

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON STATE AND FEDERAL AFFAIRS

May 1, 1991 Hearing Room E 8:00 a.m. Tapes 121 - 122
MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Bill Markham, Chair Rep. Larry Sowa, Vice-Chair
Rep. Marie Bell Rep. Mary Alice Ford Rep. Tom Novick Rep. Carolyn Oakley
Rep. Lonnie Roberts MEMBER EXCUSED: None VISITING MEMBER: Rep.
Kelly Clark, District 27 Rep. Sam Dominy, District 44 STAFF
PRESENT: Randall Jones, Committee Administrator Carolyn Cobb,
Committee Assistant MEASURES CONSIDERED: HB 2006 - Defines Membership of
Veterans' Affairs Advisory Committee, WS HB 2884 - Adds Counties, Cities
and Districts to Persons Who May Sue For Compensatory' Damages Under
Statute Prohibiting False Statements, WS HB 2987 - Repeals Gender
Requirements Applicable to Precinct Committeepersons of Major Political
Parties, PH HB 3283 - Amends Law Prohibiting Political Payments in a
False Name to Include All Contributions, PH, WS HB 3464 - Requires
Historic Preservation Officer to Review Certain State Laws and Rules for
Compliance With Federal Law, PH SB 456 - Authorizes Deposit of Moneys
Received by Secretary of State for Oregon Blue Book and Other Official
Publications and Services to Miscellaneous Receipts Account of Secretary
of State, PH, WS

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize
statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation
marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the
proceedings, please refer to the tapes. . House Co littee on SB te and
Federal Affairs May 1, 1991 - Page 2

TAPE 121, SIDE A

003 CHAIR MARKHAM: Calls meeting to order at 8:08 a.m.

HB 2884 - ADDS COUNTIES. CITIES AND DISTRICTS TO PERSONS WHO MAY SUE FOR
COMPENSATORY DAMAGES - WORK SESSION

090 SAM DOMINY, STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 44: Submits and
reviews proposed dash one LC amendments dated 4/10/91 to HB 2884
(EXHIBIT A). 021REP. BELL: When a city is involved in a measure, do
they have any kind of political action committee, or measure committee,
or fund raising committee to work on that measure? 024 REP. DOMINY: A
city usually does not, although there is nothing to outlaw it.
027 REP. BELL: There could be a citizens' group. 028REP. DOMINY:
There could be a citizens' group and this does not prohibit that.
032 REP. FORD: Do you have any information on whether the county
legal counsel could work on the suit? 037 REP. DOMINY: That would be
the intent of the amendment. You would hire an outside attorney and you
would pay him with outside funds. You would not use cities' or
municipalities' moneys. 041 REP. FORD: But it is not clear in the
amendment. I would feel better if the amendment included the private
counsel provision. 050 REP. DOMINY: We could put in there "including
the cost of counsel". 057 REP. FORD: Could you not put on line 5
"segregated fund shall not be used for any purpose other that to bring
and maintain an action, including payment to counsel"? 061 REP.
DOMINY: I have no problem with putting that in there. 070 JACK
GRAHAM, DIRECTOR, ELECTIONS DIVISION: As I read through the language I
am not as uncomfortable as legislative counsel is. You would want to be
sure not to exclude - county counsel where they are not full time
on county staff.

083 REP. FORD: I think we have made a good record of our intent.

091 REP. BELL: I believe the place to put that language is on line 2 after the period. That first sentence is saying what you will not use it for. 110MOTION: REP. FORD moved to adopt the dash one LC amendments dated 4/10/91 to HB 2884. ,

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes. House Committee on State and Federal Affairs May 1, 1991 - Page 3

VOTE: In a roll call vote, the motion carried, with Rep. Bell, Rep. Ford, Rep. Novick, Rep. Sowa, and Chair Markham voting AYE. Rep. Oakley voting NAY. Rep. Roberts was excused. 126 MOTION: REP. FORD moved HB 2884 as amended to the floor with a "do pass" recommendation. VOTE: In a roll call vote, the motion carried, with Rep. Bell, Rep. Ford, Rep. Novick, Rep. Sowa, and Chair Markham voting AYE. Rep. Oakley voting NAY. Rep. Roberts was excused. Work session on HB 2884 re-opens on page 6.

HB 2006 - DEFINES MEMBERSHIP OF VETERANS' AFFAIRS ADVISORY COMMITTEE - WORK SESSION

141 RANDALL JONES, COMMITTEE ADMWSTRATOR: Everything after page 1, line 5 of the bill has been deleted and the proposed dash one LC amendments dated 4/16/91 (EXHIBIT B) is new language. 150 RICHARD P. LUCHT, LEGISLATIVE DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF OREGON DISABLED AMERICAN VEI ERANS: Submits and summarizes written testimony (EXHIBIT C) in support of the dash one LC amendments. 167 JON MANGIS, DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS: Submits and summarizes written testimony (EXHIBIT D) in support of the dash one LC amendments. 283REP. BELL: I would assume the veterans of the "Desert Storm" campaign do not have a congressionally chartered veterans' organization yet. 291 MANGIS: You do not have to be a member of a veterans' organization to serve on this council now. There was not a Vietnam veterans' organization with a congressional charter for a number of years after the war, and then Vietnam Veterans of America received one. They also qualify for membership in existing veterans' organizations. 311 REP. BELL: Veterans of Foreign Wars and the American Legion would include them?

315 MANGIS: If they received a campaign ribbon, that qualifies them for the Veterans of Foreign Wars.

330 CHAIR MARKHAM: If the governor did not accept the recommendations by the organizations, could he or she appoint a non-veteran?

335 MANGIS: No, all members must be war veterans.

342 MOTION: REP. NOVICK moved to adopt the dash one LC amendments dated 4/16/91 to HB 2006 (EXHIBIT B). . . . These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes. House Committee on State and Federal Affairs May 1, 1991 - Page 4

347 CHAIR MARKHAM: Hearing no objection, it is so ordered.

349 MOTION: REP. NOVICK moved HB 2006 as amended to the floor with a "do pass" recommendation. VOTE: In a roll call vote, the motion

carried, with Rep. Bell, Rep. Ford, Rep. Novick, Rep. Oakley, Rep. Sowa, and Chair Markham voting AYE. Rep. Roberts was excused. Work session on HB 2006 re-opens on page 6.

HB 2987 - REPEALS GENDER REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO PRECINCT COMMITTEEPERSONS OF MAJOR POLITICAL PARTIES - PUBLIC HEARING Witnesses: Kelly Clark, State Representative, District 27

397 KELLY CLARK, STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 27: Gives background on the introduction of the measure. -I do not know if there is opposition from the official parties. In my district, if you look at the list of precinct workers, there are a lot of vacancies on there. -It does not make sense to me that they should be prohibited from serving because of their sex. -There is no intention in this bill to promote or demote any gender.

TAPE 122, SIDE A

015 REP. OAKLEY: Could we ask Rep. Ford to explain why the present requirements were established?

017 REP. FORD: I think it goes back to the women's rights movement. When there was only one person in a precinct, it was always the man who was elected and the women did all the work. So there was an effort to establish equal representation for women. At the same time, it was put in the statutes that the chair and vice-chair of the central committees of the major political parties must be of the opposite sex. The Republican Party was at one point considering getting rid of this requirement.

036 REP. NOVICK: In Multnomah County a person can be the precinct person for their own precinct or any adjoining precinct. In my precinct we have not had any problem finding people since it was opened up in that manner.

042 REP. CLARK: In Clackamas County there are substantial vacancies. In some instances there are people ready, willing and able to serve, but cannot because of the gender requirement.

050 REP. BELL: In my precinct we could not get a male to run for precinct committeeperson. When you vote on an individual, it at least gives that neighborhood the opportunity to put in one of their own. Have the nominations open to whomever wishes to run.

066 REP. OAKLEY: Are most of the vacancies the male slots? Are the male slots the most difficult to fill?

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes. House Committee on State and Federal Affairs March 1, 1991- Page 5

069 REP. CLARK: I do not know. As I look at my district, there are lots of places where there is a female precinct worker, but no male. In terms of whether this is going to benefit or damage men or women, it clearly can cut both ways.

077 REP. OAKLEY: In my own district, the vacancies are on the male side.

084 KATE BROWN, WOMEN'S RIGHTS COALITION: Submits and summarizes written testimony (EXHIBIT E) in opposition to HB 2987 because the present statute helps provide equal representation for both men and women.

097 JANET TAYLOR, REPUBLICAN PRECINCT COMMITTEEWOMAN, PRECINCT 130 IN CLACKAMAS COUNTY: Submits and summarizes written testimony (EXHIBIT F) in opposition to the measure because the present statute preserves equal access to "entry level" jobs in the political process. 128 REP. BELL: As soon as the election is over and we do not have two males on the ballot, the ability of our neighborhood to have input into the system is half taken away from us. It is shifted over to a party which very often is special interest oriented. I believe that my neighborhood ought to keep secure its four votes. When it comes to replacing a candidate for our area, our presidential candidate, and especially our platform, our neighbors should have that input, not special interests of the party. 145 REP. FORD: I believe in order to vote for a position at the national convention, it is required they be elected, also for the replacement of candidates. 150 REP. BELL: We would still not get a full representation. 153 BROWN: The law was passed in 1965 which predates the women's rights movement.

160 MARY BOTKIN, NATIONAL DEMOCRATIC COMMITTEEWOMAN FOR OREGON: It is interesting that we find both Democrats and Republicans here today on the same side of the issue. The purpose of the present statute was to bring both women and minorities into positions in the party. There is not enough people to do the work. My biggest concern is the women have always been the ones to do the work. The men have been more interested in seeing their names on the ballot than in getting out and walking precincts. We need to continue to require the parties to be sensitive to including more people. It distresses me when I have trouble recruiting people to fill those slots and make a platform that really speaks to the issues of the people we represent. If both parties did a better job of recruiting "real" people, we would both be closer to the middle. Men still have an edge in the Democratic Party and we continue to struggle to balance that out. This bill sends the message it is okay to go back to the business as usual of twenty or thirty years ago.

230 REP. BELL: What if we rewrote the bill so that at the filing deadline, if the gender requirement could not be met, it could be declared an open seat?

243 BROWN: I would have to bring that to the Coalition, but it sounds like a workable solution.

247 BOTKIN: We would be willing to take a look at that as well. We have all been accused of . These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks to report the exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the . House Committee on State and Federal Affairs May 1, 1991 - Page 6

moving away from our constituencies for whatever reason, and I think the real problem is we are not doing enough to recruit people into the parties.

258 REP. ROBERTS: I would not support this bill because I like the present law. It is almost like fighting over nothing, because we cannot get the people involved.

269 CHAIR MARKHAM: If you like the proposed amendment, we could do that now.

273 REP. FORD: I think it would be better to wait and discuss it more. I also wonder what would happen with the appointment process afterward, if there is not someone elected.

279 REP. BELL: I do not think it would preclude, if after all that there is still a vacancy, having the central committee appoint. It would just give the neighborhood the first shot.

287 CHAIR MARKHAM: Appoints a sub-committee with Rep. Bell to chair, to work on amendments.

HB 2884 - ADDS COUNTIES, CITIES AND DISTRICTS TO PERSONS WHO MAY SUE FOR COMPENSATORY DAMAGES UNDER STATUTE PROHIBITING FALSE STATEMENTS - WORK SESSION

302 REP. ROBERTS: Requests unanimous consent that the rules be suspended to allow him to be recorded as voting AYE on the motion to move HB 2884 as amended to the floor with a "do pass" recommendation.

304 CHAIR MARKHAM: Hearing no objection, it is so ordered.

HB 2006 - DEFINES MEMBERSHIP OF VETERANS' AFFAIRS ADVISORY COMMITTEE - WORK SESSION

305 REP. ROBERTS: Requests unanimous consent that the rules be suspended to allow him to be recorded as voting AYE on the motion to move HB 2006 as amended to the floor with a "do pass" recommendation.

307 CHAIR MARKHAM: Hearing no objection, it is so ordered.

HB 3283 - AMENDS LAW PROHIBITING POLITICAL PAYMENTS IN A FALSE NAME TO INCLUDE ALL CONTRIBUTIONS. NOT JUST PAYMENTS OF MONEY - PUBLIC HEARING
Witnesses: William Dwyer, State Representative, District 42

321 WILLIAM DWYER, STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 42: HB 3283 closes a loophole in election law by amending ORS 260.042, the definition of payment of money. -Reviews the other principal provisions of the bill.

364 CHAIR MARKHAM: What does this mean to corporations?

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes House Committee on State and Federal Affairs May 1, 1991- Page 7 . 370 REP. DWYER: Under the current law, it only states payment of money, so corporations give other things which they are not required to report. The only one that is required to report is the candidate who is receiving the money or in-kind contribution.

380 CHAIR MARK - M: Fred Meyer does a lot of in-kind work, would they be affected?

386 REP. DWYER: This is not aimed at legitimate corporations. This is an effort to take the sleaze out of the process, where they form a separate corporation to hid their contribution.

391 REP. NOVICK: Give us an example under Section 3.

396 REP. DWYER: During the last elections there were several corporations formed solely for the purpose of participating in politics. If you give me \$1,000 and you want to buy a share in the "Dwyer Corporation", and it forms the "Dwyer PAC", and the corporation is the only one that gives to that PAC, then no one knows where that money comes from. In Oregon people should know where the money comes from. We should not allow people to form corporations as a subterfuge to get around election laws.

TAPE 121, SIDE B

014 REP. ROBERTS: You could have drug dealers, prostitutes and others buy into this corporation and no one knows they are the contributors?

016 REP. DWYER: Under corporate law the shareholders are not public record, only the officers. The only thing that shows up on the form is the corporation gave the money, everyone else is shielded.

026 REP. ROBERTS: So they can go into this type of situation but the people who donate must be on the public record?

029 REP. DWYER: Section 3 of the bill says if the major source of revenue is paid-in capital, and the primary or incidental purpose of the corporation is to support or oppose any candidate, then the names, occupations and addresses of the shareholders shall be reported with the amount of paid-in capital, so we know where this money is coming from.

033 REP. ROBERTS: Regardless of whether it is in-kind or cash?

034 REP. DWYER: That is exactly right.

035 CHAIR MARKHAM: On line 14, where it says "incidental" purpose, is that going to give legitimate corporations some problems, where they have to report the name of every shareholder? 040 JACK GRAHAM, DIRECTOR, ELECTIONS DIVISION: I do not believe having "incidental" in there is a problem because the issue is qualified in the first line by identifying the major source of revenue for the corporation as paid-in capital. If the corporation has regular operating income, it has a business purpose. 048 REP. FORD: Are there specific corporations that are out there for subterfuge?

These minute. contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes. - House Committee on State and Federal Affairs May 1, 1991- Page 8

052 GRAHAM: There was one incident where a corporation was formed to oppose a candidate and those who contributed to the corporation which made in-kind contributions, were never identified.

058 SCOTT BARTLETT, LEGISLATIVE DIRECTOR FOR REP. DWYER: This law would reduce the opportunity to create sham or shell corporations to launder unsavory money. Also it is an ideal way for your opponent to keep an arms length from contributions from controversial sources.

077 REP. ROBERTS: Has there ever been to your knowledge, drug money or unsavory money used?

078 REP. DWYER: How can you tell when you cannot look at the records?

081 BARTLETT: If I did not like a certain legislator, I could form the "Good Government in Oregon Corporation" and get all kinds of money from that and then have an in-kind contribution to the "Good Government in Oregon PAC". I could have money from the most horrible sources in Oregon and no one would ever know it. There would be no paper trail. The only amendment we could see here, is to require that expenditures from any corporation whose primary purpose is political, also have to be reported. 094 CHAIR MARKHAM: Under Section 3, subsection 2, they have to report the same as we do? 096 GRAHAM: The intention was to do

that, I am not sure the language says that as clearly as it might.

098 CHAIR MARKHAM: When I have to report, the dummy corporation should have to report. 100 REP. DWYER: You do not think the bill does that? 112 GRAHAM: On line 18, subsection 2 insert the words

"including the nature and amount of all expenditures of money and in-kind contributions,". 126 BARTLETT: This is a seemingly small housekeeping measure, but there are very creative people out there who would want to find loopholes. This is a good bill that deserves your support. 139 REP. NOVICK: Adoption of one of the amendments I

proposed for Rep. Miller's bill would take care of this problem by not allowing corporations to directly give money or in-kind contributions. Not only would you not know where the contributions came from, but since they are not required to file any statement of where the money is going, the only way you could find out is by looking at the individual contributions and expenditure reports of the 130 people who are running.

150 GRAHAM: Even the candidate might not know who was contributing. He might be receiving support from someone he would rather not be associated with. 155 BARTLETT: Rep. Roberts made a good point about

the opportunity under current law for pornography vendors, drug money, Mafia money, and so forth going to these corporations in the

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session Only text enclosed in quotation marks report & speaker's exact words For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes House Committee on State and Federal Affairs May 1, 1991- Page 9

guise of buying shares.

161 REP. BELL: When you say incidental purpose, does this affect the little corporations that want to give you a small contribution?

165 REP. DWYER: No. If you have a legitimate business, "incidental" purpose is incidental. We are not trying to limit legitimate corporations, we are trying to get at disclosure of corporations that are formed primarily for the purpose of shielding money, and using that as a vehicle to subvert the election reporting laws.

175 REP. BELL: Is there any feeling about the amount a legitimate corporation could give before they fall into this category?

177 REP. DWYER: I do not think so. Federal law prohibits corporate giving all together.

183 CHAIR MARKHAM: A corporation can give in Oregon, they just cannot deduct it.

HB 3283 - AMENDS LAW PROHIBITING POLITICAL PAYMENTS IN A FALSE NAME TO

INCLUDE ALL CONTRIBUTIONS. NOT JUST PAYMENTS OF MONEY - PUBLIC HEARING

196 MOTION: REP. ROBERTS moved to amendment HB 3283 by inserting on line 18, after the word "information" the words ", including the nature and amount of all expenditures of money or in-kind,", subject to review by legislative counsel. 205 CHAIR MARKHAM: Hearing no objection, it is so ordered.

207 MOTION: REP. ROBERTS moved HB 3283 as amended to the floor with a "do pass" recommendation. VOTE: In a roll call vote, the motion carried, with Rep. Bell, Rep. Ford, Rep. Novick, Rep. Roberts, Rep. Sowa, and Chair Markham voting AYE. Rep. Oakley was excused.

HB 3464 - REQUIRES HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER TO REVIEW CERTAIN STATE LAWS AND RULES FOR COMPLIANCE WITH FEDERAL LAW - PUBLIC HEARING

Witnesses: James Hamrick, Deputy Historic Preservation Officer, State of Oregon Douglas Hutchinson, Executive Officer, Legislative Commission on Indian Services

234 DOUGLAS HUTCHINSON, EXECUTIVE OFFICER, LEGISLATIVE COMMISSION ON INDIAN SERVICES: States he is not speaking as a result of a decision of the Commission itself, but is speaking at the request of five or six of the indian members of the Commission. - -Submits and summarizes written testimony (EXHIBIT G) in support of the measure. 345 REP. ROBERTS: What is the "remedial action" referred to in this bill?

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/of summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the taper. House Committee on State and Federal Affairs May 1, 1991 - Page 10

350 HUTCHINSON: It would get the key players in state government involved in this process. The remedial action would be to change the existing state laws so they are not in conflict with the new federal law. One of the key players in this will have to be the Attorney General's office because they will decide what the technical language will be.

382 REP. NOVICK: The State Historic Preservation Officer, is that someone in the Archives Division of the Secretary of State's office?

388 HUTCHINSON: By state law that is Dave Talbot, who is Director of the State Department of Parks and Recreation. Within his agency is a group called the State Historic Preservation Office.

397 JAMES HAMRICK, DEPUTY STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER: Submits and summarizes written testimony (EXHIBIT H) expressing support for the concept of the measure, but concern about the particulars and the fiscal impact on the Historic Preservation Office.

TAPE 122, SIDE B

023 REP. NOVICK: I cannot fathom how it would take thirty months and \$140,000 to go through the applicable laws. 030 HAMRICK: When we were trying to access the fiscal aspects of this, it was with the knowledge there are considerable legal costs involved. Reading Public Law 101.601, it is fairly complex. Essentially it requires museums and agencies give up things they have had and give them back to the Native Americans. To

conform the state statutes to Public Law 101 will involve considerable legal costs. There are also various meetings with the tribes, the museum community, the archeological community, and all the people who have interests to be addressed. While the figure looks large, it basically reflects a lot of other costs besides staff time. 048 REP. NOVICK: How big is your operation? 050 HAMRICK: We have four professional staff, part-time clerical and myself. -Resumes written testimony beginning with page 2, paragraph 1. 071 REP. NOVICK: I am concerned as we look at these amendments, we do not come back with a \$70,000 fiscal impact. 073 CHAIR MARKHAM: Recesses the meeting at 9:38 a.m.

-Resumes the meeting at 9:54 a.m.

081 HUTCHINSON: In the interest of moving this forward, I would have no objections to the amendments as proposed by Mr. Hamrick (EXHIBIT H).
093 REP. FORD: What kind of fiscal impact does this have?
094 JONES: Fiscal has not been able to provide an impact statement yet.

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this reunion Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words For complete contents of the proceeding', please refer to the taper House Committee on State and Federal Affairs May 1,1991- Page 11

101 REP. NOVICK: The \$140,000 figure was before the amendments.

103 HAMRICK: It would be substantially reduced from that, but I think there would still be the need to hold public meetings and consultations, but perhaps in terms of personnel costs it would not be so high.

107 REP. NOVICK: From what I know about the State Historic Preservation Office's operations, it seems to me this would be within their purview and I would not be opposed to putting language in that says it will be done within their existing budget.

116 VICE CHAIR SOWA: I think you need some words like "within their existing budget, as staff time allows".

121 CHAIR MARKHAM: We have enough amendments to send this to legislative counsel.

SB 456 - AUTHORIZES DEPOSIT OF MONEYS RECEIVED BY SECRETARY OF STATE FOR OREGON BLUE BOOK AND OTHER OFFICIAL PUBLICATIONS AND SERVICES TO MISCELLANEOUS RECEIPTS ACCOUNT OF SECRETARY OF STATE - PUBLIC HEARING
Witnesses: Mike Greenfield, Deputy Secretary of State Milo Meham, Chair, Administrative Law Committee, Oregon State Bar Association

146 MIKE GREENFIELD, DEPUTY SECRETARY OF STATE: SB 456 is the first step in making the publishing and distribution of the Blue Book self-supporting. -Submits and summarizes written testimony (EXHIBIT I) in support of the measure. -Approximately 25,000 copies are printed and 10,000 are given away. It is expected the number given away can be cut down significantly. The price of the Blue Book will rise from \$6.00 to \$8.00.

188 CHAIR MARKHAM: It is now the brown book, which angered much of the public. There must not be a statute that requires a blue cover, do we need one?

194 GREENFIELD: This next edition of the Blue Book will be blue.
-Another improvement the previous administration made is that it will be indexed with marks, so it will be easier to use.

208 REP. NOVICK: When you say you are reducing the number of books given away, where will that reduction occur?

213 GREENFIELD: For example, we give 300 copies of the book to the Department of Human Resources. There is a wide distribution to state agencies. We also give copies to federal agencies. We are going to increase the distribution to public libraries. We will offer the agencies a discount. We offer a 30% discount to book stores so they may make a profit on it.

233 CHAIR MARKHAM: If Forestry wants a case, do they get a discount?

238 GREENFIELD: We will give them a discount as well, although it may not be 30%.

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes House Committee on State and Federal Affairs, Ma, 1, 1991 - Page 12

239 CHAIR MARKHAM: If a legislator wants a case, what do they pay?

241 GREENFIELD: Two per legislative member are given without charge. Additional copies will be at the retail price. 256 REP. BELL: What is this bill really about? 257 GREENFIELD: It is to stop a little bit of money shuffling by taking money out of the general fund and spending it, and then putting revenue into the general fund. It clearly puts the responsibility on the Secretary of State to make publication of the Blue Book self-supporting. 266 REP. BELL: The price and who gets them has nothing to do with this bill. 267 GREENFIELD: No, this is just by way of explanation for how we intend to bring this into balance, because it is clearly out of balance currently. 276 MILO MECHAM, CHAIR, ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COMMITTEE, OREGON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION: Submits and summarizes written testimony (EXHIBIT J) in support of the measure because of the need for improvements in the publication of the Oregon Administrative Rules. 301 CHAIR MARKHAM: The Secretary of State's office sends me copies of administrative rules changes every other week. Is that what you are talking about? 304 REP. FORD: All that is an index of the latest rules that have been done. 311 MECHAM: I expect what you get is the Oregon State Bulletin which is a listing of all the rule changes. What has happened, I believe because of a lack of funding, is the Oregon Administrative Rules, which contains those rules, has not been updated for several years. The Committee feels this would give the Secretary of State the money and the incentive to produce a product that people will be interested in, and therefore it will become self-supporting.

SB 456 - AUTHORIZES DEPOSIT OF MONEYS RECEIVED BY SECRETARY OF STATE FOR OREGON BLUE BOOK AND OTHER OFFICIAL PUBLICATIONS AND SERVICES TO MISCELLANEOUS RECEIPTS ACCOUNT OF SECRETARY OF STATE - WORK SESSION

332 MOTION: REP. ROBERTS moved SB 456 to the floor with a "do pass" recommendation, and that the subsequent referral to Ways and Means be rescinded.

336 REP. FORD: Mr. Jones has brought me the section on the Blue Book and it does not say we are to get two free copies. It just says the Secretary of State may distribute the Oregon Blue Book free of charge to schools and to county, state and federal officials of the State of Oregon.

338 VOTE: In a roll call vote, the motion carried, with Rep. Bell, Rep. Ford, Rep. Novick, Rep. Oakley, Rep. Roberts, Rep. Sowa, and Chair Markham voting AYE.

. lilese minube contain materials which paraphrase and/or summanze statements made during this cession. Only text enclosed in quotation marh repon a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes. House Committee on State and Federal Affalrs May 1,1991- Page 13 1

Submitted by: Reviewed by: Carolyn Cobb Randall Jones
Assistant Administrator

EXHIBIT LOG:

A - Amendments to HB 2884 - Rep. Sam Dominy - 1 page B - Amendments to HB 2006 - Randall Jones - 4 pages C - Testimony on HB 2006 - Richard Lucht - 2 pages D - Testimony on HB 2006 - Jon Mangis - 5 pages E - Testimony on HB 2987 - Kate Brown - 1 page F - Testimony on HB 2987 - Janet Taylor - 1 page G - Testimony on HB 3464 - Douglas Hutchinson - 2 pages H - Testimony on HB 3464 - James Hamrick - 2 pages I - Testimony on SB 456 - Michael Greenfield - 1 page J - Testimony on SB 456 - Milo Mecham - 2 pages

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes. -