Tapes 019-20 A/B Public Hearing SB 271 Work Session SB 79 SB 272 SENATE COMMITTEE ON REVENUE AND SCHOOL FINANCE JANUARY 28, 1993 1:00 AM HEARING ROOM A STATE CAPITOL BUILDING Members Present: Senator Shirley Gold, Chair Senator Brady Adams Senator Ron Cease Senator Rod Johnson Senator Peg Jolin Senator Tricia Smith Members Excused:Senator Paul Phillips Witnesses Present: John Hall, Oregon Economic Development Department Karma Elmor, Department of Revenue Jerry Hanson, President, Oregon State Association of County Tax Assessors Ray Erland, President, Oregon State Association of County Tax Collectors Alan Willis, Port of Portland Staff: Steve Meyer, Legislative Revenue Office Jennifer Belkle, Committee Assistant TAPE 18 SIDE A 015 CHAIR GOLD called the meeting to order at 1:12 p.m. 025 JOHN HALL began testimony regarding SB 79 Exhibit 1.He explained that the enterprise zone program was set up to run on a calendar year. The current situation puts property on the tax role 6 months prior to the time in which a corporation can apply for an exemption. This bill would correct this problem. Questions and discussion. 90 JOHN HALL went through Exhibit 1 step by step noting all of the proposed changes to SB 79. Questions and discussion interspersed. 375 JOHN HALL noted that the changes reflect the desired dates of assessment, application for exemptions, and other deadlines. These minutes paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this meeting. Text enclosed in quotation marks reports the speakers exact words. For complete context of proceedings, please refer to the tape recording. Senate Committee on Revenue and School Finance January 28, 1993 Page 2 TAPE 19 SIDE A 001 JOHN HALL continued testimony regarding SB 79. Questions and discussion interspersed. 097 JOHN HALL defined a "deadzone" and its negative impact on a corporation. 120 SEN. CEASE inquired about how the "deadzone'r problem is going to be corrected in SB 79. 125 JOHN HALL explained that the proposed amendments to this bill provides the company with an accelerated filing date for exemptions. 169 KARMA ELMOR explained the addition of subsections to the bill. This amendment covers those situations where both 1) the firm had been granted the exemption or 2) if the firm was denied the exemption, then section 17B allows them the enterprise zone exemption retroactively. Questions and discussion. 230 CHAIR GOLD began the public hearing on SB 271.

245 STEVE MEYER introduced and explained SB 271. 265 JERRY HANSON gave testimony regarding SB 271. He explained that this bill is in answer to the problems created by HB 2550. He stated that farm use properties which should be disqualified, are not because of the current timeline situation. 330 RAY ERLAND clarified that the July 1 assessment date is for work that is completed by July 1. TAPE 18 SIDE R 001 JERRY HANSON continued. 024 STEVE MEYER stated that there would be a slight increase in revenue. Questions and discussion. 038 CHAIR GOLD continued the Public Hearing/Work Session on SB 272. 040 JERRY HANSON testified regarding SB 272. These minutes paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this meeting. Text enclosed in quotation marks reports the speakers exact words. For complete context of proceedings, please refer to the tape recording. Senate Committee on Revenue and School Finance January 28, 1993 Page 3 050 JERRY HANSON stated that the taxpayer has lost his ability to receive the 3% refund for prompt payment. The SB 79 allows for those taxpayers to pay within a timeline that would still entitle them to receive their 3% discount. Ouestions and discussion. 070 JERRY HANSON stated that he does not believe legislation is necessary regarding the Port of Portland's amendments to SB 272. Questions and discussion. 117 JERRY HANSON felt the Port of Portland amendment opened a window of opportunity far beyond what he believes is reasonable. He stated that he believed one year is adequate. 150 ALAN WILLIS explained his amendment to SB 272. The added language that the Port of Portland recommended gives a 4-5 year retroactive discount process. However, if the taxpayer has neglected their duty they are not eligible to recoup their refund/discount. Questions and discussion. 333 RAY ERLAND clarified what is meant by omitted property status. He stated that when the assessors cannot get their work done this is no fault of the taxpayer. However, citizens do have a responsibility to know if a tax bill reflects the current status of the property. Ouestions and discussion. TAPE 19 SIDE B 001 JERRY HANSON, RAY ERLAND, and ALAN WILLIS continued testimony on SB 272 . Discussion 165 CHAIR GOLD adjourned the meeting at 2:46 p.m. J ~4ifer Be e, Committee Assistant wk kt~ Kimberly Taylor, Office Manager These minutes paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this meeting. Text enclosed in quotation marks reports the speakers exact words.

For complete context of proceedings, please refer to the tape recording. Senate Committee on Revenue and School Finance January 28, 1993Page 4

Exhibit Summary 1. Hall, Mock-up of SB 79 2. Fiscal Impact Statement SB 79 3. Revenue Impact Statement SB 79 4. Fiscal Impact Statement SB 271

.

J

~ h

These minutes paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this meeting. Text enclosed in quotation marks reports the speakers exact words. For complete context of proceedings, please refer to the tape recording.