House Committee on Judiciary June 11, 1991 - Page

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY CRIME AND CORRECTIONS

June 11, 1991Hearing Room 357 1:30 p.m. Tapes 120 - 122

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Randy Miller, Chair Rep. Ray Baum Rep. Judy Bauman Rep. Tom Brian Rep. Rod Johnson Rep. Del Parks Rep. Ron Sunseri

MEMBER EXCUSED: Rep. Tom Mason

VISITING MEMBER: Sen. Joan Dukes, District 1 Rep. Bill Dwyer, District 42 Rep. Walt Schroeder, District 48

STAFF PRESENT: Holly Robinson, Committee Counsel Jeff Steve, Committee Assistant

MEASURES HEARD:SB 930 PH/WS (Prohibits taking wildlife while trespassing) HB 2786 PH (Creates crime of unauthorized use of livestock animal) HB 3589 PH/WS (Prohibits candidate-to-candidate contributions) SB 193 PH (Open container law on snowmobile) HR3 PH/WS (In memoriam: Former Senate President Jason Boe)

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 120, SIDE A

006 CHAIR RANDY MILLER: Opens Subcommittee on Criminal Law and Corrections at 2:00 p.m. Opens public hearing on SB 930.

SB 930 - PUBLIC HEARING

029 CHAIR MILLER: Closes public hearing and opens work session on SB 930.

SB 930 - WORK SESSION

032 HOLLY ROBINSON: Reviews SB 930. \*Individuals who hunt, fish or trap while trespassing would be subject to enhanced penalties. \*Bill from Senate has provision dealing with shooting a firearm from a moving motor vehicle when hunting is lawful. \*Bill is not clear about where hunting

- would have to lawfully take place.
- 044 REP. JOHNSON: Suggests adding the word "loaded" in front of the word "rifle" on page 2, line 6 of the bill.
- 064 SENATOR DUKES: Comments that the bill started out this way but then Senate Judiciary pointed out that the police officer will need to be able to justify penalty and to cite people for doing this; it would be impossible to determine whether or not a gun was loaded.
- 073 REP. JOHNSON: Wants explanation of why this section is needed; comments that it is difficult to hit anything out of a moving car.
- 075 SENATOR DUKES: People still do this and hit things they don't expect to hit; presently it is illegal to shoot a gun from a moving vehicle but it is not illegal to point and scope around.
- 082 REP. JOHNSON: Confirms that this was addressed in the "drive-by shooting bill."
- 084 CHAIR MILLER: It will be hard to enforce this if this doesn't pass.
- 086 SENATOR DUKES: Reminds them that these are only hunting laws; the drive-by shooting bill probably doesn't cover these.
- 091 REP. JOHNSON: Is concerned that people will be cited for rifles that are only leaning out the window. Suggests adding the words on line 7, page 2 (after the word "vehicle"): "at wildlife game or at a game animal."
- 112 REP. SUNSERI: How would this have helped the Whites' situation?
- 117 HENRY WHITE: Of the legal bulls that were taken on that private property, 2 of the 3 were taken from the vehicle.
- 123 REP. SUNSERI: Wants to know if the people were trespassing.
- 124 WHITE: The people on the county road were not trespassing until they shot the animals in the people's yards and then drove in to get them.
- 126 REP. SUNSERI: So they broke a trespassing law.
- 127 WHITE: Yes.
- 128 REP. SUNSERI: So if we can't expect people in their frenzy to obey the laws that exist, how do we expect them to obey another?
- 130 WHITE: Under this law, if they do shoot the animal--which they've already shot by illegally trespassing--the animal would be confiscated and they would bear the brunt of the cost of taking care of that animal. It would be a deterrent to stop the act before it happened.
- 136 REP. PARKS: Confirms that it is a crime to shoot off of a highway for any reason and that it would be trespassing to cause a bullet to go across someone's property. Wants to know if trespassing requires a posting.
- 151 REP. JOHNSON: The people who shot these bulls on private property weren't shooting from moving vehicles.

- 154 WHITE: Yes they were.
- 155 REP. JOHNSON: Comments that they already broke the law when they shot the bulls by shooting from a moving vehicle. So this law wouldn't necessarily add to that.
- 162 REP. BAUMAN: Asks REP. JOHNSON if he would support the bill if his amendments were accepted. REP. JOHNSON replies that he would want to further amend it first.
- 165 SEN. DUKES: The problem is that people violate this law (shooting from a moving vehicle) but rarely in front of a state policeman. Citing people for having guns pointed out the window would create a further deterrence.
- 182 REP. PARKS: Why do we make the distinction that it is unlawful during hunting season; why not off-season as well?
- 188 SEN DUKES: In trying to get this bill through, we went with the narrowest interpretation that we could; hunting laws are written for the hunting season.
- 200 ROBINSON: Clarifies with DUKES that the concern in Section 4 addresses people driving on public property.
- 204 CHAIR MILLER closes the work session on SB 930 and opens a public hearing on HB 2786.
- HB 2786 PUBLIC HEARING Witnesses: Rep. Bill Dwyer, District 42 Rep. Walt Schroeder, District 48 Jeanne Atkins, Planned Parenthood John McCulley, Oregon's Cattlemen's Association Ty Weisdorfer, Oregon State Fur Breeders
- 212 HOLLY ROBINSON: Reviews HB 2786. \*The bill now before the committee contains 4 new crimes: (1) unauthorized use of livestock; (2) interference with livestock; (3) hindering access to a medical facility; and (4) interference with a medical facility.
- 243 REPRESENTATIVE BILL DWYER, DISTRICT 42: Bill underwent metamorphosis in our Agriculture Committee. \*Brings attention to article involving an animal rights group burning a research barn (EXHIBIT A). \*People have a right--under the 1st Amendment--to protest but they have no right to destroy, impede or hinder access. \*This is a question of equity and conduct--is not a moral question.
- 282 REP. WALT SCHROEDER, DISTRICT 48: Supports Sections 1-4 of HB 278 6--creating crime for interference with livestock production. \*Bill is needed because there is an increasing number of illegal acts committed against livestock production, processing and marketing facilities. \*Cites instances of animal rights activists' activities.
- 345 REP. DWYER: Says there is a list of facilities that have been bombed. Suggests taking bill in its entirety and, if anything, delete Section 1 and keep Sections 2-7.
- 374 REP. DWYER: Says the bill is germane in that it relates to crime.

393 JEANNE ATKINS, PLANNED PARENTHOOD: Supports HB 2786 as it came out of the House Agricultural Committee (EXHIBIT B). \*In some instances, political protests do not remain peaceful. \*Although much of the activity is aimed at medical facilities that provide abortion services, it impacts other kinds of medical care as well. \*Need consistent police help. \*Concerned that there is very little certainty of strong criminal prosecution for the kinds of activities that could result when protestors became frustrated. \*Urges consistency in bill; would be inappropriate to take action to protect certain kinds of facilities and not protect other kinds of facilities that serve people.

TAPE 121, SIDE A

- 023 JOHN McCULLEY: Submits statement in support of HB 2786 (EXHIBIT C).
- 026 TY WEISDORFER, PRESIDENT, OREGON STATE MINK ASSOCIATION: Testifies in favor of HB 2786. \*Animal rights terrorists have destroyed property. \*Farmers of the state need this.
- 059 REP. JOHNSON: Assumes they have a humane way of killing the minks.
- 060 WEISDORFER: Yes. There is an inspection process--animals have fresh water and are humanely treated. Carbon dioxide is used which puts them to sleep. In the wild, minks live approximately 1.6 years; domesticated minks live up to 6-7 years.
- 072 CHAIR MILLER: Closes public hearing on HB 2786 and opens a public hearing on HB 3589.
- HB 3589 PUBLIC HEARING Witnesses: Phil Keisling, Secretary of State Mariane Gest, Oregon School Employees Association Jon Stubenvoll, Oregon State Public Interest Research Group (OSPIRG)
- 078 RANDALL JONES, STATE AND FEDERAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE: Summarizes HB 358 9. \*Same language as in HB 2190--prohibits the contributions between candidates. \*Brings attention to clarifying matrix (EXHIBIT F). \*Section 6 would eliminate tax credit for political contributions to PAC's, trusts or other organizations.
- 097 PHIL KEISLING, SECRETARY OF STATE: Summarizes testimony in support of HB 358 9 (EXHIBITS G & H). \*We need contribution limits.
- 165 REP. PARKS: How are you going to get around freedom of expression?
- 167 KEISLING: The U.S. Supreme Court has said that contribution limits are constitutionally permissible; expenditure limits are not. \*Looking at the case law, there is room within the Constitution to allow reasonable limits on contributions.
- 181 REP. PARKS: What would be reasonable limits in your view?
- 182 KEISLING: \$500 in legislative races; \$1-5-10,000 in statewide races. \*Doesn't favor a pre-empted constitutional amendment.
- 198 REP. SUNSERI: Some organizations attempt to elude being traced by creating sub-organizations; how would we check for this?
- 206 KEISLING: HB 2607 is an example that attempts to address that by establishing a principal of connection. \*There are difficult interpretations. \*People spend more time raising money for campaigns

than addressing issues. Left unchecked, this leads to citizen distrust of everything we do. \*Oregon is in the minority in having no limits on contributions and no attempt on voluntary spending limits; should give tax credit incentives to candidates who take the pledge and use voter's pamphlet to state which candidates have or have not agreed to abide by voluntary spending limits. \*Need to deal with comprehensively--both the contribution side and the expenditure side.

- 277 CHAIR MILLER: What is the fairness between providing a credit for people who give to political parties/purposes and the non-credit situation--gifts to churches, United Negro College Fund, Salvation Army.
- 290 KEISLING: The legislature has made a decision that it's a better situation to have candidates rely on a broad base of relatively small contributions than a very small number of large contributions. \*There is unlimited amounts of deductibility for giving to charitable organizations. \*We have the worst of both worlds: we have the tax credit but I don't think we get much for it.
- 317 CHAIR MILLER: Dept. of Revenue testified that only 4% of Oregonians use the tax credit; the notion that the lower income people will rush to the credit window hasn't happened; tax credit may actually discriminate against low-income people who don't have enough tax to have a liability to take the credit away from. \*The Treasury loses \$5 million per biennium by virtue of this credit that very few people use. \*Can we continue to put credit above the needs of Oregonians?
- 341 KEISLING: We have credits for a lot of things. \*Need to look at the issue of campaign finance reform and at what will be effective in addressing the problem. \*There are some very large risks involved in this state if we continue to let this problem escalate. \*In California, there were 28 initiative measures on the ballot; many of them were duplicates in subject matter of others. Despite the fact that you had a blanket sheet ballot in California, you had about 38% of the eligible turnout came out to vote. \*Urges committee not to throw away this because it is the best leverage to deal with this problem. \*With the tax credit, you will save money, reduce the exposure to the Treasury by limiting it— will make politics more accessible to people.
- 389 CHAIR MILLER: Asks if KEISLING has a position on the initiative.
- 393 KEISLING: We need to make every effort in this legislature to come up with a good plan; if we fail, we may very well have to go to the initiative on it. \*The initiative that has currently been filed does not include voluntary spending limits; it is a contribution limit only bill. \*You need to have both—or we end up chasing our own tail on this.
- 420 CHAIR MILLER: Norma Paulus suggests that if a bill like HB 2490 was to pass, or if this bill passes, her interest in the initiative is gone.
- 428 KEISLING: I think there are some things in this bill that are long overdue. \*Urges committee to add to the bill to make it even stronger. \*Need to try and work on an approach that will address the problem. \*Too much of the money is being spent on "mush" such as brochures addressing broad topics. He is working with OPBS on possible televised video voter pamphlets--where candidates address certain questions--to give candidates access to public TV to express their opinions.

- 030 CHAIR MILLER: Thinks that if such a candidates' forum comes about, candidates ought to be able to address topics they feel are important—not just answer questions formulated.
- 041 KEISLING: May want to pursue both. Regular voter's pamphlet has both.
- 048 CHAIR MILLER: HB 3283, which dealt with corporations, was passed out of here last week. We amended that bill to include a shutting off of solicitations of contributions and receipt of contributions 21 days after the general election; do you support that idea?
- 060 KEISLING: Yes. That is a reasonable way to go. \*Urges committee to get both sides (Democrats and Republicans) to sit down together and work on HB 3589.
- 082 MARIANE GEST, OREGON SCHOOL EMPLOYEES UNION: Is opposed only to Section 6, eliminating the tax credits for individuals contributing to political action committees. \*Does support prohibiting pass-throughs. \*Many organizations will continue to be involved in the process regardless of the tax credit because their members can afford contribute to PACs, but we are not one of them. \*Without the tax credits, our employees don't have the dollars to be involved. \*This part of the bill will not curb campaign spending or stop special interest groups from contributing to the candidates of their choice. It will stop organizations representing lower paid employees from participating in the process.
- 112 CHAIR MILLER: Asked if employees gave to other charitable organizations. GEST replied that she has no idea. CHAIR MILLER wonders if the only reason they get involved is because of tax credit.
- 119 GEST: Did first advertising among members on the tax credit 2 years ago. Before 2 years ago-- 5 years ago--we were bringing in maybe \$500 per year in voluntary contributions. We are now bringing in significantly more money.
- 126 CHAIR MILLER: On the one hand, we are going to reduce the amount of contributions that flow to organizations if we eliminate the tax credit and, on the other hand, this will do nothing to lessen the amount of money in the system. It cannot be both. If we're going to stop contributions to these big organizations, yours is not the only one that gets a good deal of additional money.
- 139 GEST: Believes money flow will slow down from the lower-paid employees.
- 145 CHAIR MILLER and GEST further debate the issue of tax credit priorities. CHAIR MILLER maintains that GEST's concern does not have any real benefit to the public justifying its continuance as a credit--"We're not saying you can't contribute. Only 4.7% of Oregonians use the credits. The rest of them can contribute; there is no law that says that people can't contribute to political campaigns in basically any amount they want. Don't use the easy hit of the tax code-- that's all this proposal says."
- 170 REP. JOHNSON: Points out that \$50/year-what\$ the individual person is able to deduct in tax credit—is only 13.7 cents per day; doesn't believe that they cannot afford to support political activities without the tax credit. \*Does believe that it's easier to talk them into giving

- money if you tell them that it's coming back to them next April.
- 190 GEST: You do have limited choices when you have an annual salary of \$10-15,000.
- 199 JOHN STUBENVOLL, OREGON STATE PUBLIC INTEREST RESEARCH GROUP: \*Supports what KEISLING's comments. \*Disappointed that more comprehensive reforms are not being considered. \*Encourages committee to look at issues involving contribution and expenditure limits. \*Supports bans on "pass-throughs." \*Supports current tax credits as they are in the statute. \*As long as we maintain the tax credit for initiatives we obviously encourage people to get involved and support activities or initiatives; tax credit provides a good mechanism.
- 231 CHAIR MILLER: If the credit was extended to parties, candidates and measures that have qualified for the ballots, would you support the repeal of the tax credits for the PACs?
- 248 STUBENVOLL: Yes. \*We believe that an individual's contribution should be designated for a candidate or a specific cause; we support the use of the tax credit in that regard.
- 254 JONES: Statutory language was taken out of this bill--page 4, line 44. This might be a starting point.
- 272 STUBENVOLL: OSPIRG would support maintaining the current tax credit for initiatives which this language deletes.
- 279 CHAIR MILLER: Closes HB 3589 public hearing and opens work session on HB 358 9.
- HB 3589 WORK SESSION
- 293 REP. BAUMAN: Wants to review the history of work done on HB 3589.
- 295 CHAIR MILLER: Gives history. \*House passed HB 2490; it went to the Senate and they sent over this new version. \*We can either go with HB 2490--the House version already passed--or, we could include the tax credit being extended to those who are working on measures that have qualified for the ballot.
- 334 REP. BRIAN: Should be all or nothing when it comes to tax credits. Everything under Section 5 should go, or none of it should go. \*Does feel that certain organizations collect from members in small amounts. Would hate to lose that participation.
- 364 CHAIR MILLER: Had a bill to do just that—HB 2491 provided deductions for these kinds of contributions. Maybe we want to run that idea onto the floor—to get some deduction out of it as opposed to no tax benefit.
- 390 REP. BRIAN: Addresses charitable religious organizations; they don't pay property tax or income tax--we are providing a lot of support services to them. They're not getting ignored in our considerations in terms of state tax policy. When you compare the two--encouraging political involvement versus the charitable contributions--we need to put that on the balance scale as well.
- 406 REP. BAUMAN: Comments that the difference between a deduction and a

credit is tremendous for a low income person who uses a standard deduction. \*There's so little voter involvement now--giving a tax credit is a way that the state places a value on participation. The assumption is that once you've given \$10-50, you then have a stake and are participating. \*Feels that testimony from the Oregon State School Employees has impact. \*Suggests replacing language omitted from the bill--pages 4-5, lines 38-44 and 1-2 respectively, and add language making tax credit available as suggested by STUBENVOLL. Impact would be to add tax credit for participants in initiative campaigns but go back to the "pass-through" prohibition in the bill. \*We've taken a step backwards. We want to open the door to the participation of a broad range of individuals; maybe we should have more regulation over the behavior of candidates and campaigns.

TAPE 121, SIDE B

034 CHAIR MILLER: When the federal government in the 1986 Reform Act got rid of the political tax credit, we recouped what the federal government took off--we increased our tax credit and this has no measurable impact on the number of users of the tax credit in Oregon. \*35% of the people voting does not mean that 35% of the people are participating in this. Closes work session on HB 3589 and opens a public hearing on HR3.

HR3 - PUBLIC HEARING Witnesses:Cecil Edwards, State Historian Roger Martin, Former Assistant to Jason Boe

065 CECIL EDWARDS, STATE HISTORIAN: Testifies in favor of HR3 (EXHIBIT K).

101 ROGER MARTIN, FORMER ASSISTANT TO JASON BOE: Testifies in favor of HR3. \*Boe developed the Trade & Economic Development Department. \*Urges committee to pass memorial unanimously.

144 CHAIR MILLER: Closes public hearing on HR3 and opens HR3 work session.

HR3 - WORK SESSION

148 MOTION, REP. BAUMAN: Moves the adoption of HR3 to the full committee.

151 VOTE: Motion passes.

AYE: REP. BAUMAN, REP. BRIAN, REP. JOHNSON, REP. PARKS, CHAIR MILLER. NO: EXCUSED: REP. BAUM, REP. JOHNSON, REP. SUNSERI.

157 CHAIR MILLER: Closes work session on HR3 and re-opens the work session on HB 3589.

HB 3589 - WORK SESSION

161 CHAIR MILLER: REP. BAUMAN has suggested restoring language on lines 44 of page 4 and lines 1-2 of page 5, to make sure that these are measures that have qualified for the ballot.

167 MOTION, REP. BAUMAN: Moves conceptual amendment to restore the language in HB 358 9 on lines 38-44 of page 4 and lines 1-2 of page 5.

179 JONES: On line 44, page 4: "measures or questions to be voted upon"--would that include those that are qualified?

- 181 CHAIR MILLER: Thinks it includes those that could be qualified. \*Suggests upgrading the language to include those that have actually qualified for the ballot.
- 198 CHAIR MILLER: Doesn't want to restore lines 38-43.
- 207 REP. BAUMAN: Explains that, with this amendment, she is responding to the testimony offered by GEST and STUBENVOLL.
- 211 CHAIR MILLER: STUBENVOLL was speaking to the measures but not the PACs.
- 215 REP. BAUMAN: Yes. GEST was speaking to PACs and STUBENVOLL was speaking to the measures. My motion includes both.
- 222 CHAIR MILLER and REP. BAUMAN further discuss PACs versus measures with regard to HB 3589. CHAIR MILLER can't support the credit to the PACs.
- 256 REP. JOHNSON: Likes the bill the way that it is.
- 258 REP. BRIAN: Proposes friendly amendments of restoring the italicized words in line 8, page 5, and deleting Section 6.
- 268 ADDITION TO MOTION, REP. BAUMAN: Accepts REP. BRIAN's friendly amendments to her motion.
- 286 REP. BRIAN: Addressing the statistic that 4.7% of Oregonians abuse tax credits. That's 130 ,000 Oregonians; thinks that's a significant number.
- 296 REP. JOHNSON: The percentage figure is the percentage of tax-payers, not percentage of population; thinks the number of tax-payers in Oregon is 1.1 million. That would cut the figure in half. \*Supports bill as originally was because he believes that Oregon has better uses for \$5 million each biennium than supporting political candidates. \*If we didn't already have this tax credit in place, I doubt that, in this year of Measure 5, that a proposal to create a new \$5 million per biennium tax credit for political purposes would get very far in this legislature.
- 346 CHAIR MILLER: Recesses work session on HB 3589 and opens public hearing on SB 193.
- SB 193 PUBLIC HEARING Witnesses: Jim Stevenson, Oregon State Police Shirley Benson, Oregon State Snowmobile Association Norma Stroup, Mt. Jefferson Snowmobile Club Rick Lulay, Mt. Jefferson Snowmobile Club Pat Frager, Salem
- 351 HOLLY ROBINSON: Reviews SB 193. \*Creates new traffic offense of prohibiting open containers on snowmobiles or open-terrain vehicles; would only apply to the driver.
- 372 CAPT. JIM STEVENSON, OREGON STATE POLICE: Testifies in favor of SB 193 (EXHIBIT H).
- 396 REP. BRIAN: Is there any difficulty in enforcing this under the motor vehicle code when it's on private property?

- 402 ROBINSON: The provisions of this bill are specifically limited to public lands or premises open to the public.
- 410 SHIRLEY BENSON, OREGON STATE SNOWMOBILE ASSOCIATION (OSSA): Gives brief history of OSSA--26 different clubs throughout the state, of the approximately 10,000 licensed registered snowmobiles statewide we represent approximately 4,000. \*Supports SB 193 but has a few concerns: (1) will increase litter--no large storage areas so people might just throw container in the woods; (2) people who sit on snowmobiles after riding and have a beer might be affected. \*Submits resolution passed by OSSA (EXHIBIT M).

TAPE 122, SIDE A

- 026 REP. JOHNSON: Is it against the law now to drive under the influence on a snowmobile on public land?
- 028 BENSON: I would say yes, that drinking and driving is against the law.
- 030 REP. JOHNSON: And operating a snowmobile is considered driving?
- 032 STEVENSON: It is a violation to operate a snowmobile or an alternate vehicle while intoxicated; this is in the Motor Vehicle Code.
- 034 REP. PARKS: Regarding Section 3--do you now have to register a snowmobile?
- 037 STEVENSON: Yes, that's correct.
- 047 REP. PARKS: Does the open container violation pertain only if the registered owner of the vehicle is operating the snowmobile?
- $054\ \textsc{CHAIR}$  MILLER: Wants to research that later so that remaining witnesses can testify.
- 063 NORMA STROUP, MOUNT JEFFERSON SNOW MOBILE CLUB: Opposes SB 193. \*People take alcoholic beverages on long trips that they consume in small quantities in small quantities throughout the day; if bill passes, people might be required to drink the bottle all at one time and then dispose of the bottle rather than bring it back in storage compartment. \*Won't help problem of driving under the influence. \*It's virtually impossible to drink while operating a snowmobile.
- 083 CHAIR MILLER: Would it be a fair and accurate statement to say that your club supports this?
- 087 STROUP: We've never taken a vote--I can safely say I speak for 10 to 20 of our club members.
- 094 REP. BRIAN: Would you feel different about the bill if Subsection (c) was eliminated--if storage was still permitted?
- 108 STROUP: Has a problem with (a) because sometimes the snowmobile is the only place you can sit.
- 114 RICK LULAY, MOUNT JEFFERSON SNOWMOBILE CLUB: Opposes SB 193 because there are no statistics available that links alcohol abuse with accidents on snowmobiles. Would promote litter problem.

140 PATRICIA FRAGER, SALEM: Bill would prevent taking small amount of alcohol on long pleasure trips. \*Amount we take with us will not put us "under the influence" of alcohol. \*No evidence of any arrests for DUII on snowmobiles. \*Can't compare open container laws for automobiles with snowmobiles—they are two different situations.

178 REP. JOHNSON: Supports the witnesses and feels this is a "solution looking for a problem."

187 CHAIR MILLER: Closes public hearing on SB 193.

190 BRIAN BOE: Thanks committee, on the behalf of his family, for passing HR 3 and for honoring his father.

198 CHAIR MILLER: Adjourns Subcommittee on Criminal Law and Corrections at  $4:05~\mathrm{p.m.}$ 

Transcribed by: Reviewed by:

Darcie Jackson

Office Manager

## EXHIBIT LOG:

A -Newspaper Article Submitted for HB 2786 - Rep. Bill Dwyer - 1 page B -Missing--Jeanne Atkins, Planned Parenthood (tape 120, side A, 393) C -Statement in Support of HB 2786 - John McCulley - 1 page D -Testimony in Support of HB 2786 - Submitted for the Record - 2 pages E -Letter in Support of HB 2786 from Skip Lea - Submitted for the Record - 2 pages F -Matrix Clarifying HB 3589 - Randall Jones - 1 page G -Testimony in Support of HB 3589 - Phil Keisling - 4 pages H -Campaign Finance Reform pertaining to HB 3589 - Phil Keisling - 5 pages I -Statement Opposing HB 3589 - Submitted for the Record - 1 page J -Letter Opposing HB 3589 from Steve Lanning - Submitted for the Record - 2 pages K -Testimony in Support of HR3 - Cecil Edwards - 2 pages L -Testimony in Support of SB 193 - Shirley Benson - 1 page