January 31, 19918:00 AM Hearing Room A State Capitol Building Members Present:Representative Delna Jones, Chair Representative Carl Hosticka, Vice-Chair Representative Mike Burton Representative Kelly Clark Representative Bev Clarno Representative Mike Nelson Representative Fred Parkinson Representative John Schoon Representative Jim Whitty Staff Present: Jim Scherzinger, Legislative Revenue Officer Steve Meyer, Legislative Revenue Office Linda Leach, Committee Assistant Witnesses Present: Jim Kenney, Department of Revenue TAPE 34 SIDE A 005 CHAIR JONES called the meeting to order at 8:06 and conducted administrative business. WORK SESSION - HB 255 0 012 JIM SCHERZINGER pointed out Measure 5 Implementation Issues (as of 1/31/91). Exhibit 1 020 JIM SCHERZINGER announced that John Augenblick, a consultant from Denver, will speak to the committee on Thursday, February 7. He reviewed Mr. Augenblick's involvement with legal issues regarding school district court cases. He predicted the committee should receive guidelines from Mr. Augenblick on how a court would view compliance with a constitutional uniformity requirement. 048 CHAIR JONES commented on John Augenblick's background. 060 Questions and discussion. 065 Questions and discussion regarding the effect of news reports that property assessments will increase an average of 15% and if this will affect the Legislature's obligation for replacement revenue under Measure 5. 104 JIM SCHERZINGER explained a process to determine the Legislature's obligation for the amount of replacement funds for school districts.

These minutes paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this meeting. Text enclosed in quotation marks reports the speakers exact words. For complete context of proceedings, please refer to the tape recording. House Committee on Revenue and School Finance January 31, 1991 Page 2 Questions and discussion interspersed. TAPE 35 SIDE A 001 Questions and discussion pertaining to schools currently in the safety net. 026 JIM SCHERZINGER explained the Legislature's constitutional restrictions for a uniform and common school system. p33 Questions and discussion regarding required dates for school district levy certification and levy amounts. 072 JIM SCHERZINGER interjected that there are a variety (different rates, spending, etc.) of school districts in the safety net. 080 ACTING CHAIR HOSTICKA summarized Measure 5 implementation issues and the committee's work schedule. 119 JIM SCHERZINGER reviewed the language regarding real market value in Ballot Measure 5. Exhibit 2 140 JIM SCHERZINGER reviewed a timing change needed in Oregon's property tax system because of Ballot Measure 5. He referred the members to a handout from the Department of Revenue which compares the current property tax system to a proposed property tax system. Exhibit 3 180 Questions and discussion regarding Section 90 of HB 2550 regarding the change of timing in Oregon's property tax system. 244 Questions and discussion regarding the language in Ballot Measure 5 of "during the period for which the property is taxed". Exhibit 2 283 Questions and discussion regarding the collection of taxes and the changing of Oregon's tax year. 332 JIM SCHERZINGER discussed two issues regarding the July 1 assessment date in relationship to the concept of "minimum". 1) Establishing property that is subject to tax. 2) Determining the value of that property. 360 Questions and discussion regarding property destroyed after July 1. 30 JIM SCHERZINGER pointed out consequences with moving to a July 1 assessment date as required under Ballot Measure 5. TAPE 34 SIDE B 001 JIM SCHERZINGER reviewed a process for value determination and .. These minutes paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this meeting.

Text enclosed in quotation marks reports the speakers exact words. For complete context of proceedings, please refer to the tape recording. House Committee on Revenue and School Finance January 31,1991 Page 3 appeals. 023 JIM SCHERZINGER explained the proposed board changes are related to the timing issues. He explained the process of the two proposed boards: 1) Board of Ratio 2) Board of Value Appeals. 042 CLARK commented on changing the timing of the property tax system. 060 ACTING CHAIR HOSTICKA expressed interest in revisiting the area of changing the timing of the property tax system. 065 Questions and discussion regarding a calendar year v. a fiscal year. 087 JIM SCHERZINGER explained a section in the table of contents of ORS Sections Repealed HB 2550. He explained the May value notice. Exhibit 4 098 ACTING CHAIR HOSTICKA noted his concern pertaining to the number of issues in HB 2550. 123 ACTING CHAIR HOSTICKA noted consensus from the committee regarding a May value notice. 128 Questions and discussion regarding a ratio study change. 159 ACTING CHAIR HOSTICKA recessed the meeting at 9:15. 160 CHAIR JONES reconvened the meeting at 9:37. 165 JIM SCHERZINGER explained that the ratio study section moves the notification date that the Department of Revenue must provide to a county if the Department will assume the function of the county assessor. 182 JIM KENNEY interjected that the dates are moved to comply with the new July 1 assessment date. 196 JIM SCHERZINGER referred to Section 88 of HB 2550 which defines real market value. 212 Questions and discussion regarding rule making authority in Section 88. 271 Questions and discussion regarding depreciation of personal property and quidelines from the Department of Revenue. 282 REP. PARKINSON was concerned with the language in Ballot Measure 5 regarding minimum assessed value during the current year and the impact if a house is destroyed after the July 1 assessment date.

These minutes paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this meeting. Text enclosed in quotation marks reports the speakers exact words. For complete context of proceedings, please refer to the tape recording. House Committee on Revenue and School Finance January 31, 1991 Page 4 288 Questions and discussion regarding the current practice of dealing with destroyed property. 312 CHAIR JONES supported fairness in dealing with property that was destroyed after assessment. 330 Questions and discussion regarding a fire in Bend, Oregon. 380 Committee members agreed to revisit the issue of destroyed property. 390 JIM SCHERZINGER provided examples of changes in property value after July 1 and commented on difficult policy issues before the committee. TAPE 35 SIDE B 005 REP. PARKINSON interjected that the issue of minimum value is now a constitutional issue. 007 CHAIR JONES supported an opportunity for forgiveness when value has been diminished. 023 Questions and discussion regarding construction starts after July 1 assessment date. 064 Questions and discussion regarding county assessor's sixyear assessment cycle. 073 REP. HOSTICKA wanted to revisit the issue of "during the fiscal year" on line 39, page 46 of HB 2550 and review a change in the timing of the property tax system. 079 CHAIR JONES agreed with Rep. Hosticka. 085 JIM SCHERZINGER continued a review of Real Market Value in HB 2550 and referred to Section 97 which uses real market value for tax calculation instead of the current practice of using true cash value. 090 Questions and discussion regarding a threshold for appeals. 095 JIM SCHERZINGER explained that there is no constitutional or statutory limit on the amount a property assessment value can increase. 101 JIM SCHERZINGER provided a brief history of a prior assessment limit which was later appealed. 130 Questions and discussion. 140 REP. CLARK was concerned with a perception issue that assessed values would increase because of Ballot Measure 5.

These minutes paraphrase and/or summorize statements made during this meeting. Text enclosed in quotation marks reports the speakers exact words. For complete context of proceedings, please refer to the tape recording. House Committee on Revenue and School Finance January 31, 1991 Page 5 154 REP. HOSTICKA commented on problems attributed to the Legislature based on activities of local government officials. 167 CHAIR JONES noted her concern of not allowing perception to drive policy decisions. 181 CHAIR JONES conducted administrative business and adjourned the meeting at 10:08.

Linda Leach, Committee Assistant

Kimberly Taylor, Office Manager

EXHIBIT SUMMARY 1. Measure 5 Implementation Issues (as of 1/31/91), LRO - HB 2550 2. Ballot Measure 5 (see Exhibit 4 from January 25, 1991 House Revenue meeting) - Measure 5 3. Property tax timing comparisons (current v. proposed), DOR (see Exhibit 2 from January 25, 1991 House Revenue meeting) - Measure 5 4. HB 2550 Table of Contents (see Exhibit 2 from January 30, 1991 House Revenue meeting) - HB 2550 5. Testimony from Gil Riddell, Association of Oregon Counties, 1/30/91 Measure 5

These minutes paraphrase and/or summarize statements madb during this meeting. Text enclosed in quotation marks reports the speakers exact words. For complete context of proceedings, please refer to the tape recording.