

Tapes 101 - 102 A/B SENATE COMMITTEE ON REVENUE AND SCHOOL FINANCE  
Informational Meeting HJR 59 Informational Meeting State School Funding  
Formula

March 31, 1993 1:00 PM HEARING ROOM A STATE CAPITOL  
BUILDING

Members Present: Senator Shirley Gold, Chair Senator Joyce Cohen Senator  
Brady Adams Senator Ron Cease Senator Paul Phillips Senator Tricia Smith  
Members Excused: Senator Rod Johnson

Staff: Jim Scherzinger, Legislative Revenue Officer  
Steve Bender, Legislative Revenue Office  
Terry Drake, Legislative Revenue Office  
Bryan Boehringer, Committee Assistant

TAPE 101, SIDE A

004 CHAIR GOLD: Calls the meeting to order at 1:08 p.m.

INFORMATIONAL MEETING ON HJR 59

015 STEVE BENDER, REVENUE OFFICER: Explains the resolution. Describes the  
dedication of the tax. Explains the timetable for passage of the  
resolution.

075 SEN. PHILLIPS: Discusses city revenue sharing.

079 STEVE BENDER, REVENUE OFFICER: Explains the distribution of funds.

100 CHAIR GOLD: Discusses loss of revenue to lower income communities.

115 SEN. PHILLIPS: Discusses the generation of revenue in the different  
communities. Asks for clarification between low income areas and high  
property tax factors.

138 STEVE BENDER, REVENUE OFFICER: Discusses the equalization type of  
funding.

156 SEN. ADAMS: Discusses high property millage levels.

165 STEVE BENDER, REVENUE OFFICER: Discusses the amendment that distributes  
the revenue sharing component.

174 SEN. CEASE: Discusses the concept of the resolution.

\ ~ , ' t!

-

These mmu~ pa~aee andlor a\_l~ze d~ts made duri4 this mq. Text enclosed m  
quotation marks reports the spokers exact words. Por complete context of  
prccecoiogi, ple~c rcfct ~ e~e rccordiy.

Senate Committee

on

Revenue and School Finance March 31, 1993 Page 2

200 SEN. PHILLIPS: Discusses distribution of general fund money. Discusses  
current use of these funds.

214 STEVE BENDER, REVENUE OFFICER: Discusses the effect of the excise tax.  
Explains the distribution of the collected taxes.

358 SEN. PHILLIPS: Discusses the definition of prevention in the  
resolution. Prevention could be interpreted in different ways. Law  
enforcement might receive these funds.

380 STEVE BENDER, REVENUE OFFICER: Explains the definition of the terms  
used in the resolution. These funds could not be used for general police  
services.

407 SEN. ADAMS: Discusses amendments to clarify the issue.

428 SEN. PHILLIPS: Discusses changes that would render the resolution  
ineffective.

438 STEVE BENDER, REVENUE OFFICER: Discusses reference to other House  
bills.

TAPE 102, SIDE A

035 CHAIR GOLD: Discusses the location of SB 357 in the legislative  
process.

054 SEN. PHILLIPS: Discusses the four issue concerns. Discusses the options  
available for achieving the desired result. Asks about the concerns in the  
House.

081 STEVE BENDER, REVENUE OFFICER: Discusses testimony against the resolution.  
098 CHAIR GOLD: Discusses scheduling the bill for public hearing later in the week.  
107 SEN. CEASE: Asks about possible income reductions to city general funds.  
121 STEVE BENDER, REVENUE OFFICER: There will be cities that will lose revenue.  
INFORMATIONAL MEETING ON STATE SCHOOL FUND FORMULA (HB 2437)  
198 JIM SCHERZINGER, REVENUE OFFICER: Explains the formula. Describes the distribution of funds.  
250 SEN. SMITH: Asks about the current per student average.  
254 JIM SCHERZINGER, REVENUE OFFICER: It is between 4000.00 and 4400.00.

Ibece ~utos paraphrs~o and/or \_ ~L< mcde durin8 Ithir a\_. Text eoebeod ~ quoteticn ~ ~ tbo ~ oxra words. Far complete cantext of procoodulgs, please refer to the tllp0 recording.

Senate Committee on

Revenue and School Finance March 31, 1993 Page 3

270 SEN. SMITH: Asks about the transportation costs.  
275 JIM SCHERZINGER, REVENUE OFFICER: There are no changes in the first year of the formula. The changes are in the second year. Discusses general distribution grant.  
336 SEN. CEASE: Asks about the length of the appropriation bill.  
346 JIM SCHERZINGER, REVENUE OFFICER: Explains the changes in the appropriation. Discusses the changes in the formula.  
370 SEN. SMITH: Asks about funding for ESD.  
377 JIM SCHERZINGER, REVENUE OFFICER: Explains the ESD distribution.  
391 SEN. SMITH: Asks about funding ESD's revenue loss.  
400 JIM SCHERZINGER, REVENUE OFFICER: Explains the funding of ESD.  
420 SEN. SMITH: Discusses the services that ESD provides. Asks about reducing the services that ESD provides.  
444 JIM SCHERZINGER, REVENUE OFFICER: Explains the funding of ESD programs. Discusses resolution services.  
TAPE 101, SIDE B  
050 SEN. CEASE: Discusses the need to focus on the allocation of funds, and not the setting of policy.  
057 JIM SCHERZINGER, REVENUE OFFICER: Continues with testimony on the distribution formula.  
067 SEN. SMITH: Discusses jurisdiction of committee over funding levels.  
073 JIM SCHERZINGER, REVENUE OFFICER: Discusses the formula's effect in '93-'94 and '94-" 5.  
100 CHAIR GOLD: Discusses the issue of funding the youth care centers.  
111 JIM SCHERZINGER, REVENUE OFFICER: Discusses SB 24.  
119 SEN. PHILLIPS: Discusses revenue distribution in the second year of the formula.  
128 SEN. ADAMS: Asks for clarification in the distribution of funds in '94 and '95.

~ ~!

Thero a p ~ and/or sunmaize \_ made during this meedag. Tezt enclosed ~n quot-n m~rks reports the sperlcerr exuct words. For complecte context of proceediogs, plescse refer to tbe tepe recording.

Senate Committee

on

Revenue and School Finance March 31, 1993 Page 4

148 JIM SCHERZINGER, REVENUE OFFICER: Explains the reasoning behind the second year. The committee wanted to avoid an argument in the second year.  
180 SEN. SMITH: Asks about projections.

221 SEN. ADAMS: Discusses the conflict that the House committee was trying to avoid.  
235 JIM SCHERZINGER, REVENUE OFFICER: Explains the discussions in the House committee.  
271 JIM SCHERZINGER, REVENUE OFFICER: Discusses other options for more income than originally forecasted.  
317 CHAIR GOLD: Asks about resources for understanding the discussion in the other committee.  
373 TERRY DRAKE, REVENUE OFFICER: Explains the long term changes in the distribution formula. Discusses steps towards equalization.  
TAPE 102, SIDE B  
034 SEN. PHILLIPS: Discusses the equalization move.  
044 TERRY DRAKE, REVENUE OFFICER: Continues testimony. Explains the equalization process. Discusses the gap between flat funding the top counties and bring up the bottom counties.  
069 SEN. PHILLIPS: Discusses flat funding. Asks about the percentage of students that need extra spending, in order to be brought up to the same level of the upper districts.  
072 TERRY DRAKE, REVENUE OFFICER: The bottom is about 50% of the student population.  
078 SEN. PHILLIPS: Asks about making money more available in the second year.  
083 TERRY DRAKE, REVENUE OFFICER: Discusses the distribution of money in the second year.  
107 SEN. ADAMS: Discusses equalization.  
128 TERRY DRAKE, REVENUE OFFICER: Explains the data that the bill is based on. He will update the data when the bill gets to the Senate.  
157 SEN. CEASE: Asks about the student population numbers.

There lutes pcrxphrzc andlor swnmarizc SB tcmnts m~de during this meetia .  
Tcxt cncllled en quobti~n mz\*s ~ tho ~lceD czact words. For complete  
contox of proccdings, pkase refer to the tepc recordiog.  
Senate Committee on  
Revenue and School  
Finance  
March 31, 1993 Page 5

166 SEN. SMITH: Discusses the need for an educated guess on the estimated student population in the next biennium.  
202 CHAIR GOLD: Adjourns the meeting at 2:47 p.m.

Submitted by: Bryan Boehringer  
Committee Clerk

Reviewed by: Kim Taylor  
Office Manager

Theac rnautca pacaphruc aud/or aummanzc statements rnadc d~ 0a meel ng. Tcxt  
enclosed ill qud~tictn malca reports thc apeabera CXlICT words. For  
emnpctc context of proccdings, plelllse refer to thc tape recording.