Conference Committee on SB 187 June 26, 1991 - Page

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

CONFERENCE COMMITTEE #2 ON SB 187

June 26, 1991Hearing Room B 3:00 p.m. Tape 1

SENATE MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. Dick Springer, Chair Sen. Jim Bunn

HOUSE MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Mary Alice Ford Rep. Tom Novick Rep. Carolyn Oakley

STAFF PRESENT: Gail Ryder, Committee Administrator Julie Muñiz, Committee Assistant

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 1, SIDE A (TAPE INAUDIBLE)

003 CHAIR SPRINGER: Called the meeting to order at 1:35 p.m.

Witnesses: Sue Proffitt, Elections Division Ted Reutlinger, Legislative Counsel

- 010 SUE PROFFITT, ELECTIONS DIVISION: We tried to revert back to some of the original language of the bill with the -17 amendments (Exhibit A). Reviews -17 amendments.
- 020 SPRINGER: Where in ORS is that?
- 021 PROFFITT: In Chapter 261.
- 028 FORD: If this was a typo, why wasn't it corrected earlier?
- 031 TED REUTLINGER, LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL: If you look at the last B-engrossed version of the bill, the language that would have been deleted contained a typographical error. There was the possibility that someone could have voted for this bill without understanding that.
- 044 NOVICK: Could you explain why we're going back to 20 versus 30?
- 047 PROFFITT: The House passed the bill, the Senate did not. We (unintelligible).
- 052 NOVICK: Did it die in Ways and Means? I thought we sent it to Ways

- and Means.
- 053 PROFFITT: They kicked it out.
- 052 FORD: Do you remember the number of the bill?
- 052 PROFFITT: HB 2525.
- 054 FORD: The printer currently used is Bladine's, in McMinnville, correct?
- 056 PROFFITT: There are only two vendors who bid.
- 058 FORD: Did both of those bidders say they could not do it in 30 days?
- 058 PROFFITT: Yes, both.
- 060 BUNN: Back to the black ink question: does a photocopy qualify as type or hand-written in black ink?
- 064 PROFFITT: To the best of my knowledge, yes.
- 067 SPRINGER: Sen. Bunn, you had a question of whether it would apply to initiative signatures. My recollection was that it didn't invalidate a signature.
- 070 PROFFITT: That's correct. It's for filing documents only.
- 072 BUNN: I'm not sure why a signature filed with the Secretary of State is not. But as long as we've established that we're not requiring black ink on signatures, I think we're ok.
- 075 SPRINGER: If someone were to file in the voters' pamphlet page and it was signed in blue ink at the bottom, will that not be accepted?
- 077 BUNN: I don't like the black ink. I'd hate to see a document invalidated because of a technical error.
- 083 FORD: We have documents in this building that we're not allowed to sign in black ink because it looks like it may have been copied.
- 088 PROFFITT: The intent was to work towards the automation so we could start speeding up the process.
- 095 BUNN: Could we add language after "or printed using black ink" saying "or otherwise reproducible..." I'd rather adopt that provision.
- 102 FORD: It's my understanding that all that was in the -16 amendments we had passed.
- 105 BUNN: If I'm the only Senate member who objects, I won't continue to object.
- 106 SPRINGER: I don't want to drag this out. As long as it's clear on the record that a document won't be invalidated because a signature isn't in black ink.
- 114 BUNN: What would you do if a candidate signed in blue ink and the opposing campaign claims it's invalid?

- 121 REUTLINGER: I think that it could be used as an opportunity for someone who wants to abuse the process. I think if (unintelligible).
- 128 BUNN: It doesn't have to be the opposing candidate? It can be the party who are the "bad guys"?
- 131 PROFFITT: We need the bill. I suggest after "black ink" putting "or other reproducible ink" or deleting that section entirely.
- 135 NOVICK: The problem is that red ink isn't reproducible.
- 138 BUNN: When we look at the harm without that section, I think we face a greater harm by invalidating something than we do by having the Secretary of State encourage the use of black ink, but not really enforce it.
- 142 SPRINGER: How about "failure to use the ink shall not invalidate a document"?
- 145 BUNN: You've got a civil penalty against you, but you can't lose your rights by not filing it.
- 148 SPRINGER: Would that be acceptable?
- 149 OAKLEY: We might we "encourage" the use of black ink.
- 155 PROFFITT: I recommend on lines 4 & 5 deleting sub (5).
- 158 SPRINGER: So moved. Any objection?
- 159 REUTLINGER: Maybe to say "printed or written"?
- 161 BUNN: Why don't we acknowledge for the record that's the intent.
- 163 FORD: What's legible for some isn't for another.
- 165 BUNN: I think you can argue it's legible and we're going to survive. But if it says black and it's blue...
- 172 PROFFITT: Repeats recommendation.
- 174 OAKLEY: If we used the word "written" then it would give the option between writing in cursive or printing.
- 176 BUNN: If no one disagrees with accepting "written," we can add it. They're going to enforce it the way...
- 180 PROFFITT: Gives options.
- 188 SPRINGER: Line 4 would read "legibly printed or written"?
- 189 PROFFITT: Yes.
- 191 BUNN: Some people's signatures are not legible. Is that a problem?
- 194 PROFFITT: The main problem I see (unintelligible).
- 199 BUNN: I don't think I can read Mark Hatfield's signature. There are many people who's signatures are not legible. If we require them to be legible, I don't know what we're doing.

202 PROFFITT: When we're checking signatures, it is to see if they match.

205 BUNN: Earlier, you told us that this didn't apply to signature sheets.

208 PROFFITT: I stand corrected.

210 BUNN: I'd rather drop this out and deal with it in two years if there's a problem with it.

214 MOTION: SEN. SPRINGER MOVED TO DELETE  $\S4$  AND  $\S5$  FROM SB 187. HEARING NO OBJECTIONS, SO ORDERED.

215 MOTION: SEN. SPRINGER MOVED TO SUBSTITUTE 20TH WITH 30TH. HEARING NO OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

221 MOTION: SEN. SPRINGER MOVED THE -17 AMENDMENTS, EXHIBIT A, BE AMENDED AND REPASSED.

227 VOTE: MOTION CARRIED, 5-0. REP. FORD AND SEN. BUNN WILL LEAD THE FLOOR DISCUSSIONS.

Meeting adjourned at 2:55 p.m.

Submitted by, Reviewed by,

Julie Muñiz Joan Green Committee Assistant Committee Assistant

EXHIBIT LOG

 ${\tt A}$  - SB 187-B17 proposed amendments, staff, 5 pages B - Hand-engrossed amendments, staff, 3 pages