House Committee on Legislative Rules and Reapportionment June 30, 1991 - Page HOUSE COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RULES AND REAPPORTIONMENT

June 30, 1991Room 137 9:35 a.m. Tape 70

MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Greg Walden, Chair Rep. Peter Courtney, Vice-Chair Rep. Ray Baum Rep. Larry Campbell Rep. Margaret Carter Rep. Ron Cease Rep. Beverly Clarno Rep. Carl Hosticka Rep. Fred Parkinson Rep. Tom Mason Rep. Randy Miller

STAFF PRESENT: Greg Leo, Committee Administrator Carol Wilder, Committee Assistant

MEASURES SB 1204 CONSIDERED: HCR9

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 70, SIDE A

004 CHAIR WALDEN: Calls the meeting to order at $9:55~\mathrm{p.m.}$ Opens a work session on HCR9.

HCR9 -WORK SESSION

012 MOTION: Rep. Campbell moves HCR9 to the Floor with a Do Pass recommendation including today's date but not the time. The time would be added on the Floor of the House.

VOTE: Hearing no objection, Chair Walden so moves.

022 MOTION: Rep. Campbell moves HCR9 as amended to the Floor with a Do Pass recommendation.

VOTE: In a roll call vote, the motion is carried unanimously. Rep. Courtney was excused.

037 CHAIR WALDEN: Closes the work session on HCR9 and opens a work session on SB 1204. The bill previously was amended with the -B14 amendments and also reduced the civil penalty to \$25. The committee was in work session on several occasions when called to the Floor for floor action.

SB 1204 - WORK SESSION

047 MOTION: Rep. Miller moves to amend SB 1204 where appropriate by inserting on line 6 that the effected employer must have at least 250 full-time employees.

055 REP. CAMPBELL: I oppose that amendment because I think that would destroy the intent of the individual who has submitted this to us in good faith. Although I respect Rep. Miller a great deal I'm going to have to vote against this amendment.

060 REP. MILLER: I have personally submitted a number of bills that have gone before committees and my original intent has been modified somewhat by committees. I believe it's the responsibility of the other 89 members to pass their judgement on the amendments before a committee

before the original presentations. I quite frankly have believed that we have so many cutoffs here, 50 employees, and it's no small wonder to me that Oregon is a small business state and we'll probably have more 49-employee companies than any other state in the Union if we continue on this path of continuing to over-regulate, over-burden anybody who has 50 employees or more. And quite frankly I think 250 is a little bit low.

- 070 REP. CAMPBELL: Calls for the question.
- 073 VOTE: In a roll call vote, the motion fails.

 ${\tt MOTION:Rep.}$ Campbell moves HB 1204 as amended to the Floor with a Do Pass recommendation.

- 085 REP. CEASE: What does bill now include?
- 088 CHAIR WALDEN: We would have the -B14 amendments. On line 6 of those it would read, "except as provided in Subsection 2 of this section, all employers identified in Subsection 5 of this section with over 50 full-time employees doing business in the state of Oregon". We would limit it to the SIC codes identified under Section 1(5). We've reduced the fine in Section 2 to \$25. We have amended the fiscal to reflect those changes. We've expanded the number of days the employers would have to report this information to 30 instead of 15. We have expanded it to include reporting from union halls. We have made it effective January 1, 1992. It is repealed June 30, 1993.
- 110 REP. CEASE: Then what we have is a pilot project with the requirement that it be over 50 full- time employees. Is that accurate?
- 112 CHAIR WALDEN: I believe that's correct.
- 113 REP. CEASE: I'll vote for that. I'm sorry we're doing it that way. I had hoped to either do a pilot project where you didn't have the limitation or do everybody with the limitation. The pilot project with the 50 limitation will not involve too many outfits, but I think at this point that may be the best we can get. I will support that.
- 115 REP. PARKINSON: I presume this is like any other bill. There could be a minority report?
- 119 CHAIR WALDEN: There could be.
- 122 REP. PARKINSON: I could have supported the 250. I can't support the 50. It does affect every employer in the state of Oregon with 50 or more employees the way it's written?
- 125 CHAIR WALDEN: That's accurate. In the amendments it's identified in Subsection 5 of this section and limited to codes 15, 17, 24, 42, and 55 and only those who have 50 or more employees.
- 130 REP. CEASE: Would it be fair to say that a minority report at this time will kill this bill?
- 132 CHAIR WALDEN: I think it would be.
- 133 REP. MASON: How are these people in these codes going to be informed of this? I'm sure that someone out there won't get the word.
- 135 CHAIR WALDEN: We pass probably about 1,000 laws each year. Employers are notified the same way they will be on this one.
- 136 REP. CAMPBELL: Calls for the question.
- VOTE: In a roll call vote, the motion carries. Reps. Baum, Mason,

Miller, and Parkinson voted NAY.

150 REP. MILLER: Serves notice of a possible minority report.

155 CHAIR WALDEN: Adjourns the meeting at 10:10 a.m.

Submitted by: Reviewed by:

Carol Wilder Greg Leo Assistant Administrator

NOTE: Pursuant to House Rules, the Minority Report was not received prior to Adjournment. Bill remained in committee on adjournment.