House Committee on Human Resources May 13, 1991 - Page

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks

report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON HUMAN RESOURCES

May 13, 1991Hearing Room H-170 1:30 p.m. Tapes 147 - 149

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Mary Alice Ford, Chair Rep. Beverly Stein, Vice-Chair Rep. Jerry Barnes Rep. Cedric Hayden Rep. John Meek Rep. Hedy Rijken Rep. Jackie Taylor

STAFF PRESENT: Melanie Zermer, Committee Administrator Pamela Berger, Committee Assistant

MEASURES CONSIDERED:SB 458 - Deletes statutory limitations relating to persons entitled to treatment by acupuncturist - paw SB 705 - Changes acupuncturist regulation program from registration to licensure - paw HB 3423 - Establishes State board of Denturistry - wrk

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 147, SIDE A

010 CHAIR FORD: Calls the meeting to order at 2:06 p.m.

PUBLIC HEARING: SB 705-A - Changes acupuncturist regulation program from registration to licensure Witnesses: Gene Bruno, Oregon Acupuncturists Association Heidi Bialkowski, Oregon Acupuncturists Association

Staff submits ORS 677.750 regarding acupuncturists (EXHIBIT B).

015 HEIDI BIALKOWSKI, OREGON ACUPUNCTURISTS ASSOCIATION: Testifies in favor of SB 705-A, which is simply a housekeeping bill. It changes "registered" to "licensed" in the acupuncturist statute. Acupuncturists are licensed, not registered. Registered is a listing of professionals. When a person is licensed, they had taken a series of educational courses, passed a test, and are regulated by a licensing board.

020 CHAIR FORD: Why wasn't this changed before?

GENE BRUNO, OREGON ACUPUNCTURISTS ASSOCIATION: It was never noticed

until recently. - Submits and explains a letter from the Department of Justice (EXHIBIT A).

BIALKOWSKI: This bill adds acupuncturists to a list of practitioners.

CHAIR FORD: Couldn't they use the title before?

BRUNO: Tells of being on Acupuncturist Board. - This refers to printed signs and billboards; requires persons name and the acupuncturist be listed.

WORK SESSION SB 705-A - Changes acupuncturist regulation program from registration to licensure

MOTION: REPRESENTATIVE MEEK MOVES SB 705-A TO THE FLOOR WITH A DO PASS RECOMMENDATION.

REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: Are there any current or proposed insurance mandates

for this treatment?

CHAIR FORD: Not to my knowledge.

REPRESENTATIVE BARNES: Would fees continue as they currently are?

BIALKOWSKI: Correct.

CHAIR FORD: Are the licensing procedures under the Board of Medical Examiners?

BRUNO: Correct.

VOTE: THE MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY. REPRESENTATIVE MEEK WILL CARRY SB 705-A TO THE FLOOR.

PUBLIC HEARING: SB 458-A - Deletes statutory limitations relating to persons entitled to treatment by acupuncturist Witnesses: Gene Bruno, Oregon Acupuncturists Association Heidi Bialkowski, Oregon Acupuncturists Association

070 HEIDI BIALKOWSKI, OREGON ACUPUNCTURISTS ASSOCIATION: Testifies in favor of SB 458. - Originally this bill was going to require a continuing education program for all licensed acupuncturists in the state. After discussions with the Board of Medical Examiners, who would have had to administer the continuing education programs, that section was pulled because they felt they did not have the staff to carry out those duties. Our association does have a continuing education program. Another section of bill repeals a referral from a physician. In 1973, when the licensing act was passed, it put in a referral. In 1981, changes were made so it was not a direct access issue. This was done by adding "or you can get medical records". We are just continuing along in that vain. We have not had any problems since the licensing act has taken effect.

100 GENE BRUNO, OREGON ACUPUNCTURISTS ASSOCIATION: Testifies in favor of SB 458. - In 1973 acupuncturists were first licensed in Oregon was the first state to license acupuncturists. Originally, the law

stated that every acupuncturist had to have one physician monitoring the acupuncturist. Then after five years they decided that acupuncture was safe and that an acupuncturist could get a referral from any physician in the state. - In 1981 the board agreed to support a bill which allowed acupuncturists to receive any patient. But we need to receive medical records from any allied health practitioner (physician, naturopath, dentist, etc.) - this allowed direct access. - If a patient comes to me to quit smoking, they would need to wait 4-5 weeks for records to be received by the acupuncturist. This is unnecessary and inconvenient. - Describes training acupuncturists receive in oriental and western medicine. - Asks passage of this bill so patients would not need to wait an unnecessary amount of time to receive treatment.

CHAIR FORD: Why is the wait there?

BRUNO: Current law requires a copy of medical records; not consent of physician.

REPRESENTATIVE STEIN: Wouldn't that be helpful?

BRUNO: Yes, to any physician. We would call for information, if needed.

REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: Acupuncturists may now see a patient without a referral.

BRUNO: Correct, we are asking that the patient be seen before medical records arrive.

REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: But if you are treating diseases, don't you need medical record information?

BRUNO: We are not treating the same kind of diseases that physicians are. For example, if a person is coming in for high blood pressure, we do a medical history anyway. We are treating for non-interfering types of conditions (i.e. back pain). We receive a certain number of hours of western training.

REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: What if a person has headaches and seeks treatment from you. And this person, in fact, has a brain tumor but did not reveal that information to you?

BRUNO: Have had patients with headaches and I sent them to have a CAT scan. We do request medical history and do contact a patient's physician by telephone.

REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: Asks about hospital privileges to do acupuncture anesthesia.

BRUNO: The insurance companies now have decided that can longer be done, although it had been done in the past. Acupuncture anesthesia can occur in an office, not in a hospital setting; have done it in dental offices for those who cannot tolerate anesthetics.

222 REPRESENTATIVE MEEK: Concerned about people that come to you without a record, or those who have not seen a doctor in quite a while.

BRUNO: If I have your records, I can treat you. If there are no records, then I couldn't. If you want to quit smoking, I need your medical records. Tells of 77 year old woman with no records, had to send her to a general practitioner before treating her.

REPRESENTATIVE BARNES: Do you treat patients for an unlimited amount of time? Concerned that there could be a dangerous underlying problem.

BRUNO: In the western diagnostic training we do learn warning signs. In Oregon, acupuncturists will provide 10-12 treatments. If there is no improvement we will refer the patient - this an association policy. Never in Oregon, has there been a malpractice suit against an acupuncturist. The Acupuncturist committee is made up of three physicians and three acupuncturists.

CHAIR FORD: How many licensed and practicing acupuncturists are there in Oregon?

BRUNO: Guesstimate 68.

CHAIR FORD: How many are also medical doctors?

BRUNO: In the Salem area there are four medical doctors practicing acupuncture, one in Corvallis, and five in Portland. - Medical doctors don't need to go through any kind of training to perform acupuncture.

BIALKOWSKI: In response to REPRESENTATIVE MEEK, in the bill we are asking to be able to start treatment before medical records arrive; we still would request medical records. This would allow patients to be seen sooner.

310 Discussion on rule-making authority statute 677.755.

REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: The original legislative intent probably was to keep

acupuncturists communicating with physicians.

BRUNO: The intent was, that after this period of time, the acupuncturists would have shown to be effective. It was an interim step to what we are here to do today. At this time the association feels that 10 years is enough time to show that acupuncture is a vital means, and that acupuncturists are trained and qualified. - Points out that medical records could come from a dentist, a chiropractors, a naturopath, etc.

WORK SESSION SB 458 - Deletes statutory limitations relating to persons entitled to treatment by acupuncturist

REPRESENTATIVE MEEK: Don't object to them treating patients but am concerned about medical records - feels there is some safeguard if there is something wrong that the patient chooses not to disclose, having required records would provide that information. - Would like to amend that they could treat the patient but would need to get records - this would let them proceed with treatment, but medical records would remain to be required.

CHAIR FORD: It would also need to clarify that they have rule making authority.

CHAIR FORD and REPRESENTATIVE BARNES: State concerns about rule making authority.

BIALKOWSKI: Was not our intent to remove the rule making authority.

REPRESENTATIVE MEEK: Would be willing to work with BIALKOWSKI on an amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE TAYLOR: A person could tell the acupuncturist that they don't have a record or have them get my dental records.

TAPE 148, SIDE A

035 CHAIR FORD: Do you automatically refer to a physician after 12 treatments?

BRUNO: Yes, but we can and do refer earlier.

CHAIR FORD: How many visits per week?

BRUNO: If the condition is chronic, once a week.

CHAIR FORD: Within that time slot would you be able to receive the records?

BRUNO: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: My dental office has no problems in obtaining records. There is no excuse to wait 3-4 weeks. We can call for information or have it faxed to us.

BRUNO: By law, we can't received the records verbally. And I don't have a fax. Tells patient going to go to physician to request records - which only takes about one week.

080 MELANIE ZERMER: Staff talked to John Uwelling, Board of Medical Examiners, who said they did not oppose this bill.

REPRESENTATIVE STEIN: Not sure if we are playing around with something we don't need.

110 REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: Talks about surgery procedures and requesting patient medical status for safeguard purposes.

REPRESENTATIVE MEEK: REPRESENTATIVE STEIN makes a good point. Maybe we should amend this to state a "related physician" instead of general doctor (dentist, etc.).

125 REPRESENTATIVE TAYLOR: What does "related records" mean if we want to stop smoking? Don't think I should be required to see a physician first if all I want to do is seek no smoking treatment from an acupuncturist. Are we adding an unnecessary expense for the patient?

REPRESENTATIVE RIJKEN: These are two separate situations. There are people who have been seeing a physician for whatever reason and those who do not have medical records.

REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: Smoking can cause several diseases and problems, it would be good for the acupuncturist to know if they had smoking related health problems. We are not asking for additional visits, just records. - Talks about general anesthesia.

180 REPRESENTATIVE BARNES: Would it be possible to have the Board of Medical

Examiners report to us on how this is working?

CHAIR FORD: Not sure if that is necessary, we will hear about problems if they occur.

MOTION: REPRESENTATIVE STEIN MOVES SB 458 TO THE FLOOR WITH A DO PASS RECOMMENDATION.

VOTE: THE MOTION PASSES 5-2. REPRESENTATIVE BARNES, REPRESENTATIVE RIJKEN, REPRESENTATIVE STEIN, REPRESENTATIVE TAYLOR, AND CHAIR FORD VOTE AYE. REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN AND REPRESENTATIVE MEEK VOTE NO. REPRESENTATIVE RIJKEN WILL CARRY SB 458 TO THE FLOOR.

WORK SESSION: HB 3423 - Establishes State board of Denturistry Witnesses: Barry Rice, Oregon Dental Association Jane Edwards, Board of Dentistry Jim Davis, Oregon State Denturists Association Ken Holden, Oregon State Denturists Association Art Keil, Oregon Health Division Sue Wilson, Oregon Health Division

260 MELANIE ZERMER: Submits and explains a fiscal analysis on HB 3423 (EXHIBIT C), HB 3423-4 proposed amendments from the Oregon Dental Association (EXHIBIT E), HB 3423-5 proposed amendments from Rep. Hayden (EXHIBIT F), and HB 3423-6 proposed amendments from Jim Davis/Denturist Association (EXHIBIT G), and testimony from Barry Rice, Oregon Dental Association (EXHIBIT D).

320 REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: Who sits on the Board of Dental Examiners?

BARRY RICE, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, OREGON DENTAL ASSOCIATION: Two dental hygienists, one public member, and six dentists.

REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: Explains that legislation was recently passed which expanded the membership. - What is the purpose of having dental hygienists on the Board on Dental Examiners?

RICE: Because they are licensed by the Board of Dentistry.

REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: Does the board feel that it is best for public health that they be together under one umbrella?

RICE: Yes.

REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: Would this also be applicable to denturists?

RICE: I believe so.

REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: Why were the HB 3423-5 proposed amendments introduced, and by whom?

RICE: Rep. Van Vliet authorized the introduction of the HB 3423-5 amendments. The Oregon Dental Association has approved the amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: Why are you in favor of the HB 3423-5 proposed amendments?

RICE: "By combining the advisory board with the board of dentistry, it puts the administration of the ORS 680 (dental practice act) which the dental hygienists, the denture technologists, and the dentists all come under one administrative body. So you reduce the duplication of service, administrative assistants, personnel and developing a greater common of skills. We thought it would be more economical to do it this way. This is a major change from our thinking. We thought it would be in the best interest for all concerned. It would also protect the denturists in their concerns about being licensed. The denturist was added to the board to ensure that input for disciplinary actions. Administration of the tests would be conducted by the denturists not unlike any other dental specialties. The additional civil penalties would provide additional disciplinary alternatives. This has also been supported by the Oregon Denturists Association." - It would foster a collegial attitude. Since legislation passed to allow denturists in this state, there has not been a collegial attitude.

CHAIR FORD: Do you really think that after all the years the dentists fought to keep denturists out of the system, that they would actually want denturists on their board and that it would be a fair and impartial board?

RICE: I did not say that would happen over night; it would be a step is a right direction.

CHAIR FORD: I agree. But what happens in the process?

RICE: That would depend on the denturist association and dental association. Agrees with Chair Ford that there have some dentists who have been uncooperative on this bill. Does not feel those few necessarily represent the Board of Dentistry or the vast majority of dentists.

TAPE 147, SIDE B

REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: Would it be more economical for denturists to have the dentists' investigator available to them?

RICE: The dentists's investigator is a dentist.

REPRESENTATIVE RIJKEN: I understand that the reason for your amendments is economics and for a better working relationship between the denturists and the dentists.

RICE: Correct.

Interpretive discussion on an attorney general's opinion.

060 Discussion on the slow moving process in having the dentists and denturists work together cooperatively.

CHAIR FORD: If the HB 3423-5 proposed amendments were passed, could the Board of Dentistry decide that the denturists could not make over dentures?

RICE: Not sure right now, if they can or not.

CHAIR FORD: Do you think they would determine that, in light of the attorney general's opinion?

RICE: Think the Board of Dentistry would be able to consult with denturists on this issue. - Discusses the HB 3423-4 amendments.

100 MELANIE ZERMER: Regarding the HB 3423-6 proposed amendments, how would the Oregon Health Division administer a Board of Denturistry?

ART KEIL, OREGON HEALTH DIVISION: There are three different arrangements for boards within the Health Division - ones that are directly operated by the Health Division, ones that are somewhat independent (i.e. barbers and hairdressers, etc.) and free standing boards (i.e. nursing home administrators). If go with the proposal for the Board of Denturistry it would be more like the Barbers Board. It is a matter fiscal involvement. You need to tell us if you want them to be free standing or independent.

145 REPRESENTATIVE STEIN: There seems to be a continuum that a board would get incubated under the Health Division then as they grow they become independent.

MELANIE ZERMER: Most agencies need to be audited by Secretary of State. Should this board then be audited independently or under then context of the Health Division's budget?

KEIL: Under the Health Division's budget.

MELANIE ZERMER: Is there any reservation of action or policy which the legislature wishes to retain in the Health Division, which is not in current legislation?

KEIL: In light of the first question, would you like us to treat them as we do the barbers and hairdressers, which I assume you are saying "yes". On the continuum, this is still attached to the Health Division, as opposed to the free standing boards. If you have no other policy concerns on that, then we will treat them the same as the barbers and hairdressers -- semi-attached to the division.

188 REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: If the -6 amendments were amended to include the dentists, dental hygienists, and denturists and the public member all under one board, and have the denturists continue having the advisory council, would that be a compromise to you?

KEN HOLDEN: OREGON STATE DENTURISTS ASSOCIATION: Yes, if we could have seven denturists on the board.

REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: And 15 dentists?

HOLDEN: Just six.

JIM DAVIS, OR STATE DENTURISTS ASSOCIATION: We feel very strongly about there being a policy making board for the denturists. We feel that gives a stronger voice to senior advocates and denturists and there would be more policy decision involvement. This was crafted with the help of the Health Division and the Board of Dentistry so that it would not have fiscal impact. We are interested in the idea of a denturist being on the Board of Dentistry. The HB 3423-5 proposed amendments

undermine the practice of denturism.

REPRESENTATIVE BARNES: How would it be if the public was the center of the dentists' board, reduce the number of dentists, resulting in a balance of members. There could be 2 dentists, 2 denturists, 2 dental hygienists, and 3 public members.

REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: That has some merit.

HOLDEN: In essence, that is what we have had for 10 years, but with that composition, there never was substantive decision made. How could a public member assess aspects of the denturist profession? We would like a board that could actually lay down some rules and get some of the problems cleared up.

REPRESENTATIVE BARNES: The public members would give a leveling effect.

290 DAVIS: Our intent has always been rock solid on this issue. We have had to fight a lot of opposition with the Oregon Dental Association. Our intent was to have a policy making board for the denturists, to change the re-certification process, add civil penalties, and to clean up language. If adding denturists to the board of dentistry resulted in de- railing the process then we don't want this. We definitely support the HB 342 3-6 amendments and rescind the referral to the committee on Ways and Means.

MELANIE ZERMER: How would you feel about the board being somewhat independent under the Health Division?

DAVIS: Talked to Mike Skeeles, Art Keil and Sue Wilson regarding that model. We would like same staffing pattern and same administrative structure (as the barbers and hairdressers) and one more denturist on the board with policy making power and that there not be a fiscal impact.

CHAIR FORD: Don't see how we can take away the fiscal impact.

DAVIS: Discusses fees. - It is not our intent to add any costs.

CHAIR FORD: Points out that the fiscal impact is for the original bill, not if the -6 amendments were adopted.

365 JANE EDWARDS, BOARD OF DENTISTRY: Have not taken a position on any of the amendments. We are interested in seeing good and fair regulations for the dentists and denturists. - Describes citizen complaints about denturists.

Discussion on denturists having misleading advertisements by advertising under dentists in the yellow pages.

EDWARDS: Certainly believe that the public views denturists as dentists because complains received people refer to them as "doctor". This bill includes a prohibition against false advertising by the denturists.

TAPE 148, SIDE B

REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: Asks about a denturist who had several suits filed against him.

EDWARDS: Sue Wilson probably could better respond to that situation.

REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: The Oregon Board of Dental Examiners have not taken a position on this bill. Is it your opinion that the HB 3423-5 proposed amendments are workable by having a denturist be on the dental board?

EDWARDS: Some board members may be concerned about denturists making decisions related to dentists. - Feels that these two groups need to work together to work things out, not sure if it should be through legislation. Our dentists on the board realize that denturists are going to stay. There is no advantage in trying to put them out of business. They do need to get together; this has been a good experience for these folks.

060 SUE WILSON, OREGON STATE HEALTH DIVISION - LICENSING BOARDS AND PROGRAM: Updates REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN on a denturist who has had several suits filed against him and has left town.

REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: What led to his dispatch?

WILSON: Several complaints and civil court hearings, as well as pursuit from the Health Division to investigate.

REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: This is a very expensive process, who is paying for this investigation?

WILSON: The denturists program, through dedicated funds.

REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: How many denturists are there?

WILSON: 120. They pay \$150 annual fee.

REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: Is \$15,000 enough to fund an investigator?

WILSON: Discusses budgetary balance.

REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: A staff position usually runs approximately \$100,000. a year. Can 120 people pay enough fees to fund an investigation?

WILSON: Staff is shared among four councils and a board. - The investigators for denturists are contracted denturists.

REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: Don't you think it should be an independent investigator instead of a practicing denturist?

WILSON: In certain technical areas, it is necessary that it be a denturist.

EDWARDS: Explains dentistry investigation process. - Points out that out of 2,000 dentists, they get 1 complaint a day. - Explains that a dental investigator is paid approximately \$40,000 year.

173 MOTION: REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN MOVES ADOPTION OF THE HB 3423-5 AMENDMENTS.

REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: These amendments would balance the board nicely,

would put all practicing various types of dentistry under one umbrella, it would allow communication to take place, and expenses could be shared.

REPRESENTATIVE RIJKEN: Will not support the HB 3423-5 amendments. Over the

years the denturists have made several attempts to work together with the dentists. Feels that this amendment would not be to the benefit to the denturists. Both professions have had problems with their own people and that probably will continue. It is time for the denturists to set up their own separate board - they are in fact professionals and they will keep an eye on themselves or they will not last.

230 REPRESENTATIVE BARNES: Doesn't like any of these amendments but am going to vote for the HB 3423-5 proposed amendments because I am reluctant to have two organizations regulating services to my mouth. Not convinced that the dentists haven't taken undue economic advantage of the denturists, and this amendment it the least of two evils.

VOTE: THE MOTION FAILS. REPRESENTATIVE BARNES, REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN, AND CHAIR FORD VOTE AYE. REPRESENTATIVE MEEK, REPRESENTATIVE RIJKEN, REPRESENTATIVE STEIN, AND REPRESENTATIVE TAYLOR VOTE NO.

MOTION: REPRESENTATIVE STEIN MOVES ADOPTION OF THE HB 342 3-6 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS.

MOTION: REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN MOVES TO AMEND THE HB 342 3-6 AMENDMENTS TO ESTABLISH THE DENTURISTS BOARD BY INCLUDING FOUR PUBLIC MEMBERS, THREE DENTURISTS, TWO DENTAL HYGIENISTS, AND ONE DENTIST.

REPRESENTATIVE STEIN: This is not a friendly amendment. - Feels that those who know the profession would be best able to monitor.

VOTE: THE MOTION TO AMEND HB 2423-6 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS FAILS. REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN AND REPRESENTATIVE MEEK VOTE AYE. REPRESENTATIVE BARNES, REPRESENTATIVE RIJKEN, REPRESENTATIVE STEIN, AND REPRESENTATIVE TAYLOR AND CHAIR FORD VOTE NO.

REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN: Discusses partial dentures.

CHAIR FORD: Will not allow that discussion because it is not germane to the work session.

TAPE 149,. SIDE A

REPRESENTATIVE MEEK: Sees turf battles between the dentists and denturists, due to technology. This board allows for more freedom. This is a progressive move.

050 REPRESENTATIVE TAYLOR: Impressed with denturists to provide economic services

REPRESENTATIVE STEIN: My intentions are to amend the HB 3423-6 amendments to allow denturists to sit on the dentistry board.

REPRESENTATIVE BARNES: There needs to be a leveling on the board of

dentistry.

VOTE: THE MOTION TO ADOPT HB 3423-6 AMENDMENTS PASSES 5-1. REPRESENTATIVE MEEK, REPRESENTATIVE RIJKEN, REPRESENTATIVE STEIN, REPRESENTATIVE TAYLOR, AND CHAIR FORD VOTES AYE. REPRESENTATIVE BARNES VOTES NO. REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN IS EXCUSED.

MOTION: REPRESENTATIVE STEIN MOVES TO AMEND THE AMENDMENT BY INCORPORATING SECTION 1 OF THE HB 3423-5 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS INTO THE HB 3423-6 AMENDMENTS.

083 EDWARDS: This would create a new fiscal impact. - Thinks the board and dental community would not like denturists making policy decisions. There is great value to having denturists attend dentistry board meetings.

REPRESENTATIVE STEIN: WITHDRAWS MOTION. - Don't want to risk this bill by sending it to the Committee on Ways and Means. - Your suggestion to have denturists attend Board of Dentistry meetings is excellent.

MOTION: REPRESENTATIVE STEIN MOVES TO RESCIND THE REFERRAL TO THE COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS.

VOTE: THERE BEING NO OBJECTIONS, THE MOTION CARRIES.

MOTION: REPRESENTATIVE STEIN MOVES HB 3423, AS AMENDED, TO THE FLOOR WITH A DO PASS RECOMMENDATION.

VOTE: THE MOTION PASSES 5-1. REPRESENTATIVE MEEK, REPRESENTATIVE RIJKEN, REPRESENTATIVE STEIN, REPRESENTATIVE TAYLOR, AND CHAIR FORD VOTE AYE. REPRESENTATIVE BARNES VOTES NO. REPRESENTATIVE HAYDEN IS EXCUSED. REPRESENTATIVE RIJKEN WILL CARRY HB 3423 TO THE FLOOR.

CHAIR FORD: Adjourns the meeting at 4:12 p.m.

Submitted by, Reviewed by,

Pamela Berger Melanie Zermer

EXHIBIT LOG: A - SB 458/SB 705 - letter - Bruno - 2 pp. B - SB 458 - statutes - staff - 2 pp. C - HB 3423 - fiscal analysis - staff - 1 p. D - HB 3423 - testimony - Rice -1 p. E - HB 3423 - proposed amendments - staff - 11 pp. F - HB 3423 - proposed amendments - staff - 13 pp. G - HB 3423 - proposed amendments - staff - 10 pp.