Conference Committee on SB 39 June 25, 1991 - Page

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks $\frac{1}{2}$

report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

Conference Committee on SB 596 June 29, 1991 Page CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON SB 596

June 29, 1991Hearing Room B Tape 1

SENATE MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. DUKES, Chair Sen. Smith

HOUSE MEMBERS PRESENT: Rep. Parks Rep. Taylor

STAFF PRESENT: Ruth Larsen, Committee Administrator Scott Marshall, Committee Assistant

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 1, SIDE A

001 CHAIR DUKES: Calls the meeting to order.

 ${\tt SB}$ 596 had provisions that allowed certain DHRemployees to register with DMV their business addresses as opposed to their home addresses.

There are other people, notably law enforcement people, that can use their business address instead of their home address and this is an addition to that.

014 RUTH LARSEN, COMMITTEE ADMINISTRATOR: This came back from the house with A-engrossed amendments, creating the B-engrossed SB 596, (EXHIBIT A).

There were some additions to the list of people who can be protected; county juvenile department employees whose duties include personal contact with the clients at the department, judges, DA's and deputy DA's.

021 LARSEN: There is an addition of section 3, 4 & 5 of the bill that were Rep. Parks ideas on how a requestor can get information about an individual and how DMV would mail the information to that person upon being satisfied that there is appropriate identification.

CHAIR DUKES: Gives background on SB 596.

 $055\ \text{REP. PARKS}$: Senate transportation developed the idea of being able to use two addresses.

The house committee felt that this was a bigger issue than we had time to consider; the judiciary committee wasn't interested in simply adding people to the list.

The addition doesn't prevent people from going to the DMV and getting access to the records, but provides a method for DMV to verify who they are providing that information to.

095 REP. PARKS: Leaving the addition will give DMV a record of how many people are using this information; the selling of lists and other situations need to be examined, but in the mean time this does provide some protection.

110 REP. TAYLOR: We were concerned for the Department of Human Resources employees; I have some concerns about keeping a record of the requestor and the cost of that.

LARSEN: There is a fiscal impact statement, (EXHIBIT B).

REP. PARKS: The bill, as drafted, provides a mechaniSMfor DMV to charge a fee to recover those costs.

120 SEN. SMITH: The first reason given by the sponsor of the bill was to eliminate the "junk mail" generated by DMV mailing lists.

The second reason was the Rebecca Shaffer incident and the feeling that people need to be protected from others who see us in cars, take down our license numbers and get our addresses from DMV.

I feel that there are a far greater number of legitimate reasons to get information and so I don't think that the benefit to the amendment outweighs the harm.

When I buy a used car I call DMV and get the names and phone numbers of everyone who has owned that car, because I want to know about that car and I think that is a legitimate reason to get that information.

161 REP. PARKS: I don't think this is much different from the system in place now; this just provides that DMV will know who you are when you go to get the information.

The government has a responsibility, when they require people to give their addresses, to make some effort to protect those people's privacy.

197 CHAIR DUKES: We have the same concerns with adding to the list; I hope to spend time this interim looking at this issue.

In California, no one but law enforcement officials can have this information and I am becoming convinced that that is the best solution.

I am frustrated with the idea that if you live outside of Oregon you can get the information right away.

224 REP. TAYLOR: Is it possible to accommodate the employees of DHR, as many of the people they work with are dangerous?

CHAIR DUKES: That would only require the house withdrawing their amendments, moving to pass the senate version of the bill.

245 MOTION: REP. TAYLOR MOVES THAT THE HOUSE WITHDRAW IT'S AMENDMENTS AND ADOPT THE A-ENGROSSED VERSION OF SB 596.

252 VOTE: IN A ROLL CALL VOTE THE MOTION CARRIES.

Submitted by, Reviewed by,

Scott Marshall, Ruth Larsen Committee AssistantCommittee Administrator EXHIBIT SUMMARY:

A - SB 596: (-A2) amendments submitted by staff, pp 5 B - SB 596: Fiscal impact statement on B-engrossed version submitted by staff, pp 1