

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks

report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY FAMILY JUSTICE

February 22, 1991Hearing Room 357 2:00 p.m.Tapes 39 -41

MEMBERS PRESENT:Rep. Kelly Clark, Chair Rep. Judy Bauman Rep. Marie Bell Rep. Jim Edmunson Rep. Kevin Mannix Rep. Tom Mason Rep. Del Parks Rep. Ron Sunseri

STAFF PRESENT: Holly Robinson, Committee Counsel Kathy Neely, Committee Assistant MEASURES CONSIDERED: HB 2397 PH (Child Abuse) HB 2415 PH (Unlawful Sexual Penetration) HB 2405 PH (Restitution) HB 2395 WS (Hearsay Exemption)

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 39, SIDE A

003 REPRESENTATIVE CLARK, CHAIR: Calls the meeting to order at 2:35 p.m.

PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 2397 Witnesses:Paul Drew, Scott Gallant, Susan Conner, Demi Renwick, Dan Reece, Sylvan Simmins, Joseph Benninghaff, Mary Hoyt, Carl Meyers, Jerry Banks

011 HOLLY ROBINSON: Discusses history behind bill. Comments on who should be reporting and what kind of training.

042 PAUL DREWS, ACTING ASSISTANT ADMINISTRATOR, CHILD PROTECTIVE SERVICES, CHILDREN'S SERVICES DIVISION: (EXHIBIT A, B) Support the concept this bill is based on. 1. Children who are victimized will be recognized as abused. Increase ability to intervene and provide services. Break the cycle of abuse. 2. Training would result in earlier intervention. Discusses fiscal impact report. Would cost approximately \$90,000 per biennium, since CSD would be responsible for training a portion of the mandatory reporters.

071 CHAIR CLARK: There is already confusion. Not convinced about what the bill does. Does it require training not currently required under Oregon law?

PAUL DREWS: It does.

081 REP. EDMUNSON: Subsections 1 and 4 of 418.749 require CSD to implement training for development of materials. Is CSD doing that and the figure quoted does it include this training program or for the additional training? What is it costing currently?

093 PAUL DREWS: Developed flyer available to organizations that can be mailed out to those who must be licensed or certified. Develop a mandatory reporter manual. Not funded for staff to go out and do a 3 - 4 hour training on all aspects of child abuse. Discusses the estimate of the funds. Clarifies CSD would not be responsible for training other mandated reporters, only those who do not fall into any category.

123 REP. MANNIX: Regard to the new language, shouldn't the requirement of evidence training be limited to child sexual abuse or make it more general on child abuse?

PAUL DREWS: Preference to make it broader and cover all issues of abuse. It came out of Task Force on Sexual Offense against Children.

133 REP. MANNIX: Will the fiscal impact be different if broadened?

PAUL DREWS: Would not be for CSD because number of people responsible for training.

REP. MANNIX: Believes Mr. Drews is reading it correctly.

139 CHAIR CLARK: Who currently are required reporters?

PAUL DREWS: Gives examples: all attorneys, doctors, school personnel, etc.

CHAIR CLARK: Have corporate lawyers, dermatologists who do not come in contact with children. The statute now says "if you are aware of child abuse, you must report it". This bill says they will receive training whether or not they come into contact with children.

PAUL DREWS: Read same way. Comments on discussion of last session. In language being proposed this year, those portions of mandatory reporters CSD would train, on line 31, states if contact with child sexual abuse situations is within scope of employment. Effort to put limit there but not on others.

167 CHAIR CLARK: Should have certified specialties in the law.

185 SYLVAN SIMMONS, DIRECTOR OF STOP IT: (EXHIBIT C, D, E, and F) Describes

family experience which is touched by every issue on these bills. Comments on son's case against the Boy Scouts (see EXHIBITS D, E, and F). Comments on mandated reporters.

309 CHAIR CLARK: Talking about treatment specialists?

SYLVAN SIMMONS: Yes, those saying they are experts but have no training.

316 MARY HOYT, CHAIR OF TASK FORCE ON SEX OFFENSES AGAINST CHILDREN: Discusses intent from Task Force. Many mandated reporters do not have a good knowledge base on abuse. They need basic information.

336 REP. SUNSERI: Who will be licensing agency for this group and what will be the criteria for license? How avoid "nuts" being licensed and continue what they are doing?

MARY HOYT: HB 2397 concerned with people required to report child abuse.

There was also concern about therapist that are not certified to treat. There is another bill regarding this.

361 CHAIR CLARK: The Task Force has come up with another bill in another committee that would create an interim task force to look at certification of sexual therapists.

MARY HOYT: It is separate from HB 2397.

CHAIR CLARK: What committee is that?

MARY HOYT: Believes it is labor.

HOLLY ROBINSON: What about Rules?

MARY HOYT: It may be Rules.

378 CHAIR CLARK: Members of this subcommittee would like to testify in favor of that bill.

382 HOLLY ROBINSON: Language on line 15 of bill that discusses people regulated by the board who in official capacity have regular and on going contact with children. Did the Task Force discuss using that language or trying to limit the pool in the same way notification requirements are limited?

MARY HOYT: No. Some attorneys on task force did have some discussion on notification.

HOLLY ROBINSON: Discusses notification in "For the Record". The people who have to be notified is much narrow than those who have to be trained.

MARY HOYT: Correct, not intention however.

414 HOLLY ROBINSON: Those getting notice should correspond with those trained.

418 REP. MANNIX: Regarding statute and new language about certification of training, shouldn't it be expanded to include "and child abuse".

MARY HOYT: Would be fine.

427 REP. MANNIX: Assumes the Bar can provide some of this training regarding CLE programs. Concerned that coming at this backwards. The bill states have to have evidence of training. Why not set up training program and require all to take it?

TAPE 40, SIDE A

023 MARY HOYT: We knew that CSD was developing curriculum that could be shared.

028 REP. MANNIX: Have to provide evidence of training. Comments on those regularly involved with children. It is overdoing it to say everyone has to provide evidence?

MARY HOYT: That was the issue Ms. Robinson was getting at. Need language that narrows for those practicing in that area.

REP. MANNIX: Would agree.

087 MARY HOYT: Concern of the OSB .

HOLLY ROBINSON: And every other professional licensed.

038 CHAIR CLARK: Narrowing language would be the best way to deal with this.

044 SUSAN CONNER, DVM, SISTERS VETERINARY CLINIC: (EXHIBIT G) Discusses sexual abuse incident regarding son and how these bills would have helped her son out at that time. Need a law to enforce training to recognize child sexual abuse as mandated reporters will realize the symptoms of that abuse.

113 CHAIR CLARK: Calls for recess at 3:10 p.m. Reconvenes at 3:17 p.m. Wants to call up those against the bill.

126 DAN REECE, SACRED HEART GENERAL HOSPITAL: (EXHIBIT H) Fully supports the intent of the law. Human service workers are particularly interested in this matter. Have concerns about the bill. 1) Need quality control on the training. 2) Education is needed in the area of identification and recognition of abuse. 3) Bill should include all abuse.

159 REP. MANNIX: All willing to broaden this to include child abuse.

165 DAN REECE: A mandate alone does not insure quality.

176 REP. EDMUNSON: The law now requires CSD to establish a curriculum generally.

182 DAN REECE: Hospital has received those materials. The problem is that what is out there is not known. Lot of mandated reporters that do not have ready access to materials. Best programs require an interactive process. Discusses Iowa's mandated training 6 years ago. Comments on quality of programs before and after mandate. The quality of training fell off when mandated.

*Bill does not address how much training needs to be available. *Does not address how often it should be available. *What degree of updated training.

234 DEMI REWICK, NURSING DIRECTORS, SACRED HEART GENERAL HOSPITAL: Discusses cost implications of the bill and how mandated training might adversely affect agencies in view of Measure 5 cutbacks. Discusses nursing division, 700 out of 1,200 would have some potential contact with children and would require training. Cost of providing training to nursing staff alone would exceed \$50,000 for 4 hours of training. Discusses other costs required by the state and multiplies it by others in the hospital that would be under this mandate.

265 REP. MANNIX: Will be hearing a lot about costs and problems. Seems that all concerned groups should get together and put together three package education programs. One hour, half day, and full day. Discusses packages.

278 DEMI REWICK: Nurses don't have a mandatory continuing education requirement.

286 REP. MANNIX: Lets put together a package.

302 CHAIR CLARK: Would you be willing to work with others regarding this issue.

311 DAN REECE: Would try.

SCOTT GALLANT, OREGON MEDICAL ASSOCIATION: This is a very serious topic. In 1981 there were 10,624 reported abuse cases, in 1990 there were 23,820 reported. The Board of Medical Examiners as required by statute has sent information to physicians who deal with children. OHSU had 33 lectures on this subject. Point is the issues is whether or not have a state program that requires something be done in a particular way or can get players together to do something voluntarily. Discusses who can and are mandated reporters.

333 JOSEPH BENNINGHOFF, CONFEDERATION OF OREGON SCHOOL ADMINISTRATORS: Comments on lack of training as it relates to failure to report. It could be the political sensitivity of the issue rather than lack of understanding that leads people not to report when they should.

405 CHAIR CLARK: What is meant by that?

TAPE 39, SIDE B

JOSEPH BENNINGHOFF: Discusses what the witness from Sisters describes as potentially political situations. Not sure education community fits in. Discusses certificated staff with regards to continuing education development.

027 CARL MEYERS, OREGON STATE BAR: Support the bill. Perhaps 90% of lawyers do not come in contact with children or would be subject to the notice. Comments on Family and Juvenile Law Sections. The Bar has chosen to send its notice to all lawyers telling them if they do come in contact with children, have the duty to report. Problem is enforcement. Mandatory CLE folks are willing to offer CLEs on this subject but it will be on voluntary participation.

056 CHAIR CLARK: Asks for questions for the panel.

REP. MANNIX: Comments on pamphlet put out regarding a medical procedure. If the objective is to make sure that as many people as possible that have contact with children are able to identify and know reporting obligation, how about creating an informal task force to come together with educational format that could be devised as standardized education format.

087 SCOTT GALLENT: Need to evaluate of what resources are currently available and where the greatest need is. This would be very useful.

094 REP. EDMUNSON: Asks the witness to answer: Are training programs in Oregon today adequate?

097 SCOTT GALLENT: Understands there are programs available to physicians who deal with abused children to teach on identification. Doubt if programs are going on for other physicians. Answer is yes.

105 JOSEPH BENNINGHOFF: Do not know.

106 CARL MEYERS: Don't know either whether they are satisfactory or not. What might be satisfactory program for lawyers might not be for CSD.

113 REP. MASON: Observes that this will run into the confidentiality situation.

120 CHAIR CLARK: Believes that battle was fought last session with the reporting requirement itself.

REP. MANNIX: Will have battle again with another bill on reporting.

REP. MASON: Willing to fight battle. Believes the client/attorney confidentiality is over emphasized. Comments on an ethics CLE.

132 REP. BAUMAN: Discusses how previous witnesses before subcommittee have provided training on these issues. Offers comments on how child abuse is connected to school failure, criminal behavior and family disfunction. Comments on response from professions.

166 SCOTT GALLEN: Responses: did not indicate that the Association did not realize how serious it was. Don't believe that characterization of being indifferent to this issue is correct or fair. Believes it would be wise to assess a targeted need for those who ought to be better trained.

181 CHAIR CLARK: Suggests that witnesses work with the proponents of the bill and with Rep. Edmunson to make recommendations on amendments to the bill. Specifies the sense of the committee as being concerned about broadness of the bill and the sexual abuse limitation is inappropriate and bill should be expanded. Discusses voluntary basis.

229 REP. BELL: Question on line 6, other than law enforcement officers, would that exclude them through the whole bill?

CHAIR CLARK: That is existing law.

HOLLY ROBINSON: They are trained.

REP. BELL: Would like to review this because testimony received on rural law enforcement officers have been the worse offenders.

CHAIR CLARK: Any questions.

239 JOSEPH BENNINGHOFF: Did not come representing the entire education community. Discusses other players. Would you want others included?

CHAIR CLARK: Yes.

REP. EDMUNSON: Particularly the administrators.

248 CHAIR CLARK: Encourage other committee members to get involved in this process.

251 REP. BAUMAN: Feels chastized by Mr. Gallent, may have landed on all witnesses too hard but it is intentional. It is not a problem you created, have to impress on witnesses and invite them to sit in on more meetings that we are talking about future generations.

276 CHAIR CLARK: Recesses to determine time constraints at 3:55 p.m..

Reconvenes at 4:00 p.m. Closes hearing on HB 2397.

(Tape 39, Side B) WORK SESSION ON HB 2395 Witnesses: Benson Schaeffer, Ph.D., Chris Gardner

311 HOLLY ROBINSON: Discusses packet information. Comments on previous issues raised at hearings.

380 BENSON SCHAEFFER, PH.D., OREGON PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION: Discusses types of children he works with. Reasons for extending hearsay: majority of evidence is not solely given to physicians but rather to those who are most likely to deal with the problem such as social workers, counselors, etc. Discusses a case of sexual abuse and on child testifying. Asks the committee to consider raising the age limit to include those who are mental disabled who are physically over 10 but functionally under 10 years of age. The pressures on them testifying are the same as a child under 10.

CHAIR CLARK: Understands it is not a frequent occurrence.

BENSON SCHAEFFER: Yes, it is not.

TAPE 40, SIDE B

025 REP. MANNIX: Which version would witness support.

BENSON SCHAEFFER: Supporting the one that includes psychologists, clinical social workers, etc.

REP. MANNIX: Chapter 675 language.

029 HOLLY ROBINSON: Discusses packet information in this regard. Discusses definitions. Chapter 675 is cleaner.

044 REP. SUNSERI: Have lingering concern about children who exaggerate at that age or don't give the whole picture.

053 BENSON SCHAEFFER: Believes children who under tell or over tell are more likely to do so in a court setting than a more comfortable setting. It does occur and rate of occurrence is overexaggerated.

066 CHAIR CLARK: There are number of safeguard in the statute from last session to make sure the statement itself was not the "be all and the end all."

072 CHRIS GARDNER, DESCHUTES COUNTY DA: (EXHIBIT L AND M) Discusses documents presented to committee. Discusses proposals recommending to the bill. Comments on hearsay definition. Recommends: 1) change word "describes" to "concerns" on page 3, line 2.

127 REP. MANNIX: Discusses amendments brought up in previous hearing. Line 10, change "participate" to "opportunity to participate".

CHRIS GARDNER: Gives reasons for that. Comments on line 42 regarding reliability.

REP. MANNIX: Would like to take out lines 42, and 43?

145 CHRIS GARDNER: Yes and include context of that in requirement of the alleged perpetrator's participation, make it corroborative evidence of

opportunity.

REP. MANNIX: Discusses proposed amendment to line 44 to open up to apply to all civil, criminal, and juvenial court proceedings.

CHRIS GARDNER: Had more changes that are included in the proposed draft.

149 CHAIR CLARK: Asks witness to go through all changes.

REP. MANNIX: Are there any more major changesJ?

159 CHRIS GARDNER: Yes. Second would allow hearsay statements of a child concerning the abuse if child is available and actually testifies regardless of age. Usually have child's account and the perpetrator's denial. Only other evidene available is evidence of trauma, etc. Proposes to allow the hearsay into evidence without any control as long as the child is available and testifies.

175 CHAIR CLARK: Suggesting that the exception not be limited to the situations where the child "unavailable". Unclear on what th eproposed amendment would be.

180 CHRIS GARDNER: It would allow that these out of court statments be admissable if the child is available and testifies regardless of the child's age and wheter there is the initia of reliability.

188 REP. MANNIX: In administrative cases make that same exception.

CHRIS GARDNER: No confrontation problem.

194 CHAIR CLARK: Would that changes result in situtation where child might be pressured to be available to testify.

203 CHRIS GARDNER: Encourages the child to testify because it is most compelling evidence. Don't pressure to testify but provide with support.

214 CHAIR CLARK: Confused. Recollection of last session's bill was that trying to avoid small children having to testify becuae of tramatic effect.

221 CHRIS GARDNER: Can not avoid that because of the case of State v. Campbell, child be present to atleast reach the competency determination or other determinations that would consider a child unaviable.

234 HOLLY ROBINSON: Clarifies what was done last session. Discusses current law. First level is availablity or not, second is substantial reliability of statement, then if child is unavailable, need cooberative evidence.

251 CHRIS GARDNER: Only applies to children under 10.

HOLLY ROBINSON: Only juvenile or criminal trials.

256 REP. MANNIX: Does last draft prepared incorporate discusion now? In work session?

CHAIR CLARK: Yes.

REP. MANNIX: Tempted to get moving on this.

CHAIR CLARK: Want to make sure have all facts in front.

267 CHRIS GARDNER: The third area needing change is: level for admissibility defined in statute for criminal cases and further defined by the Constitution in case of Idaho v. Wright, it is the same standard in the juvenile cases. Set up higher standard than should be in the juvenile case. Discusses differences of standards of proof.

329 HOLLY ROBINSON: Questions the amendment, if it should apply to civil proceeding. The bill applies to all proceedings.

335 CHRIS GARDNER: Should have a relatively high standard for admissibility that is hearsay evidence in a proceeding that may have a punitive affect. In any action where entire inquiry is what is the best interest of child, believes evidence should be in. Discusses recent Oregon case regarding custody and belief of abuse.

CHAIR CLARK: What type of case is that?

CHRIS GARDNER: Believes it is Court of Appeals.

CHAIR CLARK: Would like the citation.

REP. PARKS: What is the name of the citation.

CHAIR CLARK: Asks to make available that information.

369 CHRIS GARDNER: This rule does not have a consequence in those cases is another exception to the hearsay is out of court statements, exception no. 3, declarance of state of mind. Discusses exception 3. Sees cases happening at the same time in different courts, discusses problems with each type of case.

394 HOLLY ROBINSON: Did not answer question. In way written, would apply only to criminal and juvenile proceedings. Other than making it constitutionally sound for criminal cases, assumes want the same to apply in juvenile and civil proceedings.

CHRIS GARDNER: Yes. Believes there are few other technical matters. One is to define the timing of the hearing

409 CHAIR CLARK: Stops witness there because of time and volume of proposals introduced.

CHRIS GARDNER: Only got to three of them. The fourth is to amend section 24 to be consistent with Maryland v. Gates which allows exclusion of perpetrator in video taped testimony. Federal constitutional standard.

TAPE 41, SIDE A

011 REP. MASON: Asks to briefly outline and reference amendments.

CHRIS GARDNER: Asks if can do in writing and get it back to committee.

CHAIR CLARK: Ready to move on this.

REP. MASON: Can you do it.

016 CHAIR CLARK: Believes Counsel will do it for committee.

REP. BAUMAN: Uncomfortable about the way the lower standard for admission of hearsay evidence in dependence dove tails into capacity prosecutor has to piggyback the charge in the criminal case on evidence heard in civil case.

023 CHRIS GARDNER: Understands that if it were admissible in juvenile case does not overcome constitutional barriers in the criminal case.

033 CHAIR CLARK: We are in work session, counsel proceed.

036 HOLLY ROBINSON: Summarizes: 1) Page 3, line 44, there are 2395-1 amendments that do this. Regardless of what happens that has to be broaden include juvenile, civil and criminal cases.

MOTION:CHAIR CLARK: Moves to insert the word juvenile on page 3, line 44. Any objections? Hearing none, it is so ordered.

044 HOLLY ROBINSON: On top of page 3 line 2, "a statement made by a child victim, which statement concerns relative acts of sexual conduct". Would be the beginning of the paragraph.

MOTION:CHAIR CLARK: Moves the deletion of the word "describes" and insertion of the word "concern" on page 3, line 2. Any discussion? Any objections, hearing none, it is so ordered.

050 HOLLY ROBINSON: Deal with age?

054 MOTION:REP. SUNSERI: Line 10 to delete the word "participation" and insert "opportunity to participate".

056 HOLLY ROBINSON: Opportunity to participate.

064 CHAIR CLARK: Rep. Sunseri moves to delete "participation" and insert "opportunity to participate" on line 10. Any discussion? Any opposition, hearing none, it is so ordered.

HOLLY ROBINSON: Conceptually: for criminal proceedings, the evidence is to presented then all of the records that exist currently would continue to exist. For noncriminal proceedings, statements made by any aged child could be admitted as long as child was subject to cross examination.

070 CHAIR CLARK: That is the proposed amendment? Where would that go in the bill or be tacked on at the end?

HOLLY ROBINSON: Believes the way would be to restructure subsection b to have a paragraph for criminal. Somewhere in Subsection B. Parallel systems, one for civil and one for criminal.

076 CHAIR CLARK: Proposed amendment is to open the door in noncriminal context as outlined in Mr. Gardner's outline.

HOLLY ROBINSON: If child is available and reliability would not have to be followed. If not available, would still have to be used. Not changing the definition of unreliability, unavailable, or indicia of reliability.

080 CHAIR CLARK: Discusses noncriminal context where statement comes in regardless of other reliability.

HOLLY ROBINSON: If child is available, if not available that test would have to be followed.

084 CHAIR CLARK: Asks for motion.

MOTION:REP. BELL: Move the conceptual amendment to allow the statement to come in a noncriminal context when the child is available regardless of other initial of reliability.

CHAIR CLARK: Rep. Bell moves the conceptual amendment. Is there discussion?

088 REP. PARKS: Not tracking on this, will vote no because not comfortable voting.

092 REP. SUNSERI: Not comfortable with it at this point. Will vote no. Will try to resolve concerns.

095 REP. BAUMAN: Feel more comfortable addressing Reps. Parks and Sunseri's concerns before moving ahead.

099 CHAIR CLARK: Can't run this committee by the clock. Uncomfortable about that proposed amendment.

103 HOLLY ROBINSON: Would like to finish the discussion and get some direction regarding age and draft them.

106 CHAIR CLARK: Would counsel draft what the conceptual amendment would be.

HOLLY ROBINSON: Need some direction as to age, in or out, criminal or noncriminal or all options.

113 CHAIR CLARK: Wants all options.

HOLLY ROBINSON: Comments on proposals presented.

118 CHAIR CLARK: Asks Mr. Gardner to come back up.

120 CHRIS GARDNER: There was a concern about what standard for admissibility in juvenile hearings as oppose to criminal hearing. Not sure if way addressed included that or not.

123 CHAIR CLARK: Asks witness to work with Counsel to go through and create amendments. Would like other suggestions to be presented.

130 CHRIS GARDNER: Bill does not define time for the hearing. Suggests hearing occur immediately prior to commencement of trial. Discusses reasons. Discusses competence. Make a negative ruling for the state an appealable order like motion to suppress.

CHAIR CLARK: Asks counsel to draft that as well.

153 CHRIS GARDNER: Issue in statute that provides for competence hearing in chambers, can that happen without the perpetrator being present? Language of statute seems to provide for that but is ambiguous currently.

156 CHAIR CLARK: Asks counsel to work with Mr. Gardner.

158 REP. BELL: Would it be possible to get those prior to the hearing?

HOLLY ROBINSON: Monday morning.

CHAIR CLARK: Suspect it will be 10 days before this is back up.

HOLLY ROBINSON: Will have Monday morning.

166 CHAIR CLARK: Will revisit this next Wednesday .

184 REP. MASON: Comments that it is not necessary to hear every bill that comes before the committee.

202 CHAIR CLARK: Discusses the rate bills are referred to committee. There may be some evening meetings.

209 HOLLY ROBINSON: Have more than Task Force bills.

CHAIR CLARK: Understands that committee will not be able to hear all the bills.

HOLLY ROBINSON: Asks about evening meetings.

218 REP. SUNSERI: Some bills come before committee have been self evident and can go very quickly. Hope for extending work time rather than cutting off discussions that are important.

225 CHAIR CLARK: Adjourns hearing at 4:52 p.m.

Submitted by: Reviewed by:

Kathy Neely David Harrell Assistant Administrator

EXHIBIT LOG:

A - Testimony on HB 2397 - Paul Drews - 1 page B - Fiscal Impact for HB 2397 - Paul Drews - 1 page C - Testimony on HB 2397 - Sylvan Simmons - 7 pages D - Articles for HB 2397 - Sylvan Simmons - 10 pages E - Articles for HB 2397 - Sylvan Simmons - 3 pages F - Articles for HB 2397 - Sylvan Simmons - 3 pages G - Testimony on HB 2397 - Susan Conner - 2 pages H - Testimony on HB 2397 - Dan Reece - 1 page I - Testimony on HB 2397 - Sara Castner - 1 page J - Amendments to HB 2395 - 1 page K - Amendments to HB 2395 - 1 page L- Article for HB 2395 - Chris Gardner - 5 pages M- Article for HB 2395 - Charis Gardner - 7 pages N - Testimony on HB 2395 - Deborah Wilson - 3 pages