

SENATE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION

June 30, 1993 Hearing Room C 3:00 p.m. Tapes 109 - 110

MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. Joan Dukes, Chair Sen. John Lim Sen. Tricia Smith
Sen. Catherine Webber Sen. Mae Yih Sen. Bob Kintigh Sen. Jim Bunn

STAFF PRESENT: Ruth Larson, Committee Administrator Shannon
Gossack, Committee Assistant

MEASURES CONSIDERED: HB 2284

[--- Unable To Translate Graphic ---]

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes. [--- Unable To Translate Graphic ---]

TAPE 109, SIDE A

PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 2284: Relating to ports.

PAGET ENGEN, PORTS ASSOCIATION ALAN WILLIS, PORT OF PORTLAND DON BACON,
PORT OF GARIBALDI

006 CHAIR DUKES: Calls meeting to order at 3:20 p.m.

007 LARSON: Explains the intent of HB 2284.

031 PAGET ENGEN: Submits and reviews written testimony. (EXHIBIT A)

034 ALAN WILLIS, PORT OF PORTLAND: Testifies in support of HB 2284.
(EXHIBIT B) -Discusses the Oregon Transportation Plan. -Discusses the
role of ports in transportation planning. -Discusses the Oregon policy
in terms of ports.

061 DON BACON, PORT OF GARIBALDI: Submits and reviews written
testimony in support of HB 2284. (EXHIBIT B)

140 CHAIR DUKES: What will ports get out of this?

142 BACON: The ability to improve our highways and our east west links
from both the Port of Tillamook Bay and Port of Garibaldi. Also,
the

possibility of harbor improvements and the channel improvements.
-Discusses the revolving fund.

151 CHAIR DUKES: We are amending the economic development statutes not the transportation statutes.

158 BACON: We do use all of the state resources. It might help with the involvement in other activities. We are currently working on increasing our capacity of commercial fishing. -Discusses new oyster plant being built.

180 DON MANN, PORTS DIVISION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT: Testifies in support of HB 2284. -Ports are a very important element of transportation and economic development.

206 CHAIR DUKES: We are amending the economic statutes. What will you get out of this?

212 MANN: Discusses port involvement with the Transportation Commission. -This might open up the discussion. -This creates or invites ports to sit down at the table.

229 CHAIR DUKES: This isn't giving direction to the Transportation Commission at all. How does this help you?

241 ENGEN: They did testify in support of this bill on the House side.

244 CHAIR DUKES: I was told they were not following this.

247 ENGEN: It's a recognition bill. -Explains why this would benefit them. -Discusses the issue of partnership.

266 SEN. YIH: Which department supported this?

269 ENGEN: Economic Development and ODOT.

273 SEN. YIH: What would prevent us from putting this in the transportation statutes?

277 CHAIR DUKES: Nothing.

280 SEN. YIH: We could put it in both statutes.

282 ENGEN: I haven't researched that possibility to know where it would go.

288 CHAIR DUKES: We could conceptually amend the bill to insert this language in some transportation statutes as well.

295 SEN. YIH: Transportation is where it needs to go. The economic part will follow.

303 WILLIS: My view is that this is the area in statute where the state has done their policy on ports, regardless of department assistance and

you've given some direction even to ODOT and the Commission to include ports. Mr. Hollern views it in that regard and said that we're already

at the table for planning transportation.

330 CHAIR DUKES: In a lot of cases ODOT doesn't worry, at the end of the state highway, that you can get to the industrial end which may be port property or roads. They also don't necessarily worry about dredging,

although dredging of the Columbia River is in the Transportation Plan.

ODOT pays attention to ODOT statutes. I don't want to make this uncomfortable for you or get you into any difficulty with any other arrangements you may have. It appears you have an opportunity to get into ODOT statutes.

358 WILLIS: I don't want to sound like I don't want to do this.

360 CHAIR DUKES: You had to work hard to get to the table last session. Somehow ports are not considered the same kind of infra-structure as

something that rolls on four wheels is.

367 LARSON: On line 23 of the bill it says, "may work". You might want to make that stronger.

378 CHAIR DUKES: I would be happy to make it your call. -Explains the bill to Senator Jim Bunn.

401 ENGEN: I'm a little nervous because of the timing but other than that I would be happy with your amendment.

405 DUKES: You would need to get concurrence on the House side.

WORK SESSION ON HB 2284:

MOTION: Chair Dukes moves to conceptually amend HB 2284 by amending language from HB 2284 to also include the Department of Transportation statutes. Legislative Council will work out where the appropriate section is and take the wording out of HB 2284.

003 SEN. YIH: I will support the conceptual amendment I think it will give more emphasis on the ports to be included in planning.

006 LARSON: Do you want everything or just lines 14 and 15?

010 DUKES: Any of those pieces that relate to transportation be amended into the transportation statutes.

VOTE: Hearing no objection the motion is adopted.

MOTION: Senator T. Smith moves HB 2284, as amended, to the floor with a "DO PASS" recommendation and to rescind the referral to Trade and

Economic Development if they don't want the bill.

VOTE: In a roll call vote the motion carries unanimously. 030 CHAIR

DUKES: Adjourns meeting at 4:00 p.m.

Submitted by, Reviewed by,

Shannon Gossack Ruth Larson Assistant Administrator

EXHIBIT LOG: A - HB 2284 testimony, Paget Engen, 6 pgs. B - HB 2284
testimony, Alan Willis, 1 pg. C - HB 2284 testimony, Don Bacon, 3 pgs.