMS moves that SB 507, as amended, be sent to the Floor with a DO PASS recommendation.

206 VOTE: In a roll call vote, SENS. ADAMS, SPRINGER and CHAIR RASMUSSEN vote AYE. SENS. COOLEY and SMITH are EXCUSED.

210 CHAIR RASMUSSEN declares the motion PASSED. SEN. ADAMS will lead discussion on the Floor.

214 CHAIR RASMUSSEN closes the work session on SB 507 and opens a public hearing on SB 377.

(Tape 115, Side B) SB 377 - PUBLIC HEARING

Witnesses: Sue Jordan, Bureau of Labor & Industries Sen. Grattan Kerans

221 SUE JORDAN, Deputy Administrator, Civil Rights Division, Bureau of Labor & Industries, testifies in support of SB 337: > the bureau has received inquiries on genetic testing and anticipate there will be more in the future > the bureau would like to stop this inappropriate use of this kind of

material before it becomes widespread.

261 MS. VAN ALMEN advises the committee that Sen. Kerans, as sponsor of the bill, will be arriving to propose amendments to the bill.

264 CHAIR RASMUSSEN declares the meeting in recess to await the arrival of Sen. Kerans.

266 CHAIR RASMUSSEN calls the meeting back to order. 276 SEN. GRATTAN KERANS, District 20: SB 377 makes genetic screening information confidential and declares the use of genetic screening information an unlawful employment practice. As the price of the screening for genetic deformities and genetic indicators comes down and as employers become particular and involved in seeking out greater levels of information, it is important that we recognize there are some things which no person should be asked to give up about themselves as a condition for employment. Allowing a blood drawing and a screen to take place ought to be one of them. There ought to be a barrier between that information and a person's ability to seek and gain employment.

367 SEN. ADAMS: Would this bill ban the use of all genetic information?

367 SEN. KERANS: It would be prohibited as an employment practice.

455 SEN. ADAMS: If we are sure this does not include pre-existing conditions, I don't have a problem with it.

TAPE 118, SIDE A

015 MS. JORDAN comments that she does not think this addresses

pre-existing conditions and issues with insurance companies. This is just the pre-disposition.

026 SEN. KERANS: That is exactly the intent.

035 MS. VAN ALMEN: As Ms. Jordan referenced earlier, it is an unfair labor practice for an employer to require an employee or perspective employee to submit to a genetic screening. This bill goes somewhat farther. It also clarifies that it is not just a submission that will be prohibited, but any request for medical records that an employer might desire, that information of a genetic screening would be deleted from the record. The bill, however, as written, only protects current employees. The proposed amendments would expand it to perspective employees. The amendments are not back from Legislative Counsel.

055 CHAIR RASMUSSEN closes the public hearing on SB 377 and declares the meeting in recess.

(Tape 118, Side A) SB 377 - WORK SESSION

071 CHAIR RASMUSSEN reconvenes the meeting and opens a work session on SB

377.

079 MS. VAN ALMEN: The amendments delete lines 10 through 17 and the text in bold on the SB 377-1 amendments would be inserted. Three separate practices are being prohibited: seeking to obtain, obtaining or using.

087 CHAIR RASMUSSEN: Maybe we should say (in line 2), "unlawful employment practice for an employer to seek to obtain, to obtain, or to use" genetic screening. That would clarify the intent.

091 MOTION: CHAIR RASMUSSEN moves that the SB 377-1 amendments be amended: after the second "obtain" on line 3, delete the comma.

094 VOTE: CHAIR RASMUSSEN, hearing no objection to the motion, declares the motion PASSED. SENS. COOLEY and SMITH are EXCUSED.

095 MOTION: CHAIR RASMUSSEN moves that the SB 377-1 amendments, as

amended, BE ADOPTED.

098 VOTE: CHAIR RASMUSSEN, hearing no objection to the motion, declares the motion PASSED. SEN. COOLEY and SMITH are EXCUSED.

099 MOTION: CHAIR RASMUSSEN moves that SB 377, as amended, be sent to the Floor with a DO PASS recommendation.

104 VOTE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote AYE. SENS. COOLEY and SMITH are EXCUSED.

106 CHAIR RASMUSSEN declares the motion PASSED. SEN. KERANS will lead discussion on the Floor.

112 CHAIR RASMUSSEN declares the meeting adjourned.

Transcribed and submitted by,

Annetta Mullins Committee Assistant

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

A - SB 768, prepared statement, Bill Casey, 3 pp B - SB 962,
correspondence, John Gervais, 1 p C - SB 962, prepared statement, John
Gervais, 3 pp D - SB 962, news articles, John Gervais, 4 pp E - SB
507, prepared statement, Rich Peppers, 2 pp

SENATE COMMITTEE ON LABOR AND GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS

May 3, 1993 Hearing Room B 6:00 P.M. Tapes 119 - 120
MEMBERS PRESENT: Senator Tricia Smith, Chair Senator Karsten Rasmussen, Vice Chair Senator Brady Adams Senator Wes Cooley Senator Dick Springer STAFF PRESENT: Joan Van Almen, Committee Counsel Stephanie Holmes, Committee Research Pamela Stefani, Committee Clerk MEASURES CONSIDERED: Work Session on SB 797, SB 328, SB 1109, SB 822, SB 508, and SB 375.

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 119, SIDE A

006 CHAIR SMITH: Calls the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. WORK SESSION ON SB 797 Requires governing board of public body to provide comment period during board meetings and to allow any individual to provide written or oral comment. WITNESSES: Frank Gearhart, Bull Run Coalition Valerie Paulson, League of Cities Bob Robinson, East Side Democratic Club

014 JOAN VAN ALMEN, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Gives review of SB 797 & proposed amendments. 040 CHAIR SMITH: Asks for clarification of proposed amendments. 045 FRANK GEARHART, BULL RUN COALITION: Responds. 049 VAN ALMEN Clarifies amendment. 058 GEARHART Responds. Senate Labor and Government Operations May 3, 1993 - Page 2

060 VALERIE PAULSON, LEAGUE OF OREGON CITIES: Submits and summarizes proposed amendments. (EXHIBIT A)

080 BOB ROBINSON, EAST SIDE DEMOCRATIC CLUB: Gives testimony on proposed amendments. 107 PAULSON: Responds. 115 SEN. ADAMS: Asks for clarification of amendment. 125 VAN ALMEN: Clarifies amendment.

128 SEN. ADAMS: Standing committee will be exempt from this bill?

130 CHAIR SMITH: Yes, that's correct. 140 SEN. RASMUSSEN: We are working with amendments to -1 amendment? 142 CHAIR SMITH: Yes, that's correct. 150 GEARHART: Continues testimony on proposed amendment.

166 CHAIR SMITH: Ms. Paulson, what is wrong with the language that says, "provide a citizen comment period during which any person may comment on any item on the agenda"? 175 PAULSON: Responds.

188 CHAIR SMITH: What if the original 2a Sub. (a) left as it was and say allowing individual or a reasonable comment period in oral or in writing in Sub. (b)? 196 PAULSON: Still confusing and not clear to what is required. 203 SEN. RASMUSSEN: States concern with language "or any subject over which the governing body has authority".

210 ROBINSON: Responds. 221 CHAIR SMITH: Change page 2, line 19, 2(a) Sub. (b) to read "provide a reasonable period for public comment in writing on any item in the agenda to public meeting of the governing body before final action is taken on a matter." and include in Sub. (a) "provide a citizen comment period during which any person may comment on any item on the agenda or subject over which the governing body has authority to make a decision and recommendation on policy or administration and." 254 SEN. ADAMS: Period after "agenda".

258 CHAIR SMITH: Amend line 8 "except for the meetings of a statutory, standing or interim committee or other committee."

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes. Senate Labor and Government Operations May 3, 1993 - Page 3

265 MOTION: CHAIR SMITH: Moves to ADOPT the following changes:
2(a) Sub.(a) with a period (.) on line 16 after "agenda" and delete the remainder of the line except "and". Line 19 2(a) Sub.(b) delete "allow any individual to" and add "provide a reasonable period for public comment in writing on any item in the agenda to public meeting of the governing body before final action is taken on a maker." VOTE: CHAIR SMITH: Hearing no objections, the motion CARRIES. All members present for a vote. MOTION: CHAIR SMITH: Moves to ADOPT the -1 AMENDMENTS as further AMENDED by the League of Oregon Cities. VOTE: CHAIR SMITH: Hearing no objections, the motion CARRIES. All members present for a vote. MOTION: CHAIR SMITH: Moves SB 797 AS AMENDED to the Floor with a DO PASS recommendation. VOTE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote AYE.

CHAIR SMITH: The motion CARRIES.

CHAIR SMITH will lead discussion on the Floor.

WORK SESSION ON SB 508 Denies exemption from liability for employer's reckless or willful action or inaction causing injury to worker.

345 MOTION: SEN. RASMUSSEN: Moves SB 508 to the Floor with a DO PASS recommendation. VOTE: In a roll call vote, all members present AYE, except Sen. Adams and Sen. Cooley who vote NAY.

CHAIR SMITH: The motion CARRIES.

SEN. RASMUSSEN will lead discussion on the Floor.

WORK SESSION ON SB 375 Removes from exemption from liability for providing workers' compensation coverage those situations where worker's injury results in death and employer is convicted for criminal violation involving conduct that was proximate cause of injury and death.

390 SEN. RASMUSSEN: I have reservations about this bill.

TAPE 120, SIDE A

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes. _ _ _ _ _ Senate Labor and Government Operations May 3, 1993 - Page 4

006 SEN. RASMUSSEN: States concerns about SB 375.

014 CHAIR SMITH: Closes Work Session on SB 375.

WORK SESSION ON SB 328 Requires employers to provide user adjustable video display work stations.

WITNESSES: Diane Rosenbaum, OPEU

025 DIANE ROSENBAUM, OPEU: Submits and summarizes SB 328 proposed -1 amendments. (EXHIBIT B) 075 SEN. ADAMS: Fiscal statement does not talk about the costs to local governments and private employers.
090 SEN. SPRINGER: This is one sided. 095 CHAIR SMITH: Why would there be more complaints if the stations were changed ergonomically?
100 ROSENBAUM: Responds. 115 SEN. RASMUSSEN: I don't believe the fiscal, and this bill gives rise to complaints. 135 MOTION: CHAIR SMITH: Moves to ADOPT -1 amendments.

VOTE: Hearing no objections, the motion CARRIES.

MOTION: CHAIR SMITH: Moves SB 328 AS AMENDED to WAYS & MEANS with a DO PASS recommendation.

SEN. ADAMS: I will be voting no, I'm concerned that we'll be using some of the limited resources we have at the state, mandating to local government without funding and adding rules and regulations to private enterprise in a time when there should be heightened sensitivity.

VOTE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote AYE, except Sen. Adams and Sen. Cooley who vote NAY.

CHAIR SMITH: The motion CARRIES.

CHAIR SMITH: We will let the President know that we think this bill should go to the Senate Ways and Means Committee before they pass out the DIF budget.

WORK SESSION ON SB 110 9 Creates new unlawful employment practice relating to claim for or receipt of workers' compensation benefits.

150 VAN ALMEN: Gives overview of proposed -1 amendments. (EXHIBIT C)

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes. Senate Labor and Government Operations M.~y 3, 1993 - Page 5

185 SEN. RASMUSSEN: Gives overview of intent of SB 1109 and -1 amendments. 225 SEN. COOLEY: States concerns about bill. 240 SEN. RASMUSSEN: Responds.

280 MOTION: SEN. RASMUSSEN: Moves to ADOPT -1 amendments.

VOTE: CHAIR SMITH: Hearing no objection, the motion CARRIES.

MOTION: SEN. RASMUSSEN: Moves SB 1109 AS AMENDED to the Floor with a DO PASS recommendation. VOTE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote AYE, except Sen. Cooley who votes NAY.

CHAIR SMITH: The motion CARRIES.

SEN. RASMUSSEN will lead discussion on the Floor.

WORK SESSION ON SB 822 Increases amount of attorney fees payable upon reconsideration of workers' compensation claims 315 MOTION: CHAIR SMITH: Moves SB 822 to the Floor with a DO PASS recommendation. VOTE: In a roll call vote, all members present vote AYE, except Sen. Adams and Sen. Cooley who vote NAY. CHAIR SMITH: The motion CARRIES. SEN. SPRINGER will lead discussion on the Floor. 335 CHAIR SMITH: Adjourns the meeting at 7:00 p.m.

Transcribed by:

Reviewed by:

Jeffrey Boles
Committee Coordinator

Pamela Stefani Committee Clerk

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes. Senate Labor and Government Operations May 3, 1993 - Page 6

EXHIBIT LOG:

A - Amendments to SB 797 - Paulson - 7 pages B - Amendments to SB 328 -
Rosenbaum - 3 pages C - Amendments to SB 1109 - Staff - 5 pages

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.