

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE, FORESTRY & NATURAL RESOURCES

May 28, 1991                      Hearing Room F 8:15 A.M.                      State Capitol Tapes  
116 - 118

MEMBERS PRESENT: REP. WALT SCHROEDER, Chair REP. LIZ VANLEEUWEN,  
Vice-Chair REP. SAM DOMINY REP. BILL DWYER REP. TIM JOSI REP. JOHN MEEK  
REP. CHUCK NORRIS

STAFF PRESENT:                      BETH PATRINO, Administrator EDWARD C. KLEIN,  
Assistant

MEASURES CONSIDERED: HB 2244 - RECONSIDERATION & WORK SESSION HB 2786  
- RECONSIDERATION & WORK SESSION SB 364 A - WORK SESSION SB 1202 B -  
PUBLIC HEARING & WORK SESSION SJR13 A - PUBLIC HEARING & WORK SESSION  
SJM4 - WORK SESSION

These minutes contain material which paraphrases and/or summarizes statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 116, SIDE A

003 CHAIR SCHROEDER: Calls the meeting to order at 8:15 A.M.

RECONSIDERATION -- HOUSE BILL 2244 -- EXHIBIT A

By implied consent House Bill 2244 is reconsidered.

008 BETH PATRINO: Describes HB 2244-18, Proposed Amendments to House Bill 224 4, dated 5/27/91 (EXHIBIT A).

-The changes were made because the fee section in HB 2244-16 also applied to aggregate mines, which she didn't think was the committee's intent.

-She describes the changes.

043 REP. DOMINY: Assumes the industry and environmental community support this, but he'd like to hear from them.

WORK SESSION -- HOUSE BILL 2244

Witnesses: Richard Angstrom, Oregon Concrete and Aggregate Producers Association Gary Lynch, Supervisor, Reclamation of Surface Mined Land, Department of Geology and Mineral Industries Dave Barrows, Oregon Mining

Council

CHAIR SCHROEDER: Opens the Work Session.

048 MOTION: REP. DWYER: Moves to adopt HB 2244-18, Proposed Amendments to House Bill 2244, dated 5/27/91.

REP. DWYER: It's important to clarify the fees applicable to each process.

-These amendments specify the fees apply only to chemical process mines.

067 RICHARD ANGSTROM, Oregon Concrete and Aggregate Producers Association: Wants the committee to reaffirm their legislative intent that the Minerals Task Force is intended to only study metals.

CHAIR SCHROEDER: GARY LYNCH, is your understanding of the intent that the task force will apply to metals only?

075 GARY LYNCH, Supervisor, Reclamation of Surface Mined Land, Department of Geology and Mineral Industries: It is our understanding that the task force applies to metals only.

-There is one change. On page 10, line 19, "605" should read "606".

CHAIR SCHROEDER: Have you had a chance to look at the amendments?

LYNCH: It was always our understanding this applied to metal mines.

CHAIR SCHROEDER: LIZ FRENKEL indicates the amendments are okay.

REP. DWYER: Calls for the question.

097 MOTION: REP. DWYER: Accepts a friendly amendment to his motion. On page 10, line 19, delete "605" and insert "606".

CHAIR SCHROEDER: Hearing no objection the motion passes.

103 DAVE BARROWS, Oregon Mining Council: Would appreciate a chance to look more carefully at the amendments, but they look okay at first glance.

REP. VANLEEUEWEN: Page 10 deals with one sort of fee and page 27 there are open ended fees. What is the difference?

LYNCH: The understanding is that large scale mines pay the initial fee on page 10. The open ended fees on page 27 are the additional costs.

BARROWS: We support that concept.

REP. NORRIS: Do HB 2244-18 supersede the other amendments?

CHAIR SCHROEDER: Yes.

VOTE: The motion to adopt HB 2244-18 passes unanimously.

138 MOTION: REP. DWYER: Moves House Bill 2244 as amended to the Floor of the House with a do pass recommendation.

VOTE: The motion carries unanimously.

CARRIER: REP. SCHROEDER.

153 MOTION: REP. DWYER: Moves to suspend the rules to reconsider HB 2786.

CHAIR SCHROEDER: Hearing no objection the motion passes.

RECONSIDERATION -- HOUSE BILL 2786 -- EXHIBITS B & C

159 BETH PATRINO: Describes the Majority Report (HB 2786-4, Proposed Amendments to House Bill 2786, dated 5/15/91 (EXHIBIT B)) and the Minority Report (HB 2786-MR3, Proposed Amendments to House Bill 278 6, dated 5/15/91 (EXHIBIT C)).

165 REP. DWYER: Felt this bill should have been in Judiciary.

MOTION: REP. DWYER: Moves to amend the bill with the minority report and send the bill to the House Committee on Judiciary.

CHAIR SCHROEDER: This is a very broad relating clause.

REP. VANLEEUEWEN: Why does it have to go to Judiciary?

REP. DWYER: The Speaker felt it would be more appropriate.

REP. VANLEEUEWEN: Are we sending it to it's grave?

REP. DWYER: We'll send it do pass and hopefully they can deal with it.

CHAIR SCHROEDER: The Speaker ruled there are one or two non-germane items in the bill.

REP. DWYER: We could have taken a lot of time arguing this on the Floor.

200 REP. MEEK: Is there anything in the existing bill that passed out of this committee that needs to be corrected?

CHAIR SCHROEDER: We used HB 2786 to put in my proposed amendment which established the crime of interference with livestock facilities.

-The Minority Report adds interference with medical facilities.

REP. DOMINY: Legislative Counsel had a problem with us adding the sentencing guidelines so the Minority Report eliminated that aspect.

CHAIR SCHROEDER: The Judiciary Counsel recommended we put that into the bill.

REP. NORRIS: Thinks we'll have trouble with this.

REP. MEEK: Is this the same as the bill on animal research?

REP. DWYER: No; that relating clause was not broad enough.

-The Speaker ruled there was a question of germaneness so we said we'd amend it with the minority report and send it to Judiciary.

CHAIR SCHROEDER: Discusses what happened with his original bill on

animal research.

245 REP. JOSI: The minority report has animal production facilities in it?

CHAIR SCHROEDER: Yes.

REP. MEEK: Hasn't heard arguments for or against the minority report amendments.

REP. DWYER: Judiciary can make that determination.

MOTION: CHAIR SCHROEDER: Moves to delete sections 5, 6 and 7, HB 2786-4.

REP. DWYER: Would like Judiciary to sort it out.

279 REP. NORRIS: We passed this bill on the Floor on May 14, what's happened since then?

CHAIR SCHROEDER: Explains.

REP. DWYER: We agreed to reconsider the bill, amend it with the Minority Report and send it to Judiciary.

REP. MEEK: What's the direction of the Chair.

REP. DWYER: We agreed on reconsideration.

REP. NORRIS: Will Judiciary work on it?

CHAIR SCHROEDER: REP. BAUM indicated they would hear it.

REP. JOSI: We're asked to vote on something we weren't prepared for.

329 VOTE: The motion to adopt the Minority Report passes.

MOTION: REP. DWYER: Moves House Bill 2786 as amended to the House Committee on Judiciary with a do pass recommendation.

VOTE: The motion carries unanimously.

PUBLIC HEARING -- A-ENGROSSED SENATE BILL 364 -- EXHIBIT D

Witnesses: Joe Cortright, Executive Officer, Trade and Economic Development Committee Sen. Wayne Fawbush, District 28 George Wyatt, Deputy Director, Department of Economic Development Lynn Youngbar, the Economic Development Department

350 BETH PATRINO: Updates the bill.

359 JOE CORTRIGHT, Executive Officer, Trade and Economic Development Committee: Describes SB 364- A6, Proposed Amendments to A-Engrossed Senate Bill 364 (EXHIBIT D).

406 REP. DWYER: You didn't deal with the corporation versus commission.

CORTRIGHT: No. Those amendments would be easy to draft. You have three options:

-1. Leave it as it is.

-2. Rename it as a commission.

-3. Assign the administrative responsibility to the Economic Development Department.

425 SEN. WAYNE FAWBUSH, District 28: Supports setting it up as an independent corporation.

-That is a gamble. It is a new approach to get at these independent business people in a way that would establish trust and confidence.

TAPE 117, SIDE A

019 REP. VANLEEUEWEN: Someone said the primary wood products industry wouldn't be interested in this. We've found several who are thinking about going into secondary manufacturing of some sort.

-How did we address that?

CORTRIGHT: The definitions on page 2, section 2, SB 364 A, include firms you're talking about.

REP. VANLEEUEWEN: That fits in with manufacturing modernization.

CORTRIGHT: That is a significant change that's in response to GEORGE BROWN's testimony.

-To clarify we're not talking about OSU's Extension Service, the terminology has been changed to a manufacturing modernization service.

-We've included language for this entity to work with OSU's College of Forestry.

052 REP. DWYER: We need to address what type of entity we need to create.

-He is not in favor of another government corporation.

SEN. FAWBUSH: It's not a government corporation, it is a freestanding non-profit corporation.

-It's unlike anything you've done before.

REP. DWYER: That's what makes me uncomfortable.

SEN. FAWBUSH: This is part of a package.

The Economic Development Department has concerns on how this will fit.

-You might want to route this to the House Trade Committee.

CHAIR SCHROEDER: That's what we intend to do.

-These changes are beneficial.

083 REP. VANLEEUEWEN: REP. DWYER, would you be more comfortable if this was tied into Economic Development?

REP. DWYER: Has no problem with that.

REP. NORRIS: By putting the Center for Housing Innovation at the University of Oregon into this, are we naming something that may disappear?

REP. DWYER: That is aimed at secondary wood products and will be around.

REP. NORRIS: Does the mission of the Oregon Marketplace fit with what we are doing?

REP. DWYER: They market Oregon products.

REP. NORRIS: Does their private mission fit into this?

CHAIR SCHROEDER: The Oregon Marketplace has state funds.

REP. DWYER: Only sections 5 through 8 of this act utilizes the Oregon Marketplace.

REP. NORRIS: It says "shall". Do we want to say "may utilize"?

CHAIR SCHROEDER: The Oregon Marketplace was funded by the lottery.

128 CORTRIGHT: The Oregon Marketplace is a non-profit corporation that receives the bulk of their funds through the lottery.

REP. VANLEEUEWEN: That language should be there.

REP. DWYER: Can the Department of Economic Development perform the functions of this corporation?

144 GEORGE WYATT, Deputy Director, Department of Economic Development: We are supportive of this legislation.

-This could be a part of our Key Industries Program.

-He describes how they are already dealing with the timber and wood products industry.

-We are interested that it be closely integrated with what is already going on.

167 REP. DOMINY: By calling it a corporation and disseminating market information, aren't we running the risk of anti-trust violations?

WYATT: The department raised that issue and we were advised by legal counsel that was not an issue.

189 REP. DWYER: Hesitates forming a new corporation when the Economic Development Department is already doing some of this work.

-He would like the Economic Development Department to do this.

CORTRIGHT: That is one of the options.

-The fiscal impact would be approximately the same.

REP. DWYER: The state would have control in subsequent years.

CORTRIGHT: It would have control over the appropriations, but not over the board of directors.

216 LYNN YOUNGBAR, Economic Development Department: If we take responsibility we would still try to get the industry to organize itself.

-We would appoint an advisory committee to design a program to meet the industry's needs.

-We don't necessarily want a government oriented corporation. It would be run like our Key Industries Program. We would get it started and on its feet and then have the industry be responsible.

243 REP. VANLEEUEWEN: Has no problem with that.

-We need to move this bill.

REP. DOMINY: Supports this concept. We would need a new set of amendments.

Would we be setting up a new department within the Economic Development Department?

263 CORTRIGHT: You could make two changes:

-1. Call it the Wood Products Competitiveness Program and make it clear it is part of the Economic Development Department.

-2. Wherever there is reference to the board of directors and industry input, call it the Wood Products Competitiveness Advisory Board.

-Delete the sections that are particular to the creation of the corporation.

CHAIR SCHROEDER: If we agree to this we'll ask JOE, GEORGE or LYNN and BETH to get together and bring amendments back Thursday.

REP. DOMINY: From that description would it be clear what this program would look like?

285 WYATT: The description JOE provided fits within our department.

REP. NORRIS: What kind of reception would this get in the Senate?

CORTRIGHT: The Senate had the same discussions, but they came to different conclusions.

-He is reluctant to venture a guess.

REP. DOMINY: How did the bill originally come to the Senate?

302 CORTRIGHT: The original concept was an independent commission.

-The interim committee's idea was that it be industry owned and driven.

REP. JOSI: Who told you anti-trust was not an issue?

CORTRIGHT: Anti-trust is an issue.

-The kinds of activities this program would undertake are activities routinely undertaken by industry associations, agricultural cooperatives, the Western Wood Products Association and others.

-Anti-trust is an issue if you talk about prices.

-Groups of firms have to be careful in avoiding the appearance and actuality of fixing prices or dividing markets.

CHAIR SCHROEDER: Closes the Public Hearing.

WORK SESSION -- SENATE BILL 364 A-ENGROSSED

CHAIR SCHROEDER: Opens the Work Session.

340 REP. DWYER: Would like to give direction to draft something that goes along the lines with our existing governmental entity in the Economic Development Department.

CHAIR SCHROEDER: JOE, could you clarify your suggestions?

CORTRIGHT: Where you made reference to the board of directors, you would change the name to the Wood Products Competitiveness Committee or Board.

-You make it clear the Wood Products Competitiveness Program is administered by and part of the Economic Development Department.

367 MOTION: REP. DWYER: Moves the concept as outlined by JOE CORTRIGHT.

REP. VANLEEUEWEN: It can fit under an existing program in the department?

YOUNGBAR: It would probably be in the Key Industries Program.

REP. DWYER: Do we need to reference that in the bill?

YOUNGBAR: Does not know if you need to.

CORTRIGHT: With the exception of the Ports Division and the Tourism Division, there isn't any statutory structure to the Economic Development Department.

395 REP. DOMINY: Would like to make sure we don't put in anything that could violate anti-trust provisions.

-He supports the concept.

REP. DWYER: They should explicitly denote they are not talking about prices.

CHAIR SCHROEDER: This mentions disseminating market information.

CHAIR SCHROEDER: Hearing no objection the motion passes.

-He closes the Work Session.

PUBLIC HEARING -- SENATE BILL 1202 B-ENGROSSED



Witnesses: Paul Hanneman, Trawl Commission of Oregon, Fisherman's Marketing Association, Oregon Salmon Commission and the Independent Trawl Commission Capt. Dan Brosnan, Oregon State Police

CHAIR SCHROEDER: Opens the Public Hearing.

TAPE 117, SIDE B

005 REP. DWYER: How did we get this bill?

CHAIR SCHROEDER: We asked for it.

-He explains.

018 PAUL HANNEMAN, Trawl Commission of Oregon, Fisherman's Marketing Association, Oregon Salmon Commission and the Independent Trawl Commission: BOB JACOBSON of the Fish and Wildlife Commission wished to clarify that the rate for other than salmon had been agreed to by the industry and Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife at 1.09 percent and not 1.25 percent (page 2, line 4), as it appears in the bill.

-He presents background on the bill and the history of the agreement on 1.09 percent.

062 CHAIR SCHROEDER: Why is steelhead in here?

HANNEMAN: Thinks it's an incidental catch.

CHAIR SCHROEDER: 3.15 is agreeable to the industry.

REP. DWYER: Steelhead can't be kept as an incidental catch; they have to be thrown back.

HANNEMAN: Does not know why it is there.

CHAIR SCHROEDER: We'll postpone action and check on why steelhead is there and give the commission and others a chance to testify.

REP. VANLEEUEWEN: Where does this bill have to go?

CHAIR SCHROEDER: Ways and Means.

081 CAPT. DAN BROSINAN, Oregon State Police: Steelhead have to be returned and cannot be sold.

REP. DWYER: We could delete steelhead.

REP. NORRIS: What about Native Americans?

CAPT. BROSINAN: They can take steelhead, he doesn't know if the poundage fee applies to them.

CHAIR SCHROEDER: Do Native Americans pay a poundage fee on salmon and steelhead?

CAPT. BROSINAN: Does not believe so.

REP. NORRIS: They don't have to return the steelhead?

CAPT. BROSANAN: No.

CHAIR SCHROEDER: The gill netters have to throw the dead steelhead back?

CAPT. BROSANAN: Yes. That law was passed last session.

REP. DWYER: We did that because a lot of steelhead were not incidental.

REP. VANLEEUVEN: Why did Ways and Means increase the rate to 1.25?

HANNEMAN: Explains.

REP. JOSI: Let's move into Work Session.

CHAIR SCHROEDER: Closes the Public Hearing.

WORK SESSION -- SENATE BILL 1202 B-ENGROSSED

CHAIR SCHROEDER: Opens the Work Session.

123 MOTION: REP. JOSI: Moves on page 2, line 4, delete "1.25" and insert "1.09" and on line 3 delete "and steelhead".

VOTE: The motion carries unanimously.

REP. VANLEEUVEN: Refers to page 4, line 44 and page 5, line 16. Are these changes from current fees?

CHAIR SCHROEDER: Deleted language on page 2, line 13 had shellfish at 0.3 cents per pound, now it's going to \$75.

BETH PATRINO: The current rate of \$10 is on page 4, line 37.

153 HANNEMAN: As he recalls, the 1.25 rate was not agreeable to the urchin fishermen, but 1.09 was agreeable.

-He does not recall any other discussion.

165 MOTION: REP. JOSI: Moves Senate Bill 1202 B-Engrossed as amended with a do pass recommendation to the Committee on Ways and Means.

REP. VANLEEUVEN: Is still struggling with the \$75 increase for sea urchins.

-Is this a commercial business?

-She also questions increasing the fee for roe-herring.

REP. DWYER: They are deep divers. It is a commercial job.

REP. JOSI: My brother is involved in this.

CHAIR SCHROEDER: It is limited entry.

193 REP. MEEK: We are doubling and tripling fees which subsidize the General Fund and not this industry.

-He is not sure we want to send it with a do pass recommendation.

REP. DWYER: Ways and Means will do whatever they want.

REP. MEEK: Does not support sending it with a do pass recommendation.

REP. JOSI: No recommendation will be a clear signal they could do what they want.

-The amendments we make today would be undone.

CHAIR SCHROEDER: PAUL, what would no recommendation do to the bill?

231 HANNEMAN: It would indicate indecision by this committee.

REP. DWYER: Calls for the question.

VOTE: The motion passes 6 to 1.

NAY: REP. MEEK.

SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION 13 A-ENGROSSED -- EXHIBITS E to G

Witnesses: Sen. Paul Phillips, District 4 Rep. Bob Shiprack, District 23  
Chuck Smith, Manager, Debt Management Division, State Treasury David  
Talbot, Director, State Parks and Recreation Department

250 SEN. PAUL PHILLIPS, District 4: Testifies in support of SJR13 A.

-There is an immediate need of \$570 million for improvements to the state park system.

-As a result of the estimated growth of the state we will see a 40 percent increase in the utilization of our parks.

-State parks provide a substantial economic impact to the state.

-He discusses why it was presented as general obligation bonds.

-He presents additional information on state parks (EXHIBIT E).

360 REP. VANLEEuwEN: The property tax payers are responsible. How is it paid off without it falling on the tax payers?

SEN. PHILLIPS: Refers to Bonded Debt Profile (EXHIBIT E), which describes how general obligation bonds work.

-Property taxes are the repayment of last resort.

-The most logical way to pay them off is through the General Fund, through park revenues, the gas tax or other sources.

393 REP. JOSI: Some of those other sources of revenue might not have the monies available so it will fall to the property taxes.

-Wouldn't this place county governments in double jeopardy, because they would have to fight for the available revenues?

SEN. PHILLIPS: No.

-This is a state General Fund obligation.

-He seriously doubts the last resort will be used.

-You have to ask whether or not this is a warranted investment.

462 REP. DWYER: This is well intended, but why this approach? Why not revenue bonds or other ways that have a revenue base source of funds?

TAPE 117, SIDE B

021 SEN. PHILLIPS: This is not an exclusive process. Other options will come before you.

-General obligation bonds are a wiser approach in this case.

REP. DWYER: Why should we have three or four funding approaches?

SEN. PHILLIPS: We need to do something.

-He is open to anyone else's alternatives.

REP. DWYER: You wouldn't mind if we amended this to have a revenue bonding source?

SEN. PHILLIPS: Listen to the experts and study the measure.

-He thinks you will come to the conclusion that general obligation bonds are the way to go.

058 REP. BOB SHIPRACK, District 23: Discusses the portion of the resolution for fisheries enhancement.

REP. VANLEEUEWEN: Will this portion be paid back from some of the license fees?

REP. SHIPRACK: Discusses current hatchery maintenance funding.

083 REP. NORRIS: Has questions for the Treasurer's Office.

CHAIR SCHROEDER: Is there any way to determine how the split is made in section 1?

SEN. PHILLIPS: Explains.

105 CHAIR SCHROEDER: What control would there be that Fish and Wildlife uses the money for its specific purpose?

SEN. PHILLIPS: We would need to look carefully at this.

CHAIR SCHROEDER: Will we preclude private sector parks or wipe them out because of this?

SEN. PHILLIPS: This is aimed at the state parks system.

-We tried to make sure the entire state would reap the benefits.

CHAIR SCHROEDER: Hopes the State Parks Department would work with the private parks. They are an important part of our economy.

133 REP. NORRIS: Does not see a clear structure for repayment.

142 CHUCK SMITH, Manager, Debt Management Division, State Treasury:  
There are three parts for you to consider:

- 1. There is a strategic, long-range part.
- 2. Section 5, requires the State Legislature to enact this program.
- 3. The control and management of debt issuance by the Legislature.

-The control is in the enabling statute and the Legislature reviews it every session.

169 REP. NORRIS: Does the third step direct how its repaid?

SMITH: The second step outlines repayment.

-Step three is a bonding limitation.

-He mentions bonds for other programs the Legislature will be reviewing.

REP. NORRIS: The other programs you mentioned have the repayment process built in.

184 SMITH: SB 786 A is the mechanism which talks about repayments.

-The section of the bill that outlines the property tax is common boilerplate language that applies to general obligation bonds.

-The mechanism that is set up is the way that general obligation bond programs are set up.

202 REP. VANLEEUEWEN: The general obligation debt for June 30, 1989 contained in the handout was 44.2 percent (EXHIBIT E).

-What do you think the current percentage will be?

SMITH: We prepare this annually.

-The ratios won't be dramatically different.

REP. VANLEEUEWEN: What are the provision in SB 786?

SEN. PHILLIPS: Explains.

267 DAVID TALBOT, Director, State Parks and Recreation Department:  
Presents testimony in support of SJR 13 (EXHIBIT F) and testimony from BRIAN BOOTH, Chairman, State Parks and Recreation Commission in support of SJR13 (EXHIBIT G).

328 CHAIR SCHROEDER: Would the \$250 million be spent in increments?

TALBOT: Yes; we would come to the legislature with our proposals.

REP. NORRIS: How do you visualize the repayment?

TALBOT: Doesn't know.

-He discusses how other bonds are paid.

363 REP. NORRIS: For local projects you can see it on a separate line

on your property tax payments.

REP. VANLEEUEWEN: Refers to Appendix A (EXHIBIT F).

-Why are we talking about general obligation bonds to cover maintenance?

TALBOT: We are not taking care of the parks the way we should.

-When we were taken out of the Highway Fund the assumption was that the General Funds would replace that revenue.

-The General Fund portion of our budget is less than 10 percent.

403 REP. VANLEEUEWEN: Are you not getting the funds from our recreation fees and charges?

TALBOT: We are, but they are not adequate to meet the long-term needs of the system.

REP. VANLEEUEWEN: The fees are not adequate or the management is ineffective.

TALBOT: The fees are not adequate.

-In no state park system he is aware of are the fees adequate to run the system.

425 CHAIR SCHROEDER: Would you cut back on volunteers if you got this money?

TALBOT: No; we could not live without them.

CHAIR SCHROEDER: Hopes you will not forget them.

-He closes the Public Hearing.

WORK SESSION -- SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION 13 A-ENGROSSED

CHAIR SCHROEDER: Opens the Work Session.

TAPE 118, SIDE A

023 MOTION: REP. DOMINY: Moves Senate Joint Resolution 13 A-Engrossed to the Floor of the House with a do pass recommendation.

051 VOTE: The motion carries 5 to 0.

EXCUSED: REP. DWYER, REP. VANLEEUEWEN.

PUBLIC HEARING -- SENATE JOINT MEMORIAL 4

CHAIR SCHROEDER: Opens the Public Hearing.

067 BETH PATRINO: Briefs the committee.

CHAIR SCHROEDER: Closes the Public Hearing.

WORK SESSION -- SENATE JOINT MEMORIAL 4

CHAIR SCHROEDER: Opens the Work Session.

073 MOTION: REP. JOSI: Moves Senate Joint Memorial 4 to the Floor of the House with a do pass recommendation.

VOTE: The motion carries 6 to 0.

EXCUSED: REP. VANLEEUEWEN.

CARRIER: REP. JOSI.

083 REP. JOSI: Asks for unanimous consent to allow REP. DWYER to vote on Senate Joint Resolution 13.

REP. DWYER: Votes aye.

CHAIR SCHROEDER: Adjourns at 10:16 A.M.

Submitted by:

Edward C. Klein, Committee Assistant

EXHIBIT LOG:

A - Amendments to HB 2244 - Staff - 36 pages B - Majority  
Report Amendments to HB 2786 - Staff - 4 pages C- Minority Report  
Amendments to HB 2786 - Staff - 5 pages D-Amendments to SB 364 - Staff -  
8 pages E-Information on Oregon State Parks - Sen. Phillips - 136 pages  
F-Testimony on SJR13 - David Talbot - 6 pages G-Testimony by Brian Booth  
SJR13 - David Talbot - 4 pages