House Committee on Education Date, 1991 - Page

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

March 6, 1991Hearing Room H174 1:30 p.m. Tapes 31-32

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Carolyn Oakley, Chair Rep. Vera Katz, Vice-Chair Rep. Bruce Hugo Rep. Delna Jones Rep. Mike Nelson Rep. Bob Pickard Rep. Walt Schroeder

STAFF PRESENT:Lee Penny, Committee Administrator Carolynn Gillson, Committee Assistant

MEASURES CONSIDERED: HB 2098 - Relating to school districts - WRK HB 2002 - Relating to Child Development Programs, PUB HB 2003 - Relating to Child Development Programs, PUB HB 2573 - Transfers responsibility for payment of cost of education at juvenile training schools

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 32, SIDE A

005 REP. CAROLYN OAKLEY, chair: Calls the meeting to order at 1:35 p.m.

(Tape 32, Side A) Work Session HB 2098 - Repeals provisions relating to formation of administrative school districts by school district reorganization

010 CHAIR OAKLEY: Requests to suspend the committee rules for the purpose of reconsidering the vote by which HB 2098 was passed to the floor. There was no objection.

MOTION: Chair Oakley moves to reconsider the vote by which the committee sent HB 2098 as amended to the floor with a do pass recommendation.

015 CHAIR OAKLEY: Legislative Fiscal objected to the adopted amendments because they did not conform to the language of Ballot Measure 5.

MOTION ADOPTED: There was no objection.

CHAIR OAKLEY: The HB 2098-5 amendment (EXHIBIT A) eliminates the previous amendments to Section 4 of the bill concerning the ad valorem property tax levy.

MOTION: Rep. Katz moves to adopt the -5 amendment.

MOTION ADOPTED: There was no objection.

- MOTION: Rep. Katz moves HB 2098 as amended to the floor with a do pass recommendation.
- 043 VOTE: In a roll call vote, the motion carried with all members present voting AYE. CARRIER: REP. NELSON
- (Tape 32, Side A) Public Hearing HB 2002 and HB 2003 Relating to child development programs
- 054 REP. LARRY CAMPBELL, District 43: These bills are based on a program that has been operating for several years at Churchill High School in Eugene. > Two separate programs - general child care and child care for teenage mothers who are attending Churchill High School. > Materials for the programs are donated by the business community. > Suggests giving businesses a tax credit for assisting in establishing a facility at other school districts that volunteer to participate. > School district provides a trained specialist as lead person for the program. > Rates charged in the child care portion pay for most of the teen mother program. > Talks about students who are learning parenting skills in the general child care program. > Notes number of teen mothers who go on after high school and further their education. > Refers to grants proposed by the legislation. > There are federal funds that might be available for this type of program. > Requests committee to schedule bills for another hearing when Joanne Miksis, the program coordinator, can attend. Testimony was sent to the committee in support of the two bills (EXHIBIT B).
- 132 CHAIR OAKLEY: How long has the program been in existence?
- REP. CAMPBELL: At least 12 years.
- 139 REP. VERA KATZ: The welfare reform program requires teen mothers to attend school if they want to maintain their ADC grant.
- REP. CAMPBELL: There are teenage mothers who are not attending school because they have no one to take care of their children while they are in school. > Explains the program benefits to teen mothers and their children. > The school district has made a commitment to the salaries and administration of the program. Child care dollars meet the other costs. > The children in the child care program are not required to be from that school district.
- 185 REP. KATZ: This concept ought to be a model for every school. School buildings should be used for other purposes.
- 200 REP. DELNA JONES: I know the program was in effect during the 1975-76 school year. It is a well-managed program.
- 221 REP. BOB PICKARD: Talks about a similar program at Redmond High School.
- REP. WALT SCHROEDER: Do they have enough students who volunteer within the school hours to make up for some of the required staff for a child care center?
- REP. CAMPBELL: They have more students volunteering than they need.
- (Tape 32, Side A) Work Session HB 2573 Transfers responsibility from CSD to Department of Education for payment of cost of education at

division's juvenile training schools

269 LEE PENNY, Committee Administrator: Reviews the purpose of the bill. > Explains why ORS 420.222 is amended in the HB 2573-3 amendments (EXHIBIT C). > Effective date changed to July 1, 1993.

335 MOTION: Rep. Katz moves to adopt the -3 amendment.

REP. BRUCE HUGO: Is the Department still ready to go ahead with this plan?

348 JUDY MILLER, assistant superintendent for student services, Department of Education: The Department is more than willing to take on the responsibility for the education programs at the training schools and camps. The reason for deferring the transfer until July 1, 1993, is to accommodate some of the fiscal impact that may come about because of that transfer.

CHAIR OAKLEY: Explains the delay originally was intended for the agencies involved to set up guidelines.

MILLER: We would like some time to enter into the process and one year was considered adequate time. > Unsure how to respond to a two-year framework. It is more than adequate time for this process to take place.

390 REP. HUGO: Is it your position that the education programs at the training schools are not in compliance now?

MILLER: That is correct. There are a number of problems in all the locations with regards to special education and regular school standards. > We will be working with the programs over the next two years to clear up some of the problems. > Additional dollar resources will be needed to bring the programs into compliance.

REP. HUGO: Concerned about delaying the transfer until July, 1993.

425 MILLER: We are willing to take the programs now. We will not make major changes in how the programs are operated immediately. TAPE 32, SIDE A

004 GREG McMURDO, Department of Education: I think the Department would prefer the 1993 date.

REP. HUGO: CSD is responsible now and is not in compliance. By going to 199 3, you are saying the programs will not be in compliance for two more years.

McMURDO: Not sure we can sort the mechanics out by July, 1991.

REP. HUGO: Are the local districts making contributions to the costs of these programs now?

015 MILLER: No, they are not. The funds are from general funds. There are some federal funds for special education.

REP. HUGO: Of the additional \$12 million estimated to be needed, how much would be generated from federal funds?

021 KAREN BRAZEAU, Department of Education: The dependent child billing

procedure is not an appropriate one for securing a local school district contribution since the length of stay in the program is so short. > Billing the county school funds may be another way to generate local funding.

REP. HUGO: I would like to see the Department start to improve the situation at those schools now.

043 REP. PICKARD: I am unwilling to support this amendment right now.

McMURDO: Nervous about pulling this off by July 1, 1991.

REP. KATZ: I thought you wanted to do it immediately even without additional funds. I don't understand the reason for pushing back the date. The Legislature is going to be asking the Department to do things far more complicated than this in a shorter period of time.

McMURDO: I would like more time to look at amendments. There are some labor and union problems to consider.

082 CHAIR OAKLEY: Speaker Campbell asked for the 1993 date.

REP. HUGO: Should we under fund the programs with CSD or with the Department of Education?

REP. JONES: Concerned about billing the county school fund because it may not exist next biennium. I don't have a problem doing this before 1993. The Department is probably not going to get any more money for this process but it can manage it better.

115 MOTION WITHDRAWN: Rep. Katz withdraws her earlier motion to adopt the -3 amendments. There was no objection.

122 CHAIR OAKLEY: Adjourns the meeting at 2:10 p.m.

Submitted by: Reviewed by:

Carolynn GillsonLee Penny Assistant Administrator

## EXHIBIT LOG:

A - HB 2098-4 amendment - committee staff - 1 page

B - Testimony on HB 2002 and 2003 - Joanne Miksis - 3 pages

C - HB 2573-3 amendment - committee staff - 1 page