

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks

report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

May 28, 1991Hearing Room D 8:00 a.m.Tape 103 - 105

MEMBERS PRESENT:Rep. Bob Repine, Chair Rep. Judy Bauman, Vice-Chair
Rep. Marie Bell Rep. Rod Johnson Rep. Dave McTeague Rep. Gail Shibley
Rep. Ron Sunseri

STAFF PRESENT: Janet McComb, Committee Administrator Kimberly
Burt, Committee Assistant

MEASURES CONSIDERED: SB 1014-A (PAW) SB 1015-A (PAW) SB
876-A (PAW) SB 203-B (WRK) SB 1032-A (WRK) SB 524-A (PAW) SB 132-B (PUB)
SB 308-A (PAW) SB 1020 (PAW) SB 876 (WRK)

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 103, SIDE A

005 CHAIR REPINE: Calls the hearing to order. (8:00 a.m.)

Roll Call: Representatives Bell, Repine & Sunseri answer "present".

PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 1014-A Witnesses:John Gervais, National Electrical Contractors Association Gary Wicks, Administrator, Building Codes Agency

Staff submits SMS, fiscal, revenue impact statement and written testimony from Wicks, (EXHIBIT A).

010 JOHN GERVAIS, NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION:
Testifies in support of SB 1014-A.

We asked for this so that the residential CABO code we are using can be kept up to date; under present law it is required that the code be adopted by statute and we want to let the electrical board do that.

017 GARY WICKS, ADMINISTRATOR, BUILDING CODES AGENCY: Testifies in support of SB 1014.

SB 1014-A gives flexibility to update CABO codes and to adopt CABO changes adopted nationally.

REP. SHIBLEY arrives. (8:05 a.m.)

045 WICKS: There was no opposition on the Senate side.

CHAIR REPINE: Oregon uses some of the national codes and some sections of our own.

WICKS: The amendments you approved in HB 3129 and this bill will give us flexibility to amend the code without coming to the legislature each time.

In the One and Two Family Dwelling Code requirements there was no flexibility; we won't amend the national code, but adopt it.

REP. MCTEAGUE arrives. (8:07 a.m.)

WORK SESSION ON SB 1014-A

080 MOTION: REP. SUNSERI moves SB 1014-A to floor do pass recommendation.

087 VOTE: In a roll call vote the motion carries unanimously. Members excused: Johnson Members absent: Bauman CARRIER: SHIBLEY

PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 1015-A Witnesses: John Gervais, National Electrical Contractors Association

Staff submits SMS, fiscal, revenue impact statement and (-2) amendments, (EXHIBIT B).

100 JOHN GERVAIS, NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION: SB 1015-A is a request for improvement in some local jurisdiction's inspection authority.

There are times when a contractor isn't able to anticipate the work beginning or an emergency situation and some jurisdictions have no emergency process or temporary bulk permit approach and we are asking that to be required.

CHAIR REPINE: Has there been abuse that would cause concern?

GERVAIS: Not that I know of.

REP. BELL: What have you done in the cases where there has been no rule?

GERVAIS: The contractor would probably respond to the situation, purchasing the permit after the fact.

145 REP. BELL: The word "bulk permits" isn't in the bill?

GERVAIS: They use the word "temporary".

We find that some communities don't check licenses and we think that is a proper responsibility of the local jurisdictions.

This wasn't accepted in the Senate, but I would like to go forward and hold a conference committee.

187 REP. SUNSERI: Should this say "routinely" or "randomly" perform license inspection?

GERVAIS: Either would be fine; it would end up being random.

We don't want this to be used as a hammer on local jurisdictions in terms of adding to their work load; it could be routine inspections.

CHAIR REPINE: Are contractors asked for licenses when they purchase permits?

GERVAIS: Under state law to buy an electrical permit you must have an electrical contractors license and a supervising electricians signature.

That is enforced to varying degrees by different communities.

REP. BAUMAN arrives. (8:17 a.m.)

224 GREG TEEPLE, INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS LOCAL 48: I want to concur with Mr. Gervais' comments on the license checking portion of the bill.

We do pay \$100 every three years for the license and do hope that there is compliance with the law and the AG's recent opinion.

We are in concurrence with the amendments.

245 VALERY SALISB URY, LEAGUE OF OREGON CITIES: This is a routine inspection at the job site and it isn't required to be an exhaustive process; it will take additional time and effort.

REP. JOHNSON arrives. (8:20 a.m.)

SALISB URY: To the extent that this becomes an unfunded activity we would oppose the amendment.

REP. BELL: Does it help to say "as a part of routine inspections"?

SALISB URY: That is as acceptable as it can be.

REP. SHIBLEY: Is this really a burden; do you see this as insensitivity?

SALISB URY: I don't think it will be a huge burden, but the City of Portland testified that they would have to hire an additional person to preform these inspections.

Some jurisdictions may be able to do this as staffed; potentially we can raise our fees to cover our costs.

310 REP. BELL: Were these amendments available in the Senate?

SALISB URY: They were in another bill.

REP. BELL: Aren't you interested in seeing that these folks are licensed?

SALISB URY: Yes, we do have an interest in that.

WORK SESSION ON SB 1015-A

340 MOTION: REP. BELL moves the (-2) amendments with the addition of the word "routine" on the beginning of line 10 on page 2.

351 VOTE: In a roll call vote the motion carries unanimously.

360 MOTION: REP. BELL moves SB 1015-A as amended to the floor with a do pass recommendation.

365 VOTE: In a roll call vote the motion carries unanimously. CARRIER: SUNSERI

PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 876-A Witnesses: John Gervais, National Electrical Contractors Association Greg Tepel, International Brotherhood of Electrical Contractors, Local 48 Walt Conner, Assistant Business Manager, Local 659, Medford Gary Wicks, Administrator, Building Codes Agency

Staff submits SMS, fiscal and revenue impact, (EXHIBIT C).

385 JOHN GERVAIS, NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION: Some electricians are licensed federally and others are licensed under the State of Oregon; this attempts to recognize the difference.

This makes an honest effort to make certain that people trained to do a certain kind of work are allowed to do.

440 GREG TEEPLE, INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, LOCAL 48: Testifies in support of SB 876.

When the linemen are running their work and they reach a property line, they can no longer work and it seems silly that people that do this work now have to be licensed to continue if they reach a property line.

The job still has to be permitted and inspected.

470 WALT CONNER, ASSISTANT BUSINESS MANAGER, LOCAL 659, MEDFORD: Submits and summarizes written testimony in support of SB 876, (EXHIBIT D).

TAPE 104, SIDE A

040 CHAIR REPINE: Could you give us a visual example of the type of work done on private property?

CONNER: Substations and transfers are often on private property; when the private company owns those and the utility doesn't, we run into problems.

The original intent of the law was to exclude this work from the license law.

070 GERVAIS: I can't imagine a cost or savings.

This requires the same permit and inspections; there shouldn't be any extra cost.

090 GERVAIS: We are requiring licenses which cost \$125; the work will not be exempt from permits at all.

102 GARY WICKS, ADMINISTRATOR, BUILDING CODES AGENCY: The fiscal note we did

was based on the bill before it was amended; as the bill stands, there is no fiscal impact that we see.

JANET MCCOMB, COMMITTEE ADMINISTRATOR: The fiscal says A-engrossed and that is the version we have, so Mr. Wicks needs to contact the fiscal office.

WORK SESSION ON SB 203-B

150 JANET MCCOMB, COMMITTEE ADMINISTRATOR: We have the (-B5) amendments to SB 203-B, (EXHIBIT E).

The amendments give the Building Codes Agency authority to amend the One and Two Family Dwelling Code, adopt water conservation provisions, change the effective date from July 1992 to July 1993 and change the flow rate on lavatory facets to 2.0 gallons per minute.

There is a letter and a revised chart from David Martin, (EXHIBIT F).

190 MOTION: REP. JOHNSON moves the (-5) amendments to SB 203-B.

REP. BAUMAN: Was there opposition to changing the flow rates by the original proponents?

MCCOMB: No.

CHAIR REPINE: There were some number changes suggested on lines 11 - 15 of page one which aren't consistent with some of the materials available in the industry based on the combination of pounds per square inch vs. flows.

215 REP. SHIBLEY: I recall the Chair of the Senate committee stating that he didn't have a problem with the amendments.

MCCOMB: That is my understanding as well; I have talked to staff on the Senate side and they understand the reason for the changes.

230 REP. JOHNSON: The (-5) amendments say "to adopt water conservation provisions..."; does that mean that there are no conservation provisions now?

GARY WICKS, ADMINISTRATOR, BUILDING CODES AGENCY: We worked with the Water Resource Department in Portland on that amendment; we wanted to implement, through the code change process, some of the numbers for conservation in the bill.

We couldn't adopt water conservation provisions under current law for plumbing, we would have to accept the national plumbing code within CABO.

REP. JOHNSON: This only allows you to put into effect, in your rules, the numbers on the first page of this bill?

WICKS: That is correct.

REP. JOHNSON: You aren't planning on taking more stringent steps than what is in this bill?

WICKS: No, we are intending to move forward, through the code change process, having public hearings on these standards and if we ran into a significant problem with numbers on the front of the page, we would probably adopt a code standard less or more than that, depending on what

the hearing said.

Then we would come back to the legislature in 1993 and present that information, allowing them to make the decision regarding modification of the numbers.

272 VOTE: Hearing no objection the motion carries.

275 MOTION: REP. SHIBLEY moves SB 203-B as amended to the floor with a do pass recommendation.

REP. SHIBLEY: With all the discussion we have had on this bill, I want to commend the people who have gotten together to discuss the issue with what I think is a pro-active way to deal with the water issue in Oregon.

I am impressed and hopeful that we can come out of this legislative session with these kinds of standards available for new and remodeled construction.

300 VOTE: In a roll call vote the motion carries unanimously. CARRIER: BELL

WORK SESSION ON SB 1032-A Witnesses:John Gervais, National Electrical Contractors Association

310 JANET MCCOMB, COMMITTEE ADMINISTRATOR: Submits (-A3) amendments (EXHIBIT G).

333 JOHN GERVAIS, NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION: We mean for the electrical board to be allowed to exempt the lower end kinds of installations from plan reviews; residential or small commercial that isn't complex.

REP. JOHNSON: Why do we need this bill?

GERVAIS: Because we have gone to standard forms in this state we have had to put plan review on every form; some communities haven't used plan review in the past and decided it is a good opportunity to raise funds.

365 MOTION: REP. BAUMAN moves the (-A3) amendments to SB 1032-A.

375 VOTE: Hearing no objection the motion carries.

380 MOTION: REP. BAUMAN moves SB 1032-A as amended to the floor with a do pass recommendation.

385 VOTE: In a roll call vote the motion carries unanimously. CARRIER: JOHNSON

PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 524-A Witnesses:Emily Cedarleaf, Multi-Family Housing Council of Oregon

405 JANET MCCOMB, COMMITTEE ADMINISTRATOR: This clarifies that licensed property managers, or employees of the property owner are exempt from being registered with the Construction Contractors Board, (CCB).

Submits SMS, fiscal, revenue impact statement and (-2) amendments, (EXHIBIT H).

411 EMILY CEDARLEAF, MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING COUNCIL OF OREGON: Last

session it was agreed that real estate licensees operating under management contracts, and their employees, plus owners and their employees, wouldn't have to have a contractors license.

That wasn't directly written into the statute, but there was administrative rule adopted reflecting that, but this will make it clear.

We have the (-2) amendments to clarify that we mean that only real estate licensees operating under a management contract for that property wouldn't have to have a license rather than just anyone who had a real estate license.

440 REP. BELL: Are we talking about electrical and plumbing work?

CEDARLEAF: That is correct; we mean that owners or real estate licensees who manage the property, doing work within that property; there are times when there needs to be a plumbing and electrical license and we have tried to draw that distinction.

498 JIM STEMBRIDGE, DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR, CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTORS BOARD: This makes no changes in electrical licensing or plumbing licensing.

TAPE 103, SIDE B

STEMBRIDGE: This regards registration with the CCB only which is the posting of the bond and the claims process.

The CCB has provided these exemptions by rule and this takes them to statute; on page 1, lines 23 & 24, we are talking about an employee of an owner being exempt.

I have seen the amendments and the CCB doesn't have a concern either way.

WORK SESSION ON SB 524-A

065 MOTION: REP. BAUMAN moves (-A2) amendments to SB 524-A.

VOTE: Hearing no objection the motion carries.

070 MOTION: REP. BAUMAN moves SB 524-A as amended to the floor with a do pass recommendation.

074 VOTE: In a roll call vote the motion carries unanimously. CARRIER: WHITTY

PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 132-B Witnesses: Gary Wicks, Administrator, Building Codes Agency Jane Cummings, League of Oregon Cities Glen Havener, Executive Director, Oregon Building Officials Association Fred Vannatta, Oregon State Homebuilders Association John Gervais, National Electrical Contractors Association

085 JANET MCCOMB, COMMITTEE ADMINISTRATOR: Submits SMS, fiscal and revenue impact statement, (EXHIBIT I).

093 GARY WICKS, ADMINISTRATOR, BUILDING CODES AGENCY: Submits written testimony in support of SB 132-B, (EXHIBIT J).

There were concerns about the subpoena power in the bill but that was worked out before the bill reached the Senate side.

REP. BAUMAN leaves. (9:07 a.m.)

140 WICKS: We worked with the interested parties and as far as I know there is no opposition to the bill.

REP. JOHNSON: How many people have been killed in cities because we didn't have this authority before?

WICKS: I don't have statistics; we have run into situations where there were a number of complaints and that is what we are trying to deal with in SB 132.

REP. JOHNSON: Why did 11 senators vote no?

WICKS: I don't know; there was some concern about subpoena powers but we worked that out in the Judiciary Committee.

175 REP. SUNSERI: What other agencies have this power?

WICKS: The list is fairly long.

I can't say why people voted against this; there was no opposition from the major interest groups.

REP. BELL: We just talked about a bill that allowed you to make changes without coming before the legislature; I don't know if in the same day I can vote to give an agency more power and subpoena power.

REP. SHIBLEY arrives. (9:12 a.m.)

WICKS: Walks through the process of approval for code changes with current powers.

280 REP. BELL: We inserted "routine" on the other bill and now say that they must enforce provisions we will subpoena them in this bill.

WICKS: All of our powers are limited to the enforcement of codes or administrative rules, the use of certified inspectors and the review of financial transactions dealing with permit fees and sir charges.

Our concern is public safety and those are the things that drive any investigation we undertake.

In terms of "routine" in the previous bill, if that is the way the law ends up being, then you will find the Building Codes Agency administering it that way.

320 JANE CUMMINGS, LEAGUE OF OREGON CITIES: We are no longer opposing the language in the B-engrossed bill.

The cities were seeking procedural protection and the language was corrected before the bill went through the Senate.

345 GLEN HAVENER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, OREGON BUILDING OFFICIALS ASSOCIATION: We had concerns about the bill; the bill as modified makes it clear that if a member of the public feels they have been wronged the first line of defense would be the city or county government.

In it's present form the Oregon Building Officials Association does support this bill.

404 FRED VANNATTA, OREGON STATE HOMEBUILDERS ASSOCIATION: Testifies in support of SB 132-B.

We believe that this is a significant step toward bringing uniformity and ensuring enforcement when a local government isn't enforcing the codes appropriately.

460 REP. JOHNSON: If a city building department is unnecessarily delaying building applications, isn't there political pressure that the people making the applications can use?

VANNATTA: If you are a local official in many jurisdictions there is pressure to not issue building permits.

We have established a system by which the local jurisdictions would carry out state law; originally the idea was that if they didn't carry it out right, we would not renew contracts.

TAPE 104, SIDE B

VANNATTA: This directly addresses that process; if local control means that it can take 120 days to get a building permit, I'm not in favor of local control.

050 REP. BELL: I think that there would be incentive to comply if we can take their local control away.

VANNATTA: There are a lot of other issues involved when you try to step in and remove local enforcement.

069 JOHN GERVAIS, NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION: You need the subpoena power to get the local jurisdictions to respond.

The local jurisdictions have the right to do it, so now it is time to make them do it in a responsible manner.

085 REP. BELL: Wouldn't the AG have the subpoena power?

GERVAIS: In the electrical section we audit local governments for performance; the AG does have subpoena power, but the budget doesn't allow it to be used.

There have been a mass of problems and this bill gives us a tool to deal with them.

120 REP. SHIBLEY: Would you walk us through the process that would take place if this bill were to pass; how would this make it better?

VANNATTA: Builders complain about paying fees and not getting enforcement and inspections; with this bill I could go to Wicks and ask him to go out and inspect to see why permits aren't being issued.

Currently the local jurisdiction doesn't have to respond; I'm not sure that the subpoena power will ever be used, once it is in place.

200 REP. BELL: Our problem is with how you want to address the problem,

not that there is a problem.

WICKS: The fines are only applicable to the individuals who violate the civil penalty provisions of the codes; the only authority we are giving is to do investigations, subpoenaing records when necessary.

The bill requires a written notice to the local jurisdiction and they then have 30 days to respond, and if there isn't one, then we can begin investigating and at that time we must again notify the local jurisdiction.

277 REP. BELL: You have judicial review written into this bill; is there a fiscal impact?

WICKS: We don't anticipate fiscal impact; I am suggesting that if we have the statutory authority to get the information we would receive it.

This agency is moving away from inspections; a number of jurisdictions have come forward wanting to take over.

We have already built additional funds into our budget and that has been approved already.

355 CHAIR REPINE: We will recess for a break.

CHAIR REPINE: Calls the hearing back to order. (10:00 a.m.)

PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 308-A Witnesses: Jane Cummings, League of Oregon Cities
Gary Wicks, Administrator, Building Codes Agency

372 JANE CUMMINGS, LEAGUE OF OREGON CITIES: Testifies in support of the A- engrossed bill.

395 GARY WICKS, ADMINISTRATOR, BUILDING CODES AGENCY: SB 308-A moves the date up by which a local jurisdiction has to notify a parent organization or other jurisdiction as to whether or not they are going to take over the responsibility for code enforcement.

The advantage to us is that by moving the date up we will be in a position to accommodate or modify our budget through the legislature if we are in session.

PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 1020 Witnesses: John Gervais, National Electrical Contractors Association

Staff submits SMS, revenue, fiscal impact statement and (-1) amendments, (EXHIBIT K).

440 JOHN GERVAIS, NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION: This again is in response to jurisdictions that choose to undertake inspection but do not do a complete job.

We are attempting to eliminate the process as we have had problems; we have asked for the (-1) amendments, but there are problems with them.

460 GERVAIS: The intent of the (-1) amendments is that if an agency is unable to perform an electrical inspection and asks for assistance from the Oregon State Electrical Division to do it and they can't respond in a timely manner, then the city or county may hire a competing electrical contractor to perform the electrical inspection on a temporary basis.

We feel that this will require local governments to do this in a qualified manner.

CHAIR REPINE: On the (-1) amendments, add, after line 4, "inspection on a temporary basis"?

GERVAIS: Yes, then on line 2; insert "in a timely manner".

TAPE 105, SIDE A

040 CHAIR REPINE: What is timely?

GERVAIS: A couple days is timely; they need to respond almost immediately.

PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 132-B

056 KATHLEEN BEAUFIT, OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL: Many years ago the state didn't like to give subpoena authority to state agencies.

The administrative procedure act gives authority in contested cases and there is a reference to the attorneys record obtaining subpoenas if the agency has subpoena authority.

073 BEAUFIT: There isn't a great consistency on which agencies have subpoena power.

Agencies generally don't have that authority unless granted by the legislature or in contested case proceedings.

Line 18 of page 2 has the general language; the language on page 1 is narrower.

120 REP. SHIBLEY: What is the difference between section 2 and section 3?

BEAUFIT: It appears that section 3 deals with enforcement of the provision of the chapter; the first section is specifically directed to municipalities, building officials and inspectors.

153 GARY WICKS, ADMINISTRATOR, BUILDING CODES AGENCY: We did submit amendments in Judiciary that restricted the general subpoena powers that have already been granted other boards.

Reads letter into record; (EXHIBIT L).

177 WICKS: The amendments limited our power and secondly we committed to the committee that we would adopt a formal protocol listing the steps that would be taken.

We want our function as narrow as possible; if there are any amendments that would narrow that further, we would be willing to work on those.

We aren't asking for, nor did we intend to have broad subpoena powers.

203 REP. BELL: I don't feel comfortable with this bill and I would be willing to work on this to make it better.

REP. JOHNSON: I would feel more comfortable if the bill was narrowed.

REP. SUNSERI: I think the bill over emphasizes centralization of power and I see that this could be abused; the power being vested is more than necessary.

I also share REP. BELL's concern about judicial review costs.

245 REP. BELL: I would like to ask the AG why they aren't responding in a timely manner when they have the authority to do this.

WORK SESSION ON SB 876 Witnesses: John Gervais, National Electrical Contractors Association

267 JOHN GERVAIS, NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION: The clear intent of the amendments, on page 2, lines 3 - 14 is that the exemption is from licensing only, electrical permits and inspection are required.

The requirement for the signature of the supervising electrician for a permit to be issued is eliminated, meaning that permits will be issued to electrical contractors without the signature of the supervising electrician; any designated agent of the contractor can sign.

284 MOTION: REP. SUNSERI moves SB 876-A to the floor with a do pass recommendation.

290 VOTE: In a roll call vote the motion carries unanimously. Members excused: Bauman & McTeague CARRIER: JOHNSON

WORK SESSION ON SB 1020

295 MOTION: REP. SUNSERI moves the (-1) amendments to SB 1020.

CHAIR REPINE: There was additional language, do you wish to move that?

On line 2, after the phrase "timely manner" insert that the state would be requested to inspect in a timely fashion and that if they were unable to, the language would go on and one line 4, after the word "inspection" insert "on a temporary basis".

REP. SUNSERI: So moved.

CHAIR REPINE: Those will be conceptual. 320 JOHN GERVAIS, NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION: "If the agency is unable to preform an electrical inspection in a timely manner and the Oregon State Electrical Division isn't able to respond in a timely manner to requests for inspections, then the city or county may hire a competing electrical contractor to preform the electrical inspection on a temporary basis".

CHAIR REPINE: Is that the intent of the motion?

REP. SUNSERI: Yes.

330 VOTE: Hearing no objection the motion carries.

333 MOTION: REP. SUNSERI moves SB 1020 as amended to the floor with a do pass recommendation.

335 VOTE: In a roll call vote the motion carries unanimously. Members excused: Bauman & McTeague CARRIER: SUNSERI

WORK SESSION ON SB 308

355 MOTION: REP. SHIBLEY moves SB 308-A to the floor with a do pass recommendation.

360 VOTE: In a roll call vote the motion carries unanimously. Members excused: Bauman & McTeague CARRIER: SHIBLEY

370 CHAIR REPINE: The committee is adjourned. (10:32 a.m.)

Submitted by: Reviewed by:

Kimberly Burt Janet McComb Assistant Administrator

EXHIBIT SUMMARY:

A - SB 1014-A SMS, revenue, fiscal impact statement & written testimony from Wicks submitted by staff, pp 4 B - SB 1015-A SMS, revenue, fiscal impact statement & (-A2) amendments submitted by staff, pp 4 C - SB 876-A SMS, revenue & fiscal impact statement submitted by staff, pp 3 D - SB 876 written testimony submitted by CONNER, pp 6 E - SB 203-B5 amendments submitted by staff, pp 3 F - SB 203-B written testimony submitted by staff for Martin, pp 2 G - SB 1032-A3 amendments submitted by staff, pp 1 H - SB 524-A SMS, revenue, fiscal impact statement and (-2) amendments, pp 4 I - SB 132-B SMS, revenue & fiscal impact statement submitted by staff, pp 3 J - SB 132-B written testimony submitted by WICKS, pp 5 K - SB 1020 SMS, revenue, fiscal impact statement and (-1) amendments submitted by staff, pp 3 L - SB 1020 written testimony submitted by WICKS, pp 3 M - SB 1020 written testimony submitted for the record by staff, pp 1 N - SB 308-A SMS, revenue & fiscal impact statement submitted by staff, pp 2