House Committee on Environment and Energy February 11, 1991 - Page

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks $\frac{1}{2}$

report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY

May 17, 1991Hearing Room E 1:30 p.m. Tapes 172 - 175

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Parkinson, Chair Rep. Whitty, Vice-Chair Rep. Burton Rep. Courtney Rep. Naito Rep. Norris Rep. Repine Rep. Van Leeuwen Rep. Watt

MEMBER EXCUSED: ?Sen./Rep. Name

VISITING MEMBER: ?Sen./Rep. Name

PRESENT: Kathryn VanNatta, Committee Administrator Andy Sloop, Committee Assistant ?Name, Legislative Counsel

MEASURES CONSIDERED: (WRK) HB 2702 (WRK)

HB 3074 (WRK) HB 3570 (WRK) HB 2797

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 172, SIDE A

06CHAIR PARKINSON: Calls the meeting to order at 1:40 p.m.

WORK SESSION - HB 3074 Witnesses:???????

10VANNATTA: Gives status report.

33333

35van: Does inside the growth boundary also include inside the city limits?

38sun: Yes.

40nai: On the 20 percent, apparently means that 20 percent be zoned to allow for manufactured housing, not a mandate of 20 percent manufactured on the ground.

43sun: Yes.

47nai: Understand there are some cities that are already in compliance with this proposal and would not have to make any changes to their comp plans if this were passed.

52sun: Correct.

54van: ????

 $56 \mathrm{sun}$: Only requires that 20 percent be available for siting of mobile home parks.

59rep: I have letter from Cityof Grants Pass saying it already has 38 percent of its land zoned for mobile home parks. What do yo think aobut down side of this that cities might zone less mobile home land than curretnly have?

65sun: ????

69nai: Offers friendly amebndment ????

71sun: Agrees.

77whi: Moves dash 4 amendments with nai: revisions ?????

85Hearing no objection, par: so orders.

88whi: Moves to floor as amendmended with do pass.

90nor: With regret, will not be able to support.

passes 8-1 with nor: voting nay

109

WORK SESSION - HB 3570

112 HECTOR MACPHERSON, LCDC: ????

????

208 mac: HB 3570 is the only one that recongizes ????

227 par: For benefit of committee and others, reviews statuts. Has ordered a final amendment for distribution on Tuesday, for committee review and minor amendments on Wednesday and final vote on Friday. Going to limit testimony on revisions to five minutes. "The more I see of the bill(SB 66) the more pleased I am with it." Don't think testimony on 3570 will accomplish much today. Closes work session on HB 3570 and opens work session on HB 2797.

WORK SESSION -HB 2797

284 VANNATTA: Status report.

320 JON CHANDLER, COMMON GROUND: Explains dash 2 amendments.

>????

>Local government, when ???

350 nor: Page 3, Section 4 of dash 2 hand-engrossed: I say again, suppose local government has water shortage and can't correct it, this bill mandates that unfixable problem be fixed.

372 cha: One wa to address that is to amend the urban growth bondary.

384 nor: Could an urban growth bundary be redrawn in 60 days?

388 cha: ???

395 par: Believe this proposal is present law.

400 nor: Well that doesn't make it good.

402 van: My understanding is that moratorium culd be extended if city cold prove that problems leading to moratorium could't be corrected in 60 days.

TAPE 173, SIDE A

03cha: ???

Osnor: That's going to be a more realistic scenario as time goes on.

10cha: ????

14whi: Moves dash 2 amendments.

20 Hearing no objection, par: so moves.

23whi: Moves bill as amended to the floor with do pass rec.

passes 7-1, with nor: voting no. nai: assigned to carry.

40van: ?????

52van: ????

PUBLIC HEARING - HB 2702

61par: Opens for discussion on administrative rule saying their shall be no non-forest dwellings 30 days after passage of secondary lands legislation. Concerned about allowing administrators insulated from public to affect this kind of change that affects so many people.

????

100 par: Welcomes background on forest rules from Greg Wolf, DLCD.

102 wol: ??? Lane County rling which, in effect, eliminating any dwellings in forest zones. Then LCDC ????? At time sec. lands program adopted, non-forest dwellings could no longer be alowed. So, under current law, non-forest dwellings are allowed in forest zones. The commission would oppose the dash 6 amendments, because it effect says non-forest dwellings could continue in addition to secondary lands.

133 wol: It's forest conservation, that's correct.

135 par: ????

137 wol: Under current law, that's correct. Current law does include parcelization parameters for non-forest dwellings inforest zones.

140 par: So, if this amendment were

??????

258 par: Adjourns at 2:26.