Page These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

House Committee on Business and Consumer Affairs February 14, 1991 -

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON BUSINESS AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS

February 14, 1991 P.M.

Hearing Room F 1:30 Tapes 29 - 30

MEMBERS PRESENT:Rep. John Schoon, Chair Rep. Hedy L. Rijken, Vice-Chair Rep. Jerry Barnes Rep. Lisa Naito Rep. Carolyn Oakley Rep. Beverly Stein Rep. Greg Walden

STAFF PRESENT: Terry Connolly, Committee Administrator Annetta Mullins, Committee Assistant

MEASURES CONSIDERED: HB 2277 PH & WS HB 2279 PH & WS HB 2435 PH & WS HB 2312 WS HB 2178 PH HB 2217 PH & WS  $\rm HB$ 

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 29, SIDE A

010 CHAIR SCHOON calls the meeting to order at 1:39 p.m. and announces the committee will hear the three agricultural fee increase bills again, consider the bill concerning selling of automobiles followed by the Oil Heat Commission bill. At 3:00 we the committee will consider HB 2217. He opens the work session for purposes of introduction of measures.

INTRODUCTION OF MEASURES

023 TERRY CONNOLLY, Administrator: Sandy Flicker will explain LC 3205 (EXHIBIT A).

027 SANDY FLICKER, Independent Insurance Agents of Oregon: LC 3205 would provide the opportunity to levy a civil fine against banks for violating the laws that govern their insurance transactions (EXHIBIT A).

034 MOTION: REP. RIJKEN moves that the committee introduce LC 3205 at the request of Sandy Flicker.

035 VOTE: In a roll call vote, all members vote AYE.

037 CHAIR SCHOON declares the motion PASSED.

038 MR. CONNOLLY: LC 2046 (EXHIBIT B) is before the committee at the request of the Oregon Dry Cleaners Association. The purpose of the measure is to specify procedures for launderers and dry cleaners to dispose of unclaimed property.

042 MOTION: REP. BARNES moves that the committee introduce LC 2046 (EXHIBIT B) at the request of the Oregon Dry Cleaners Association.

044 VOTE: In a roll call vote, all members vote AYE.

045 CHAIR SCHOON declares the motion PASSED.

047 MR. CONNOLLY: LC 2765, at the request of Mr. Bill Fox, is a joint memorial urging Congress to enact a federal program for earthquake insurance and reinsurance (EXHIBIT C).

049 MOTION: REP. RIJKEN moves that the committee introduce LC 2765 (EXHIBIT C) at the request of Mr. Bill Fox.

052 VOTE: In a roll call vote, all members vote AYE.

054 CHAIR SCHOON declares the motion PASSED.

054 MR. CONNOLLY: LC 2766 is before the committee at the request of Mr. Bill Fox. He reviews the draft (EXHIBIT D).

062 MOTION: REP. RIJKEN moves that the committee introduce LC 2766 (EXHIBIT D) at the request of Bill Fox.

063 VOTE: In a roll call vote, all members vote AYE.

065 MR. CONNOLLY: LC 2767-1 is before the committee at the request of Mr. Bill Fox. The bill reduces the days' notice that must be given by insurer prior to cancellation of fire insurance for nonpayment of premium (EXHIBIT E). The current notice requirement is 30 days and they would like it reduced to 10 days.

076 MOTION: REP. RIJKEN moves that the committee introduce LC 2767-1 at the request of Bill Fox.

072 VOTE: In a roll call vote, all members vote AYE.

078 CHAIR SCHOON opens the public hearing on HB 2277, HB 2279 and HB 2435.

TAPE 29, SIDE A HB 2277 - INCREASES LICENSE FEES TO ENGAGE IN FOOD AND BEVERAGE PROCESSING, SALES, STORAGE AND DISTRIBUTION. Witnesses:Tom Fitzgerald, Edelweiss Farms

HB 2279 - ADDS RETAIL CHECKOUT SCANNERS TO STATUTES RELATING TO WEIGHING AND MEASURING DEVICES.

HB 2435 - INCREASES VARIOUS LICENSE FEES FOR PRODUCE DEALERS.

091 TOM FITZGERALD, Edelweiss Farms, Aurora: My boss, along with others, testified in opposition to the increase of the mill tax from two and one-half to five mills in HB 2277. We would like to formally request that the committee delete the five and leave the two and one-half in place.

103 CHAIR SCHOON, having determined there were no further witnesses to testify on HB 2277, HB 2279 or HB 2435, declares the public hearings closed and opens the work session on HB 227 7.

HB 2277 - INCREASES LICENSE FEES TO ENGAGE IN FOOD AND BEVERAGE PROCESSING, SALES, STORAGEAND DISTRIBUTION.

108 MOTION: REP. WALDEN moves to amend HB 2277 to restore the bracketed material and delete the bold faced material.

115 REP. WALDEN: I believe these fee increases are excessive and beyond reasonableness and I don't think we should be endorsing these kinds of increases in our agriculture community and in our businesses. I think there are other ways this can be done and that our colleagues in another committee that deals more specifically with fiscal issues should be able to handle this in a different way. I do not want to be party to those kinds of jumps in fees.

124 REP. BARNES: I agree with Rep. Walden. I think we have seen an undesirable side effect of Ballot Measure 5 and it disturbs me. That is not the message people wanted to send. I concur, I think they are

inordinate, they are excessive and if we have any concern about our small business people we should be paying attention to these things in this committee.

130 REP. NAITO: In light of Ballot Measure 5, there will be a lot of cuts in human services and education and I am very concerned about those. I have been one who has said I would consider a sales tax under certain circumstances and one of those would be that food and grocery items would be exempt from a sales tax. In light of that, this measure seems to increase taxes on the various necessary food stuffs that people need to survive. Therefore, I am against the increased fees as well.

141 REP. STEIN: If we move to delete the language, will we have a motion to do anything with this bill?

148 REP. WALDEN: If my motion is successful, I will move to refer this bill without recommendation to the House Committee on Ways and Means where they can make the necessary adjustments to continue the program.

154 VOTE: In a roll call vote, all members vote AYE.

157 CHAIR SCHOON declares the motion PASSED.

158 MOTION: REP. WALDEN moves that HB 2277, as amended, be sent to the Committee on Ways and Means with no recommendation.

160 VOTE: In a roll call vote, all members vote AYE.

162 CHAIR SCHOON declares the motion PASSED.

164 CHAIR SCHOON opens the work session on HB 2279.

TAPE 29, SIDE A HB 2279 - ADDS RETAIL CHECKOUT SCANNERS TO STATUTES RELATING TO WEIGHING AND MEASURING DEVICES.

167 MR. CONNOLLY reviews the provisions of HB 2279.

175 MOTION: REP. WALDEN moves to amend HB 2279 to delete the references to scanning devices and for the fees beginning in Section 4 on page 2, lines 17 and on page 3 through lines 13, restore the bracketed material and delete the bold faced material.

216 REP. STEIN: Could we divide the motion on the scanning devices and the fees?

219 REP. WALDEN withdraws his previous motion to amend HB 2279.

220 MOTION: REP. WALDEN moves that HB 2279 be amended on page 2 beginning with line 17, delete the bold faced language (the fee increases) and restored the bracketed amounts and on page 3, lines 1 through 8, restore the bracketed material and delete the bold faced material.

238 REP. STEIN: I asked that these be divided because I really think the idea of paying a fee for scanning devices when nothing is done with them is ludicrous. However, on the fees I am very concerned with the impacts of Ballot Measure 5 on our budgets in terms of the elderly, children and the disabled people. Ballot Measure 5 did benefit businesses as well as residential family homes. I believe they have received quite a significant benefit in the amount of property tax relief they will receive. I am going to vote against this motion to delete the fee increases because I think we are in dire straits and we may have to capture little bits of money here and there in order to backfill our social service needs. I will vote against the scanners, however.

256 VOTE: In a roll call vote, REPS. BARNES, OAKLEY, WALDEN AND SCHOON vote

AYE. REPS. NAITO, STEIN AND RIJKEN vote NO.

260 CHAIR SCHOON declares the motion PASSED.

261 MOTION: REP. WALDEN moves that HB 2279 be further amended to delete the bold faced language throughout the bill relating to the scanning device fees.

266 REP. WALDEN: The intent is to eliminate the language that creates the fee on scanning devices.

269 CHAIR SCHOON restates the motion; that the bracketed materials be restored and the bold faced materials be deleted in Sections 1, 2, 3, lines 9, 10, 11, and 12 on page 3, and in lines 15 through 20 on page 3 and in Sections 5, 6 and 7.

382 REP. WALDEN: Would that also take care of the fee increase for remote devices. That would be my intent.

291 CHAIR SCHOON: I believe it does on page 3 in lines 15 through 20.

291 VOTE: In a roll call vote, all members vote AYE.

294 CHAIR SCHOON declares the motion PASSED.

295 MOTION: REP. WALDEN moves that HB 2279, as amended, be sent to the Committee on Ways and Means with no recommendation.

300 VOTE: In a roll call vote, REPS. BARNES, NAITO, OAKLEY, WALDEN, RIJKEN AND CHAIR SCHOON VOTE AYE. REP. STEIN votes NO.

 $304\ {\rm CHAIR}\ {\rm SCHOON}\ {\rm opens}\ {\rm the}\ {\rm work}\ {\rm session}\ {\rm on}\ {\rm HB}\ 2435.$ 

TAPE 29, SIDE A HB 2435 - INCREASES VARIOUS LICENSE FEES FOR PRODUCE DEALERS.

306 MR. CONNOLLY explains the provisions of HB 2435.

314 MOTION: REP. WALDEN moves that HB 2435 be amended to restore the bracketed material and delete the bold faced material in the bill.

318 VOTE: In a roll call vote, all members vote AYE.

323 MOTION: REP. WALDEN moves that HB 2435, as amended, be sent to the Committee on Ways and Means with no recommendation.

327 VOTE: In a roll call vote, all members vote AYE.

345 CHAIR SCHOON announces that the committee will not consider HB 2297 because it does not appear that we have amendments or agreement by the parties involved.

332 CHAIR SCHOON opens the work session on HB 2312.

HB 2312 - AUTHORIZES MOTOR VEHICLES DIVISION TO PETITION COURT TO ENJOIN PERSON FROM ACTING AS VEHICLE DEALER IN VIOLATION OF OREGON VEHICLE CODE.

333 MR. CONNOLLY reviews the provisions of HB 2312 and calls the committee's attention to the proposed amendments and the hand-engrossed bill (EXHIBIT F).

382 WANDA WAHUS, Oregon Independent Auto Dealers Association, reviews the proposed amendments in the hand-engrossed bill (EXHIBIT F). The amendment on the mockup on page 1, in line 12 is from the Attorney General's office. He felt that would be easier to prove and would be better for the enforcement of the bill.

At the bottom of page 2, Section 8, is funding for the bill which is \$14 added to the current dealer license fee of \$95. The amendments at the top of page 2a in line 32 were requested by the bankers. The provision has been added as (5). The amendment on page 2a after line 35 is in response to the question by Rep. Barnes about businesses selling their

vehicles.

When the bill was drafted we overlooked the fact the advisory committee to DMV has authority to be consulted if disciplinary action is taken against dealers to revoke, suspend or put the dealer on probation. On page 3 we are adding in language to levy civil fines up to \$1,000 against dealers. It would be established by rule and would be subject to being looked at by the Dealer Advisory Committee which has representatives from all the dealer certificates on it.

TAPE 30, SIDE A

006 CHAIR SCHOON: Is the \$1,000 in existing law?

006 MS. WAHUS: It is in line 15 on page 1.

009 CHAIR SCHOON: On page 2a, would you explain (5)?

011 MS. WAHUS: The original wording would have required a bank if they took repossession to prove that the vehicle had been used for personal, family or household purposes. It was a drafting error. It still exempts the banks from having a dealer license and they wouldn't have to prove the use.

019 REP. BARNES: Is there a contradiction between page 1, line 12 and page 2a, line 34.

025 MS. WAHUS: The language on page 1 refers to the courts. The language on 2a says if they are selling more than three, then they need to prove they are using it for personal use.

030 REP. BARNES: My concerns is that in our society the burden of proof lies with the government, not with the individuals. My point is, should we still require the government to have to prove the individual didn't stay within the exemptions?

 $039\ {\rm MS.}$  WAHUS: I believe the section was drafted this way to provide the individuals some freedom of proving the case.

044 DON MINER, Oregon Manufactured Housing Association: I believe the burden will still be on the state to demonstrate that the individual sold three or more vehicles. At that point the individual has the right of showing that those vehicles were his own personal vehicles.

055 REP. BARNES: I want the proof to be on the government's back.

 $056~\mathrm{MR}.$  MINER: I believe the state would have to go forward and show that the person had sold more than three vehicles.

066 REP. BARNES: I recognize there is a problem and I am going to support this. I am not sure it is going to solve the problems we are trying to solve, but I think it is a step forward.

070 MOTION: REP. BARNES moves that HB 2312 be amended with the hand-engrossed amendments (EXHIBIT F).

074 REP. WALDEN: On page 2, line 25, for removal of the notice they incur a \$25,000 penalty. That seems like an awful lot.

090 DON MINER: The way Section 7 works is when a person is caught selling manufactured homes without a dealer license, a sticker is put on the structure saying the home is being offered for sale by an unlicensed person. The large fine is for unlawful removal of that sticker.

100 REP. STEIN: What process does the division have to go through to authorize that penalty?

109 MR. MINER: I am not sure it has all been finally decided, but I believe there will be a due process hearing.

117 REP. STEIN: How did you arrive at the amount, is it comparable in

other statutes, or did you pull it out of a hat.

118 MR. MINER: I believe it was found in a hat. We wanted to set the fine so high that no one would miSB ehave.

126 MOTION: REP. STEIN moves to amend Rep. Barnes motion; that HB 2312 be further amended to delete, on page 2 of the hand-engrossed bill, in line 25, \$25,000 and insert \$5,000.

138 REP. WALDEN: I think that is a more reasonable figure. I would also like to put in the record that when the division posts these notices, they give notice that the fine will be levied if the sticker is removed without the division removing it.

142 CHAIR SCHOON: Let the record show that the division nodded its head.

144 VOTE: In a roll call vote on Rep. Stein's motion, all members vote AYE.

150 CHAIR SCHOON: The main motion before the committee is to amend HB 2312 as indicated on the hand-engrossed bill (EXHIBIT F) and changing the civil penalty on page 2, line 25, from \$25,000 to \$5,000.

157 REP. NAITO: We should clarify the period at the end of line 35 on page 2a of the hand- engrossed bill. Also, we have discussed a couple of instances such as a business that might be selling cars incidental to the business. We are granting broad authority to set the rules. I hope that would be an excluded class, as well as governmental agencies. I don't know if we want to make that more clear on the record as to what kinds of purposes we would like them to exempt, or do we want to give them broad discretion?

175 CHAIR SCHOON: I suggest that if we feel something ought to be in the bill, we should put it in.

190 CHAIR SCHOON: I think Ms. Wahus said businesses would be excluded from the three-vehicle provision. I don't see anything that relates specifically to businesses.

199 MS. WAHUS: We talked to others and some felt there may be other things come up that would be subjects for exemptions. Rep. Naito talked to me earlier about wording in another bill where it said something about a primary business. This will be defined by rule and I think the wording she had would be very appropriate for the rule and we will pass it on to DMV. In Representative Barnes' case where he sold the vehicles from his business, that could be defined by rule as an exemption.

210 CHAIR SCHOON: Why would we not want to have it included with the other exceptions?

211 MS. WAHUS: I think the only reason is that you probably could not list every exception that might come up that should be included. We certainly would want to exclude them.

216 REP. NAITO: I think it might be important to list at least the primary entities in the bill that we do wish to exclude and also provide a catch-all to catch the other exemptions that may occur to them in the implementation of this.

223 MS. WAHUS: We would have no objection.

224 MR. MINER: The one concern I would have is that we not draw that exemption so large that it swallows the rule. We wouldn't want to exempt a business selling vehicles owned by the business.

229 REP. NAITO: Unless we are trying to vote this out, we could draft some language. I had referred Ms. Wahus to a bill that came up in another committee dealing with car carriers. They drafted it as a business engaged in a primary business and just selling vehicles incidental to the business. Something along that lime may cover the type of instances we are looking at.

237 CHAIR SCHOON: I think that would be a good idea because we are under no pressure to get this bill out. Rep. Barnes may also want to address the question of proof of ownership.

249 REP. BARNES: What would be the proper way to have the Legislature look at this in two or four years? Would that be a sunset clause?

252 CHAIR SCHOON: Yes, we can put a sunset clause on it.

262 REP. BARNES withdraws his motion.

263 CHAIR SCHOON closes the work session on HB 2312.

297 ACTING CHAIR BARNES opens the public hearing on HB 2178.

TAPE 30, SIDE A HB 2178 - ALLOWS OIL HEAT COMMISSION TO ESTIMATE AMOUNT OF ASSESSMENT DUE FROM OIL MARKETER AND MAKE DEMAND FOR PAYMENT. Witnesses:Terrie Heer, Administrator, Oil Heat Commission Lynn Frank

The Preliminary Staff Measure Summary is hereby made a part of these minutes (EXHIBIT G).

304 TERRIE HEER, Administrator, Oregon Oil Heat Commission, submits a prepared statement, section-by-section review of the bill and a fiscal impact statement. She reviews portions of the statement on behalf of the commission in support of HB 2178 (EXHIBIT H).

348 REP. STEIN: Does the definition on page 1, in line 20, mean that public housing owned by the county or the state is not eligible to use the services provided from the 2 percent commission.

 $373~{\rm MS}.$  HEER: I am new to the commission and I would like to pass the question to Lynn Frank who has had the contractor services for the commission.

375 LYNN FRANK: The answer is yes. All publicly owned facilities are excluded. I was not here to hear the discussion last session, but I understand their intent was to recognize that in the public sector, at least then, there were other forms of financing available.

384 REP. STEIN: What were they?

387 MR. FRANK: The traditional forms of financing in the public sector. That was before Ballot Measure 5 and the issues that have been raised.

394 MR. FRANK: To emphasize the time limits of the issues, for those families that have benefitted from the assistance the commission has been able to offer, they have received from \$1,800 to \$20,000 from Environmental Protection grants. The Attorney General rules that those grants were of economic benefits to those families, contrary to the intent as we understood it and many of the legislators understood it from last session. The impact of that is that within two months those folks are going to have to come up with, in some cases, thousands of dollars to pay that tax liability, which many won't be able to do. Many of the families have told us they will lose their homes. We have come to ask for your help and to encourage timely action on this so we can buy those families some assurance they are not going to be confronted with that liability.

We have also provided to the committee a note from the State Economist who said that because the tax revenues were never anticipated, those revenues were never included in the Governor's recommended budget (EXHIBIT I). Passage of this measure would have no impact on he revenues being addressed by the Legislature. It would be very helpful to be able to provide those folks some assurance before the April 15 deadline comes before us. TAPE 29, SIDE B

003 REP. STEIN: I understood that the reimbursement for replacing a tank would be the increment between the low-cost environmentally unsound tank and the higher-cost environmentally sound tank. The language on page 2, in lines 20 and 21, seems to indicate the commission could fully pay for the tank.

010 MR. FRANK: The intent is to simply offer an incentive, but the language recognizes that at some points it may be appropriate for the commission to go back in and help those folks. Also, one of the objectives of the commission in its enabling legislation is research and development. There are some new technologies coming on the market for which we need some practical experience. In those cases, it may be appropriate for them to offer a higher level or full replacement costs. It is not their intent, under any circumstances, to offer replacement costs across the board.

 $022\ {\rm Issues}$  discussed: Tank construction. Incentives versus alternative fuels.

135 REP. WALDEN: What will be the range of total dollar assessments from the 2 percent gross receipts.

134 MR. FRANK: The top four dealers range from about 20 percent to some who are paying \$1.25. We get \$1.5 million a year and there are 244 dealers.

143 REP. WALDEN: In Section 8, you rather sharply increase the penalties for noncompliance rather than double the amount withheld, to double the amount of the assessment. Is that the assessment for the year or for the quarter?

149 MR. FRANK: There are two assessments coming together, one for the education program and one for the remedial action program. They only included the language that if it is intentionally not paid that they would pay more on one, but not both. If they withhold, they are withholding both. We asked the Attorney General's office and counsel to make the language consistent. It is only intended to make it consistent for both types of assessments, but not to change the penalty level.

Other sections of the bill will, however, move the responsibility for collection costs to those people who have not been making their payments. In that respect, it does change the penalty. Another area that will change is when the penalty comes into effect.

174 REP. WALDEN: So you are telling me that line 21, essentially doesn't change the amount of money you are going to collect?

 $172~{\rm MR}.$  FRANK: With the exception that we are adding that penalty to both assessments. The intent is to say the assessment they have failed to pay shall be doubled.

190 REP. WALDEN: I don't think that is what you are accomplishing. Are you saying fails to pay the whole assessment or partial assessment and if they pay \$90 of \$100, have they paid the assessment.

197 MR. FRANK: The intent was to only cover the portion they fail to pay.

206 ACTING CHAIR BARNES: Perhaps when we get to the work session, Rep. Walden may want to put in "the amount of the assessment not already paid" or something like that.

213 ACTING CHAIR BARNES closes the public hearing on HB 2178 and opens the public hearing on HB 2217.

HB 2217 - AMENDS VARIOUS STATUTES GOVERNING LIFE AND HEALTH INSURANCE. Witnesses:Lewis Littlehales, Insurance Divison, Department of Insurance and Finance Bruce Bishop, Kaiser Permanente 231 LEWIS LITTLEHALES, Policy Analysis Section, Insurance Division, Department of Insurance and Finance: Last week Mary Alice Bjork presented testimony on this bill and he committee heard testimony from Mr. Bishop and Mr. Powell. In response to their testimony, we have drafted amendments and reviewed them with Mr. Bishop and Mr. Powell. We offer them for the committee's consideration (EXHIBIT J).

247 Last week we submitted amendments with the testimony. I have combined those amendments with the additional amendments. The first change is to the relating clause on page 1. On page 2, there was a question raised about the terms used. We clarified our intent "To enable a person to obtain insurance for the purpose of covering final expenses of an adult who is dependent on the person for support and maintenance." The amendment on page 3 amends Section 5 which specifies the grounds for cancellation of individual health insurance policies. We have addressed and clarified the two comments that were made. The amendment provides that the grounds for cancellation will be included in the policy. We have clarified that the time limits applicable to material misstatements apply to that kind of misstatements and not fraudulent misstatements.

The amendment on line 33 in Section 6 clarifies our authority to adopt rules providing for continuation of coverage of an individual under a group policy when the policy is replaced by one with similar benefits. Rather than continuation of coverage, we have referred to uninterrupted coverage in response to a comment by Mr. Powell. We think that clarifies the intent.

The remainder of the amendments are those that were submitted last week. The section on page 8 governing fraternal benefit societies incorporates by reference a number of other sections in the Insurance Code. We are deleting a reference to a statute that does not apply to life and health policies which are the only kinds of policies that fraternal benefit societies provide. The last two amendments, pages 9 and 10, concern the repeal of ORS 743.715 which mandates minimum benefits for long term care policies which was adopted in 1987 and became obsolete with the adoption of the Long Term Care Act in 1989.

312 BRUCE BISHOP, Kaiser Permanente: I am are here to endorse Mr. Littlehales' comments about the amendments. We expressed concerns about Section 2 concerning legal guardians and their authority to authorize insurance and Section 5 concerning termination of contracts. We believe the amendments Mr. Littlehales has put together address our concerns. In Section 5, the amendments will allow the director to specify by rule basis other than those contained here for termination of a contract. At present we have a provision to allow us to terminate a contract in the event of disruptive behavior by a member and if it is impossible to maintain an effective working relationship between a patient and a physician. We are not now enforcing the provision, however, under the authority the director would be given, we will work with the division to make it possible where there was a complete lack of cooperation between member and physician. That would be an allowable basis for terminating the relationship. We believe we can address that through the administrative process. We are comfortable with the amendments and feel they address our concerns.

373 ACTING CHAIR BARNES closes the public hearing and opens the work session on HB 2217.

382 MOTION; REP. WALDEN moves that the committee adopt the amendments to HB 221 7 proposed by Mr. Littlehales (EXHIBIT J) and that the bill be redrafted and brought back to the committee.

406 VOTE: In a roll call vote, all members vote AYE.

410 ACTING CHAIR BARNES declares the motion PASSED and the meeting adjourned at 3:02 p.m.

Respectfully submitted, Reviewed by,

Annetta Mullins Terry Connolly Assistant Administrator

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

A -Introductions, LC 3205, Sandy Flicker B -Introductions, LC 2046, Oregon Dry Cleaning Association C -Introductions, LC 2765, Bill Fox D -Introductions, LC 2766, Bill Fox E -Introductions, LC 2767-1, Bill Fox F -HB 2312, hand-engrossed HB 2312, staff G -HB 2178, Preliminary Staff Measure Summary, staff H -HB 2178, prepared statement, bill analysis and fiscal impact statement, Terrie Heer I -HB 2178, memo for State Economist, Lynn Frank J -HB 2217, proposed amendments, Lewis Littlehales