House Committee on Judiciary June 20, 1991 - Page

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks $\frac{1}{2}$

report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY CIVIL LAW AND JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION

June 20, 1991Hearing Room 357 1:35 p.m. Tapes 127 -130

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Ray Baum, Chair Rep. Marie Bell Rep. Tom Brian Rep. Kelly Clark Rep. Jim Edmunson Rep. Rod Johnson Rep. Kevin Mannix Rep. Randy Miller

VISITING MEMBERS: Sen. Peg Jolin Rep. Vera Katz Rep. Margaret Carter Rep. John Watt Rep. Liz VanLeeuwen

STAFF PRESENT: Greg Chaimov, Legal Counsel Jim Dole, Committee Counsel Jill Rader, Committee Assistant

MEASURES HEARD:SB 98 (PUB) SB 719 (PPW) SB 720 (PPW) SB 766 (PPW) SB 1188 (PPW) SB 184 (PPW) SB 1035 (PPW)

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 127, SIDE A

004 CHAIR BAUM: Opens Subcommittee on Civil Law and Judicial Administration at 1:40

PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 766

WITNESSES: Rep. John Watt, District 60

014 REP JOHN WATT, District 60, Gives overview of suggested amendments SB 766-4 EXHIBIT A. Has not seen the SB 766-A5 amendments EXHIBIT B.

PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 719 and SB 720

WITNESSES:Mark Nelson, Oregon Downtown Development Assoc. (ODDA) Jeb Bladine, ODDA, McMinnville Downtown Assoc. Sol Menashe, ODDA, Assoc. of Portland Progress (APP) Scott Ingalls, Albany Downtown Assoc, ODDA Brian Scott, ODDA Gary Carloson, Association of Oregon Industries (AOI)

075 GREG CHAIMOV: Gives overview of SB 719 and 720.

090 MARK NELSON, ODDA, Submits written testimony giving overview of the ODDA

presentation EXHIBIT C.

- 098 JEB BLADINE, ODDA, McMINNVILLE DOWNTOWN ASSOC., Submits and reads written testimony EXHIBIT D.
- 124 CHAIR BAUM: Why the 4 no votes on the Senate Floor for SB 719?
- 128 BLADINE: Possibly because of the lack of a remonstrance process. We have since responded to that.
- 133 SOL MENASHE, ODDA, APP, Supports SB 719 and SB 720. They help keep the downtown Portland area vital.
- 149 SCOTT INGALLS, Albany Downtown Assoc, ODDA, Supports SB 719 and SB 720. Economic Improvement Districts (EID) work.
- 162 NELSON: Introduces the SB 719-A4 EXHIBIT E, which bring back the remonstrance language, and the SB 720-2 amendments EXHIBIT O.
- 187 REP JOHNSON: What is the past history of people objecting to EID's?
- 197 BRIAN SCOTT, ODDA, Gives background on the process and necessary requirements for setting up an EID.
- 206 REP JOHNSON: Why can't you submit a ballot in the notice? Why the difficult process?
- 212 BLADINE: It is difficult for the ODDA to communicate through a ballot. They simply need to inform the ODDA that they don't want to participate.
- 226 REP JOHNSON: What if only 10% don't want to pay therefore allowing the EID to form?
- 230 BLADINE: If anybody says they don't want to pay then they don't pay. Currently, everybody pays with the formation of an EID. It is not voluntary. Under SB 720 it is voluntary.
- NELSON: In SB 720 the language allows people to opt out. In SB 719, if less than 33% object, there would still be a surcharge. It is only in SB 720 that it is completely voluntary.
- 251 REP JOHNSON: Why do we have both SB 719 and SB 720?
- 254 SCOTT: The existing statute is an assessment on property for EID. Ballot Measure 5 included that as a tax. These bills would give communities two options. SB 719 is a business assessment and SB 720 is a property assessment.
- 268 GARY CARLSON, AOI, Supports EID's. Supports SB 719 and SB 720 with the amendments.

WORK SESSION ON SB 719

MOTION: Rep. Mannix moves adoption of the SB 719-A4 amendments. Hearing no objection the motion is adopted.

MOTION: Rep. Mannix moves SB 719 as amended to the full committee, do pass.

283 REP BRIAN: Compliments the efforts of McMinnville and Albany.

VOTE: In a roll call vote the motion is adopted with 7 ayes; Rep. Clark is excused.

WORK SESSION ON SB 720

MOTION: Rep. Mannix moves adoption of the SB 720-2 amendments. Hearing no objection the motion is adopted.

MOTION: Rep. Mannix moves SB 720 as amended to the full committee, do pass.

VOTE: In a roll call vote the motion is adopted with 7 ayes; Rep Clark is excused.

PUBLIC HEARING AND WORK SESSION ON SB 766

WITNESSES:George Richardson, Northwest Natural Gas (NWNG) Phyllis Luby, Lane Transit District Dell Isham, Northwest Propane Gas Assoc.(NWPGA) John Burns, Western States Petroleum Assoc.(WSPA)

326 GEORGE RICHARDSON, NWNG, Supports SB 766-A and the SB 766-4 amendments EXHIBIT A. Opposes the SB 766-A5 amendments EXHIBIT B. -Describes the benefits of alternative fuels. -Reformulated gasolines contribute to cleaner air but do not reduce dependency on foreign oil. -Answers Rep. Johnson's question clarifying NWNG's position on reformulated gasoline.

376 REP MANNIX: Aren't there already tax exemptions for the alternative fuels listed in SB 766-A?

380 RICHARDSON: Isn't sure. Maybe with respect to Natural gas. HB 2130 would provide tax credits but it has not passed yet.

400 REP BELL: For the alternative fuels mentioned, does the engine or vehicle need to be altered?

RICHARDSON: For natural gas, no engine changes are required but a conversion costing $$1500-220\ 0$ is required.

412 REP BELL: Establishes that gasoline can still be used if there is no money for a conversion. Wouldn't reformulated gasoline allow us to make the switch sooner therefore having clean air benefits sooner?

RICHARDSON: Yes, but clean air is only one component. There is still the issue of foreign dependency. Also, reformulated gasoline doesn't burn as clean as the fuels in the current definition.

REP BELL: Comments on long and short term goals of reformulated gasoline.

RICHARDSON: Disagrees with Rep. Bell's comments.

TAPE 128, SIDE A

025 PHYLLIS LUBY, General Manager, Lane Transit District, Supports the SB 766 -A5 amendments. To reduce dependence on foreign oil, vehicle miles traveled by single occupancy automobiles need to be reduced. To do this you work on public transit and carpool strategies. -Alternative fuels aren't the only answer. -Defines reformulated diesel.

- CHAIR BAUM: Does reformulated diesel create any more pollution than natural gas?
- 063 REP BRIAN: Is reformulated gasoline a common name? Is it currently available?
- 069 LUBY: Not in the State of Oregon. It is being used in Los Angeles. -Comments on the hassle of converting busses to alternative fuels.
- 089 REP BRIAN: Would the use of reformulated gasoline require a conversion?

LUBY: No.

- 099 REP CARTER: Why should we invest in a fuel that doesn't reduce our dependence on foreign oil?
- 102 LUBY: To make a difference in reducing dependence on foreign oil we need to increase the price of gasoline or reduce vehicle miles traveled. Suggests a range of strategies rather than just alternative fuels.
- 138 DELL ISHAM, NWPGA, Supports SB 766 but not the SB 766-A5 amendments EXHIBIT B. -We need to rely on domestic fuels for clean air benefits and security.
- 159 JOHN BURNS, WSPA, Doesn't understand why NWNG and NWPGA are opposed to the SB 766 -A5 amendments. Stresses the importance of the Clean Air Act and its effects. -Why penalize small transit systems by saying they can't use reformulated gas. -Supports the SB 766-A5 amendments.
- MOTION: Rep. Mannix moves adoption of the SB 766-4 amendments. Hearing no objection the motion is adopted.
- ${\tt MOTION:Rep.}$ Edmunson moves SB 766-A as amended to the full committee, do pass.
- 209 REP MILLER: What about the SB 766-A5 amendments?
- 213 REP EDMUNSON: The bill has been adequately amended.
- REP MILLER: Isn't prepared to support the measure without discussion of SB 766 -A5.
- 220 MANNIX: Is open to debate on the SB 766-A5 amendments because of the original intent of SB 766 .
- MOTION: Rep. Clark moves to amend Rep. Edmunson's motion to include the SB 766-A5 amendments.
- 244 REP BELL: Supports the motion. The way the bill is written invites transit to do nothing. The SB 766-A5 amendments would give an alternative.
- 249 REP BRIAN: Encourages the natural gas approach, but is reluctant to stop efforts for clean air. Supports the SB 766-A5 amendments.
- 276 REP MANNIX: There is an emphasis on clean air in SB 766 and the SB 766 -A5 amendments supports the intent.

VOTE: Hearing no objection to the motion, the motion is adopted.

293 EDMUNSON: Will oppose SB 766 so the availability of bringing a pure alternative fuel bill will be a goal.

MOTION: Chair motions to send the bill as amended to the full committee, do pass.

VOTE: The motion is adopted with 7 ayes; Rep. Edmunson votes nay.

PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 184

WITNESSES: Rep. Liz VanLeeuwen, District 37

332 CHAIMOV: Gives overview of SB 184. Explains the SB 184-B7 amendments, the result of an agreement between DEQ and AOI EXHIBIT F and the SB 184-B9 amendments requested by Rep. VanLeeuwen EXHIBIT G.

359 REP LIZ VANLEEUWEN, District 37, Wants to discourage giving agencies more power. Prefers to see SB 184 die. -Concerned with scaring business away; gives example. -Goes over her suggested amendments SB 184-B9 EXHIBIT G.

TAPE 127, SIDE B

040 VANLEEUWEN: continues overview of SB 184-B9 amendments EXHIBIT G.

PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 1188-C

WITNESSES: Sen Peg Jolin, District 22 Paul Romain, MCI

065 SEN PEG JOLIN, District 22, Testifies in support of SB 1188-C and gives background. Submits written testimony EXHIBIT H. -It was agreed in a workgroup that this bill wouldn't be changed. A member of the telecommunications industry will be offering an amendment today. Wants it known for the record that she considers this a breech of that agreement. -Further explains the agreement made in the workgroup. -Gives background and overview of SB 1188-C and written testimony EXHIBIT H.

171 REP MANNIX: Concerned that a person could intentionally run up excessive bills over and over again without ever paying.

184 SEN JOLIN: The company can block calls to prevent further abuse. The PUC does deal with this in rules. The information provider can go after abusers.

REP MANNIX: Clarifies the intent of SB 1188-C.

231 PAUL ROMAIN, MCI, We never bought off on SB 1188-C as was earlier implied. Submits amendments to help clarify the bill EXHIBIT I. Supports SB 1188-C with or without his suggested amendments. -Goes through amendments. -Responds to a question from Rep. Mannix; If a call has unlimited duration, it's impossible to calculate the cost. Suggests how to fix this.

290 REP EDMUNSON: There is a loophole in the amendment giving exception to indefinite duration calls. This could allow the worst of abuses. At some point the person should know that unlimited duration could cost as much as they could possibly be billed.

- 312 REP MANNIX: Suggests having the information provider state how much an hour would cost.
- 319 ROMAIN: Goes through the rest of the amendments EXHIBIT I.
- 405 REP EDMUNSON: Did you offer the amendment dealing with minors and mistaken use in the Senate?

ROMAIN: No. We didn't think of it then. Further explains `first time use' and the amendment involving this EXHIBIT I. We will support the bill whether or not you adopt any of our amendments EXHIBIT I but they make the SB 1188-C better.

TAPE 128, SIDE B

PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 98

WITNESSES: Linda Tipton, Portland Audobon Society

040 LINDA TIPTON, PORTLAND AUDOBON SOCIETY, submits and reads written testimony supporting SB 98 EXHIBIT J.

072 REP CLARK: How does SB 98 allow for consideration of the company's financial position?

083 TIPTON: Isn't sure if it is considered or not.

PUBLIC HEARING AND WORK SESSION ON SB 1188-C

WITNESSES: James Prunty, Department of Justice Scott Girard, Public Utilities Commission (PUC)

093 JAMES PRUNTY, Department of Justice, Testifies in support of SB 1188-C.

107 REP MANNIX: Asks question about the amendments suggested by Paul Romain EXHIBIT I regarding an indefinite duration call.

114 PRUNTY: It is a good amendment; worried about changing the bill at this late time.

127 REP MANNIX: Why are you worried about making changes now, because of time?

PRUNTY: There would probably be a referral to Ways and Means because of the fiscal impact on the Department of Justice.

137 MANNIX: What about the polling application amendment?

141 PRUNTY: Gives background on the polling application amendment in ${\tt EXHIBIT}$ I.

158 REP MILLER: Is there a similar measure in Ways and Means now?

PRUNTY: Yes, but isn't sure of the status

165 REP MILLER: If there is an opportunity to improve this bill we should do it. This committee is not bound by earlier agreements.

177 SEN JOLIN: Informs the committee of what is happening in Ways and

Means with the bill similar to SB 1188-C. Prefers to keep the bill as it is without adding any amendments.

MILLER: Other than time, is there a reason you object to the amendments EXHIBIT I?

- 210 SEN JOLIN: Has a problem with the removal of Sub. 3 in Section 4 of SB $\,$ 118 8-C as amended by MCI. Also, MCI offered an amendment to the workgroup that they now want to take away in these amendments EXHIBIT I.
- 222 REP MILLER: If the household has the phone and someone underage used the phone, isn't sure that you can't hold the adults responsible for the use.
- 234 PRUNTY: We are concerned that these 900 services turn every telephone into an open credit card. -900 service can be cut off from someone abusing it. -There is protection for both parties.
- 272 CHAIMOV: Was there an evaluation of SB 1188-C under the Commerce Clause of the US Constitution?
- 278 PRUNTY: Yes. It would survive a challenge. Doesn't think it is a problem.
- 290 SCOTT GIRARD, PUC, Has concerns with the amendments to Section 4 sub 3 from EXHIBIT I. Our jurisdiction is limited, the proposed amendment would have us establish rules that we have no ability to enforce.
- 307 REP MANNIX: What about the rest of the amendments?

GIRARD: Is only concerned with the polling exemption.

MOTION: Rep. Mannix moves amendments to page 1, line 15 and page 2 line 31 of EXHIBIT I with additional amendments. Hearing no objection the motion is adopted.

349 MOTION: Rep. Miller moves adoption of the amendment on page 2, line 3 of EXHIBIT I. Hearing no objection the motion is adopted.

CHAIMOV: Reminds the committee of the Department of Justice's concern with page 2, line 3 of EXHIBIT I.

MOTION: Rep. Mannix moves adoption of an additional amendment to Page 2, line 3 of EXHIBIT I. Hearing no objection, the amendment is adopted.

 ${\tt MOTION:Rep.}$ Mannix moves SB 1188-C as amended to the full committee, do pass.

VOTE: In a roll call vote the motion is adopted with 6 ayes; Rep Brian and Rep Bell are excused.

CHAIR BAUM: For the record, the fiscal impact statement says `No Fiscal Impact'.

TAPE 129, SIDE A

PUBLIC HEARING AND WORK SESSION ON SB 1035

WITNESSES: Richard E. Feeney, Tri-Met Jim Markee, Amalgamated Transit Union Ron Heintzman, Amalgamated Transit Union

- 027 CHAIMOV: Gives overview of SB 1035. Introduces and explains the SB 103 5-A4 EXHIBIT K amendments and amendments from Jim Craven of the Salem Transit District EXHIBIT L.
- 036 RICHARD E. FEENEY, Tri-Met, submits testimony supporting SB 1035 EXHIBIT M. Gives background and effects of SB 1035. Approves of the amendment offered by Salem Transit EXHIBIT L.
- 055 JIM MARKEE, Amalgamated Transit Union, We support this bill; suggests rescinding the subsequent referral to Ways & Means. Supportive of the amendments.
- 068 RON HEINTZMAN, Amalgamated Transit Union, It isn't often that Tri-Met and the Transit Union agree. We did on this and would like your support.

MOTION: Rep Clark moves the SB 1035-A4 amendments with the request that the referral to Ways and Means be rescinded. Hearing no objections the motion is adopted.

MOTION: Move SB 1035 as amended to the full committee, do pass.

VOTE: In a roll call vote the motion passes with 5 ayes; Representatives Brian, Mannix and Bell are excused.

PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 184

WITNESSES: John Loewy, Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) Tom Bispham, DEQ Holly Duncan, DEQ

131 JOHN LOEWY, DEQ, Gives testimony supporting SB 184. -SB 184 provides consistency, updates the 5 day notice rule, and proposes a \$100,000 penalty for gross negligence and intentional damage. -Discusses the SB 184-B7 amendments EXHIBIT F; They are acceptable to DEQ.

CHAIR BAUM: Clarifies the intent of the SB 184-B7 amendments EXHIBIT F. Concerned with the \$10,000 fine for car noise, the charge per acre for field burning, and the charge for throwing away a battery.

- 171 LOEWY: SB 184 increases the maximum for noise violations. The intent is to deal with violations of motor sports activities, not street violations. -Explains the vehicle inspection program. -This language simply increases the maximum penalty and does not change DEQ's authority.
- 193 TOM BISPHAM, DEQ, SB 184 does not extend DEQ's authority to regulate the individual car on the street.
- 196 CHAIR BAUM: Concerned that in practical terms the language could allow DEQ to fine individual cars for having a noisy muffler.
- 204 BISPHAM: This can't happen. The intent is directed at Racing cars who don't comply with noise levels.
- 219 REP MILLER: Establishes that DEQ has no authority to regulate noise for cars on the street.
- 223 BISPHAM: The only street car regulation for noise we have is in the Portland and Medford areas when vehicles come in for an air emission

- test. If they fail they are required to get their muffler fixed before they can get a certificate for registration. –In response to Rep. Miller, explains the process of fining raceways for excess noise. –The current maximum daily fine is \$500/day. SB 184 would increase it to \$10,000/day.
- 286 LOEWY: Explains the Administration of penalties. The maximum penalty for noise violation would probably be rare. SB 184 creates a consistent basis for judgement.
- 305 REP MILLER: It seems if you notify potential violators of the \$10,000 maximum fine, they will probably be more apt to listen.
- LOEWY: The fine would be helpful in achieving compliance.
- 304 BISPHAM: Adds background information on DEQ civil penalties. Sites the two penalties relating to noise that were issued last year and explains why they were issued.
- 332 CHAIR BAUM: Thought that noise was regulated by city ordinance.
- 337 BISPHAM: Our regulations are related to industrial noise as opposed to loud music etc. which would fall under city ordinance regulations.
- 348 CHAIR BAUM: How does this effect the field burning issue?
- 353 BISPHAM: Currently the field burning maximum penalty is \$10,000 per day. SB 184 would not change that.
- 367 CHAIR BAUM: What is your current daily penalty for per acre violation under your matrix?
- BISPHAM: We have a classification system. The penalty depends on the seriousness of the violation.
- $391 \; \text{LOEWY:} \; \text{This legislation does not effect the amount of the penalty at all.}$
- 400 CHAIR BAUM: What about batteries? What happens to the individual who unknowingly throws a battery away at the dump?
- 410 LOEWY: SB 184 would increase the penalty for improper disposal of a battery. Gives background of the battery provision. This was done primarily to keep all of the penalties consistent. DEQ does not have a strong objection to the SB 184-B7 amendments EXHIBIT F which would retain the fine for battery disposal at the \$500 maximum.
- TAPE 130, SIDE A
- 013 CHAIR BAUM: SB 184-B7 EXHIBIT F addresses both my concerns for SB 184.
- 020 REP VANLEEUWEN: Refers to EXHIBIT N, a copy of administrative rules for enforcing civil penalties. Is still concerned with DEQ enforcement for noise levels of street cars.
- 035 CHAIR BAUM: Defines a motor sports vehicle as not being street legal and found only on the racetrack. This is existing law.
- 050 REP VANLEEUWEN: Asks about a bill by Rep Burton dealing with similar

issues.

- 061 LOEWY: That bill is inactive.
- CHAIR BAUM: DEQ has made their intent clear and it doesn't cover street cars.
- 073 REP VANLEEUWEN: Who would be responsible for the penalty occurring at the racetrack?
- 075 BISPHAM: The operator or whoever commits the violation would be responsible for the fine.
- 096 REP BELL: Would SB 184 allow DEQ to fine the racer \$10,000?
- 099 LOEWY: Yes, we could fine the racer but that is not how the law is applied.
- 100 REP VANLEEUWEN: What about field burning. Suspects it has been changed.
- 116 BISPHAM: The only areas that will have an increased penalty cap are noise, batteries, and solid waste. The other proposed change is the \$100,000 cap to address major environmental disasters.
- 131 CHAIR BAUM: Establishes that DEQ doesn't have a problem with the SB 184 -B7 amendments. Wants to send a message that if you are going to be reckless there is a price to pay. Also some big business people find it more profitable to intentionally pollute and risk the fines. SB 184 would effectively deal with these types of violators.
- 155 REP CLARK: The idea of these fines is to create a deterrent as well as to fine someone for their actions. When DEQ assesses these fines, what kinds of inquiry do you make for the violators financial ability to pay the fine?
- 164 LOEWY: We are directed by statute to consider financial ability.
- 168 BISPHAM: Explains the process used to determine the amount of a penalty. -Acquiring financial data on the company can be difficult.
- REP CLARK: Do you have the ability to get the financial information before you assess the penalty? If you don't that is a major weakness in the system.
- BISPHAM: If we don't have the information we leave that factor at Zero.
- 193 LOEWY: These penalties can be appealed.
- 200 CLARK: It seems you don't have the ability to get the necessary information to assess a penalty. This could lead to higher penalties.
- 208 BISPHAM: The matrix system uses a baseline penalty which then multiplies the various factors.
- 219 HOLLY DUNCAN, DEQ, DEQ allows the violator to come in after the penalty is assessed and present economic information. It is something that would be considered.
- 229 REP VANLEEUWEN: Is concerned with penalties incurred per day.

- 243 BISPHAM: The \$10,000/day is the authority we have had since 1970.
- 258 REP VANLEEUWEN: Sites a specific example concerning Motor Home Safari.
- $275 \ \text{BISPHAM}$: No one here can address the specifics of what you are referring to.
- 297 VANLEEUWEN: Seeks clarification on language in SB 184.
- 333 REP BELL: Do you have in your rules a special way of dealing with people who seek your help?
- 337 BISPHAM: DEQ always tries to maintain communication and assistance. The ultimate goal is to achieve compliance.
- $350 \ \text{LOEWY:} \ \text{ORS} \ 468.130 \ \text{lays} \ \text{out the considerations} \ \text{we take in assessing penalties.}$
- 360 BISPHAM: In 1990 DEQ documented over 1,000 violations and imposed penalties in 128 of those cases. The majority of the cases are resolved in a more informal basis.
- 371 REP VANLEEUWEN: Still doesn't understand what SB 184 changes.
- 382 DUNCAN: Gives civil penalty background. Explains how SB 184 simply makes the penalties consistent.

TAPE 129, SIDE B

WORK SESSION ON SB 184

MOTION: Rep. Mannix moves adoption of the SB 184-B7 amendments. Hearing no objection the motion is adopted.

MOTION: Rep. Mannix moves SB 184 as amended to the full committee, do pass.

008 REP JOHNSON: Chastises DEQ. Would prefer to table SB 184.

025 REP CLARK: Supports SB 184.

CHAIR BAUM: Agrees with Rep Johnson on the qualities of DEQ, but the bill is necessary.

053 REP MANNIX: Comments on the intentional and negligent pollution of Mill Creek. Enhanced penalties are necessary.

061 REP BELL: Where does the money from the penalties go?

CHAIR BAUM: The money goes to the General Fund.

REP MILLER: Concerned with DEQ's authority. Isn't comfortable with the increased penalties.

097 REP MANNIX: Supports SB 184.

105 REP CLARK: Call the question.

VOTE: In a roll call vote the motion is adopted with 5 ayes. Rep Johnson and Rep Miller vote no. Rep. Edmunson is excused.

CHAIR BAUM ADJOURNS THE MEETING AT 5:00 PM

EXHIBIT LOG

A -Amendments to SB 766 - Rep. Watt - 1 page B -Amendments to SB 766 - Staff - 1 page C -Testimony on SB 719 and 720 - Nelson - 3 pages D -Testimony on SB 719 and 720 - Bladine - 1 page E -Amendments to SB 719 - Nelson - 1 page F -Amendments to SB 184 - Staff - 1 page G -Amendments to SB 184 - VanLeeuwen - 4 pages H -Testimony on SB 1188 - Sen Jolin - 9 pages I -Amendments to SB 1188 - Romain - 4 pages J -Testimony on SB 98 - Tipton - 1 page K -Amendments to SB 1035 - Staff - 1 page L -Amendments to SB 1035 - Staff - 1 page M -Testimony on SB 1035 - Feeney - 2 pages N -Testimony on SB 184 - Staff - 25 pages O -Amendments to SB 720 - Nelson - 1 page

Submitted By: Reviewed By:

Jill RaderPat Zwick AssistantOffice Manager