

Senate Committee on Agriculture and Natural Resources March 18, 1991 -  
Page

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize  
statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation  
marks

report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the  
proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

SENATE COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE AND NATURAL RESOURCES

March 18, 1991Hearing Room C 8:15 a.m. Tape 84 - 87

MEMBERS PRESENT:Sen. Dick Springer, Chair Sen. Joyce Cohen,  
Vice-Chair Sen. John Brenneman Sen. Shirley Gold Sen. Bob Kintigh Sen.  
Tricia Smith Sen. Eugene Timms

STAFF PRESENT: Peter Green, Committee Administrator Chris Beck,  
Research Associate Kus Soumie, Committee Assistant Jill Rader, Committee  
Page

MEASURES CONSIDERED: SB 685 SB 241 SB 473 SB 474 SB 475 SB  
270

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize  
statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation  
marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the  
proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 84 SIDE A

004 CHAIR SPRINGER CALLS THE MEETING TO ORDER AT 8:15 AM

PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 241 AMENDMENTS

WITNESSES: Fred Hansen Stephanie Hallack Richard Zweig Quincey  
Sugarman Jean Cameron Bob Hansen

020 FRED HANSEN, Director, Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ),  
gives testimony on SB 241 and presents overview of EXHIBIT A - increase  
of fees collected at Arlington Waste Disposal site - 91-93 DEQ program  
emphasis - hazardous waste disposal - providing revenue for continuing  
Hazardous waste disposal program at base level - oversight for Chem  
waste facility in Arlington

- answers questions from committee members

440 SEN KINTIGH: What do you mean by customer service?

444 STEPHANIE HALLACK, Administrator, Solid and Hazardous Waste Program,  
DEQ, we want to go beyond technical assistance, be more responsive to  
questions and give educational guidance, written materials, on site  
assistance, share information among businesses etc. EXHIBIT B

TAPE 85 SIDE A

003 HALLACK: - continues overview of customer service improvements

028 HANSEN: - expands on proposed services to DEQ Waste Disposal Service Customers.

129 HANSEN: The current program, out of HB 3080 will be up graded to substantial grant programs to assist with small businesses in rural Oregon. We have a report of the options that might be available for insurance.

143 SEN TIMMS: I am worried about there being enough funding in this program to take care of the underground storage tank problem.

163 HANSEN: In the 1 to 12 tank range, the April 12th date may have an additional extension.

172 CHAIR SPRINGER: Fees for the solid waste facilities are proposed for increase, would the CHEM security fee for that site also be increasing?

177 HANSEN: The original proposal in SB 183 would have raised municipal solid waste fee from the current \$.50 to \$1.00 to pay for that, and other groups are working on options for fees.

185 CHAIR SPRINGER: Are the Annual permit or renewal fees being increased also?

189 HALLACK: The Solid Waste Advisory Committee approved the Department proposing a decision package to include a permit fee increase, resulting in a 120 per cent increase in solid waste permit fees for all municipal landfills in the state.

216 RICHARD ZWEIG, Chemical Waste Management of the Northwest, gives testimony on SB 241 and presents EXHIBIT C

- answers questions from committee members

326 PETER GREEN, Administrator Ag. and Natural Resources Committee, gives overview of information on Idaho California and Utah and their management of hazardous waste.

368 ZWEIG: - gives overview of cost for disposal per ton in other States

- 80.00 per ton is the average price. 60 people employed. salary range is 11-12 dollars per hour

TAPE 84 SIDE B

009 QUINCY SUGARMAN, Oregon research group (OSPRIG), gives testimony on SB 241, and presents EXHIBIT D.

037 JEAN CAMERON, Oregon Environmental Council, speaks on SB 241, and presents EXHIBIT E.

084 BOB HANSEN, Director Public Works, Marion County, gives testimony on SB 241.

142 GREEN: Presents EXHIBIT F

WORK SESSION ON SB 473 and - 3 AMENDMENTS

199 SEN GOLD: Gives overview of SB 473 as amended referring to page 3-4 of the hand engrossed bill EXHIBIT G

238 GREEN: gives overview of SB 473-3 AMENDMENTS.

250 SEN GOLD: Gives explanation of intent. In section 4 following the statement in the original section 4.

MOTION: Sen Gold Moves the -3 amendments to SB 473 be further amended to include the original section 4 sub 2.

VOTE: Hearing no objection the motion is adopted (All members present)

- Discussion

MOTION: Sen Gold moves SB 473 as Amended to Ways and Means Do pass.

VOTE: In a roll call vote the motion is Adopted unanimously (all members present)

WORK SESSION ON SB 474-2 EXHIBIT H

MOTION: Sen Gold moves the - 2 amendments

VOTE: hearing no objection the motion is adopted (all members present)

MOTION: Sen Gold move SB 474 as amended Do Pass to Ways and Means Committee

VOTE: In a roll call vote the motion is adopted unanimously (all members present)

WORK SESSION ON SB 475-2 AMENDMENTS EXHIBIT I

WITNESSES: Bob Danko

TAPE 85 SIDE B

037 DANKO: gives testimony on SB 475-2 AMENDMENTS EXHIBIT I - answers questions from members - gives intent of the bill - fiscal impact of the bill

144 SEN TIMMS: Solid waste is one of their main concerns. This would not cost anything to belong and we need to. We need better communication between states on the solid waste siting issues.

169 DANKO: Section 7 of SB 475-2 LINES 7-8, The reason changes are made is the SB 66 process if this bill is to go forward. The solid waste commission anyone bringing in wasted from out of state. this language coordinates the bill with what is going on with SB 66.

210 SEN GOLD: My acceptance of this amendment is a major concession on this bill.

MOTION: Sen Gold moves SB 475 -2 amendments

VOTE: Hearing no objections the motion is carried

MOTION: Sen Gold moves 475 as amended Do Pass to Ways and Means

VOTE: In a roll call vote the motion is adopted unanimously  
(with Sen Brenneman excused)

PUBLIC HEARING ON SB 685

WITNESSES: John Rice Dianne Dennis Carl Miller Chris Brown Joe  
Bernard Bob Danko Peggy Manning

243 JOHN RICE, Anti-Freeze Environmental Service Corporation, gives  
testimony on SB 685 and gives overview of EXHIBIT J

388 SEN SMITH: What is done with the heavy metals pulled out of  
anti-freeze?

401 RICE: gives overview of the process to remove, and disposal of  
heavy metals from anti-freeze.

TAPE 86 SIDE A

003 RICE: - continues testimony on SB 685 with overview of EXHIBIT J. -  
answers questions from members on storage and pick up of waste from  
anti-freeze.

066 SEN SMITH: What is your profit margin on this service.

070 RICE: I am not sure of our profit margin. I will have my company  
send you documentation on this matter.

141 DIANE DENNIS, American Environmental Technologies, gives testimony  
on SB 685 and presents overview of EXHIBIT K - answers questions from  
committee members - Gives information on costs in anti-freeze industry.

CHRIS BROWN, Engineer, gives testimony on SB 685 and answers questions  
from committee members.

371 CARL D. MILLER, Owner of Japanese Connection, gives testimony on SB  
685 and gives overview of EXHIBIT L. - answers questions from members.

TAPE 87 SIDE A

003 JOE BERNARD, Executive Director of the Independent Automotive  
Industry, gives testimony on SB 685. EXHIBIT M

114 DANKO: DEQ, thinks that recycling is the preferred method in  
dealing with these issues. - gives details on recycling regulations in  
the anti-freeze arena. - answers questions from Committee Chair

173 RICE: Gives testimony on SB 685, and DEQ's standards for hazardous  
waste, and asks for a look at recycling. Recycling standards for new  
vehicles and anti-freeze. The problem is that there are no standards on  
anti-freeze recycling.

215 SEN TIMMS: We need to educate people on the process of recycling.

246 PEGGY MANNING, Oregon Gasoline Dealers Association, gives testimony

on SB 685. Concerned with the survivability of small gas station owners.

TAPE 86 SIDE B

005 SEN TIMMS: - question regarding assistance program for underground storage tank removal and replacement.

008 DANKO: There are many ways to assist small business owners, some of the easier ways are working through the small trade associations such as auto dealers or maintenance groups. Those groups are taken more seriously than if DEQ just hands it out. We also want to do more one on one things, out in the field.

- answers questions from members

WORK SESSION ON SB 270

CHAIR SPRINGER CALLS RECESS TILL 5:30 PM

EXHIBIT LOG:

A - Testimony on SB 241 - Fred Hansen - 21 pages  
B - Testimony on SB 241 - Stephanie Hallack - 28 pages  
C - Testimony on SB 241 - Richard Zweig - 7 pages D - Testimony  
on SB 241 - Quincy Sugarman - 2 pages E - Testimony on SB 241 - Jean  
Cameron - 7 pages F - Testimony on SB 241 - Peter Green - 8 pages G  
- Amendments to SB 473 - Sen Gold - 5 pages H - Amendments to SB  
474 - Sen Gold - 3 pages I - Amendments to SB 475 - Sen Gold - 8  
pages J - Testimony on SB 685 - John Rice - 16 pages  
K - Testimony on SB 685 - Diane Dennis - 4 pages  
L - Testimony on SB 685 - Carl D. Miller - 2 pages  
M - Testimony on SB 685 - Joe Bernard - 7 pages

Submitted by: Reviewed by:

Kus Soumie Peter Green Assistant Administrator

Senate Committee on Agriculture and Natural Resources March 18, 1991 -  
Page

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize  
statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation  
marks

report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the  
proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

SENATE COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE AND NATURAL RESOURCES

March 18, 1991Hearing Room C 5:30 p.m. Tape 88 - 91

MEMBERS PRESENT:Sen. Dick Springer, Chair Sen. Joyce Cohen,  
Vice-Chair Sen. Shirley Gold Sen. Bob Kintigh Sen. Tricia Smith Sen.  
Eugene Timms

MEMBERS EXCUSED:Sen. Brenneman

STAFF PRESENT: Peter Green, Committee Administrator Kus Soumie,  
Committee Assistant

VISITING MEMBERS:

MEASURES CONSIDERED: SB 66 - Establishes Statewide Integrated Solid  
Waste Management Program,PH SB 229 - Authorizes PUC to Award Fees to  
Intervenors in Ratemaking Proceedings, WS. SB 270 - Prohibits DEQ from  
Issuing New or Renewal Permits for Solid Waste Disposal Site if Operator  
Includes Provisions in Contracts That Prevent Pass Through of State  
Solid Waste Disposal Fees, WS.

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize  
statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation  
marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the  
proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 88, SIDE A.

001 CHAIR SPRINGER: Reconvenes meeting at 5:30 PM.

SB 66 - Establishes Statewide Integrated Solid Waste Management Program,  
PH Witnesses: Senator John Kitzhaber, Dist. 23 David Knowells, Rose City  
Recycling John Mathews, Garden Foundation Keith Burns, Container  
Recovery Inc.

015 SEN JOHN KITZHABER, gives testimony on SB 66-A as it relates to the  
parties that can arrange for the return of the deposit. The original  
bottle bill is written to allow only the distributors to make the count  
and arrange for the reimbursement to the retailer. Speaks to the  
difficulty in instituting a more strict bottle bill. The Amendment  
would allow any qualified recycler to engage in the recycling of  
beverage containers. Believes that one would have to consider allowing

DEQ to license recyclers.

055 SEN TIMMS: What happens to the distributor that has the five cent cost and he doesn't get this back because someone else is picking up the cans ?

060 SEN KITZHABER: This is really a problem in the larger metropolitan areas although I can see a similar occurrence in Salem. This would not differ from the situation that they faced before the formation of the recycling company.

067 SEN TIMMS: The recycler is contracted with the distributor and is then credited with that five cents.

075 SEN KITZHABER: It is my understanding that the recycling company is owned by the distributors. They collect the unsorted bottles, sort them and determines who gets the flow so I don't see how the distributor gets hurt.

092 SEN TIMMS: Most distributors would like to be out of the collection business.

105 SEN KITZHABER: I believe that this amendment will do that.

108 DAVID KNOWELLS: The deposit system permits distributors to control the recycling of empty container. CRINC picks up containers directly from retailers, arranges with its' distributor owners for the return of the deposits on the containers to the retailer and then recycles the containers. The amendment would enable any qualified recycler to engage in the recycling of beverage containers. This proposal will promote recycling, this will allow retailers to get full service recycling, and this would create competition and choice for recyclers.

189 SEN TIMMS: As a distributor we were stuck with recycling cans. Distributors have set themselves up to be in the recycling business. Now it is profitable to recycle. It was not great in the beginning.

225 KNOWELLS: The amendment would not eliminate the distributors role in recycling, it would be a matter of competition. If the distributor or CRINC was providing a better service to the retailer then they would continue to have this business.

232 SEN TIMMS: Then you better change the law because the five cent deposit currently originates with the distributor. If he does not get the deposit back then he loses five cents every time he sells that bottle or can.

241 KNOWELLS: This proposal would provide for the return to the retailer of the deposit that was originally collected. This does not interrupt the deposit system as it currently exists, this gives the same opportunity to other companies that CRINC now has.

265 JOHN MATHEWS: representing the Garden Foundation, (See Exhibit B). We are in this business to provide service to the distributors. We are concerned that CRINC could form in the Salem area and we would be out of this business. The Amendment would allow us to compete with a CRINC.

290 SEN GOLD: Doesn't understand how CRINC is a monopoly and prevents others from participating.

300 MATHEWS: The monopoly occurs because of the structure of the deposit system and what is set out in the statutes. The distributors controls the deposit. As long as that is the case an independent recycler can not go to a retail store and bid for their containers. Under law they can not arrange for the return of the deposit to the retailers.

340 KEITH BURNS: representing Container Recovery Inc., give testimony on SB 66A amendments. CRINC has developed machinery and various other plants where they can recycle other things that do not provide any revenue. CRINC came in at no charge to the retailers to pick up the containers and give them a check for the containers. The Distributors are paying twenty cents per case to have this service done for them.

TAPE 89, SIDE B.

050 SEN KINTIGH: I have a letter that says that CRINC was the only company authorized by law to collect beverage containers. Is that true ?

052 BURNS: We don't know what law would prevent them from picking up materials, competition might.

087 PETER GREEN: states that staff has been diligent in preparing materials pertaining to this bill, (See Exhibit C).

109 CHAIR SPRINGER: moved to a work session on SB 229

SB 229 - Authorizes PUC to award Fees to Intervenors in Ratemaking Proceedings, WS

111 SEN. COHEN: MOTION: moves that SB 229 be sent to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation.

126 SEN. SMITH: When faced with a question of consumer protection tries to give every latitude to the consumer, however in this situation doesn't believe that the rate payers pay a great deal of money to the advocates. If they are not doing their job we must deal with this and intervenor funding is not the way to deal with that.

150 > VOTE: 3 - 3, Senators Kintigh, Timms and Smith voting no; Senator Brennemen is excused. Motion Fails.

SB 270 - Prohibits DEQ from Issuing New or Renewal Permits for Solid Waste Disposal Site if Operator includes Provisions in Contracts that Prevent Pass through of State Solid Waste Disposal Fees, WS

170 GREEN: This legislation provides clarification that tipping fees can be used as a way to encourage recycling and that the true cost of garbage would be passed through to the person generating it.

189 SEN COHEN: rather than letting businesses set their own rates internally as a back drop proposition this may be a way to accomplish what I heard people say that they wanted during the interim. This is a disclosure stating that a fee is being paid. 198 >MOTION: moves that the amendments SB 270-1 be adopted.

203 CHAIR SPRINGER: Seeing no objection, motion carries.



208 SEN. COHEN: MOTION: moves that SB 270 as amended to the floor with a DO PASS recommendation. 219 > VOTE: 6 - 0; Senator Brennemen is excused. Senator Springer to carry the floor discussion.

SB 66 - Establishes Statewide Integrated Solid Waste Management Program, PH con't Witnesses: Bob Danko, Department of Environmental Quality Jeanne Roy, Recycling Advocate Hyle Otten, Dupont Dave Phillips, AOC Dianna Godwin, OSSI John Hebard, Douglas County Jean Cameron, OEC Quincy Sugarman, OSPRIG Jim Whitty, AOI Leonard Lanfranco, Oregon Newspaper Publishers Association

233 BOB DANKO: gives overview of recommended amendments to SB 66 A-ENGROSSED, (See Exhibit D). Discusses changes to SB 66 A-Engrossed.

406 SEN SMITH: Why have you deleted the local government plans and inserted by 1994 a statewide plan put forward by EQC and how, if we adopt these amendments, local jurisdiction will meet the 1995 recycling rates? I thought the purpose of the local jurisdiction plans and local rate was to take the state out of that and back into the local jurisdiction.

432 DANKO: This is consistent with what we came up with in the working group. The requirements for the local governments meet the 1995 rates are still there, the menu approach of the elements on how to do the opportunity to recycle that local governments get to choose from. The planning process is a separate track.

TAPE 88, SIDE B.

022 SEN SMITH: Will the local jurisdiction under your amendment still be required to report to DEQ once a year to indicate were they are in reaching their 1995 rate ?

025 DANKO: Correct. The locals will have to report the numbers that they know and then we will use a comprehensive survey to get to the privates to get that information.

030 SEN SMITH: Would you assume the local jurisdiction that meets their recycle rate by 1995 will develop some sort of plan to get there anyway?

033 DANKO: I hope that once this bill passes that each local government would step back and determine what is best for it to get to the goal. The menu approach does have merit because it give the flexibility at the local level. We may need to choose this to reach our recycling rates.

064 JEANNE ROY: Chairman of the Recycling Advocates, gives overview of suggested amendments to SB 66 A-ENGROSSED (See Exhibit E).

142 HYLE OTTEN: Dupont Plastics Incorporated, presents an amendment to SB 66 (See Exhibit F).

187 SEN SMITH: When a consumer brings pack their cans and also bring back this plastic thing and the retail outlet will go in with the plastic bottles?

191 OTTEN: As I visualize it they would be picked up with your other plastic recyclables.

202 SEN SMITH: It sounds great but there is no market for that in most

of the State.

211 OTTEN: The P.E.T. material is being collected and recycled.

243 CHAIR SPRINGER: If this bill doesn't pass will distributors move towards these kind of containers?

245 OTTEN: The idea is to keep this out of your landfill.

265 DAVE PHILLIPS: represents Associated Oregon Industries, presents an amendment to SB 66 (See Exhibit G). One of the big problems lies in the area of market development.

320 DIANNA GODWIN: OSSI, gives overview of (See Exhibit G).

TAPE 90, SIDE A.

018 SEN SMITH: It sounds like you have taken away language and I am concerned with this removal. I have a problem with garbage going to the burner in Marion County as the same thing as garbage that is source separated and recycled.

036 GODWIN: The only choices are either to send it to a landfill or to create fuel pellets.

047 SEN SMITH: Do you have language in here that forces waste going to the highest use?

051 PHILLIPS: I don't believe that we have specific language that does that however the economics do that.

054 SEN SMITH: Not always, we have a mountain of green glass in Portland because the economics didn't do that.

056 PHILLIPS: We are recovering a great deal of material now even though the economics aren't good right. The higher grade materials are sent to a more traditional recycling market, the lower grade materials need to have other uses found for them.

080 JOEL ARIO: speaking for Laurie Aunen, gives testimony on clarification of this issue. His position is that there should be standards in the bill both for recovery levels and for recycling. Part of the problem is that the collectors can't guarantee the recycling rate because that is dependant on what is happening on the market side (See Exhibit H).

118 GREEN: Asks for explanation on how this replacement of recycling rate with recovery level tell us what additional would be included and how that would influence recycling rate?

123 GODWIN: The word material recovering is more encompassing than recycling and would take account of composting source separated yard debris. In order to achieve goals we may have to look beyond source separated recycling.

160 SEN COHEN: I need more definitions. How can we tell that means? What is the hierarchy in the bill.

172 SEN SMITH: When we set these levels we did that with the idea that we were talking about recycling and not material recovery in anything

other than the metro level.

183 GREEN: the only thing that is not included is discarded vehicles, major metal demolition debris and manufactured products before entering the wholesale retail market. What else would we not be counting in this?

188 PHILLIPS: General mass burn.

193 SEN SMITH: Why not mass burn?

198 PHILLIPS: I think that we have dealt with that on the bottom of page three where we talk about an energy recovery facility and what can and can't be counted if you are using an energy recovery facility.

210 GODWIN: continues testimony on EXHIBIT G, Page 8-A.

287 PHILLIPS: continues testimony on EXHIBIT G. Have removed some of the market provisions from 66 and replace them with the local government bills approach to markets to include a markets task force, to include minimum content and to eliminate a lot of the studies that were called for in 66 and implement standards.

307 ARI0: (Page 19-A) There is a lot of movement forward on SB 66. The core proposal is a marketing commission which is a proposal by business to collect money from itself and then use the money to pay back itself to do recycling. The central part of the solid waste stream and propose a set of standards for packaging more flexible than minimum content standards. A choice between a re-usable package, a package that meets recycling rates, or a package that is made of recycled content. Just the newsprint standard at the minimum amount of newsprint would cost 1/4 million a biennium.

430 SEN KINTIGH: Would each package need to be approved by DEQ ?

TAPE 91 SIDE A

003 ARI0: No. The intent is to set standards and leave enforcement flexible to the department.

007 SEN KINTIGH: could you state the mechanics of how it would work?

010 ARI0: I would assume this would be handled by spot checking in response to complaints.

019 GODWIN: continues overview of EXHIBIT H.

054 PHILLIPS: We talked about including more than the traditional issues. Our bill has always talked about doing more than curbside collection. We feel that we won't have a place to put all of the stuff and we want to be protected.

070 GODWIN: Speaks about definitions in EXHIBIT H. We are not back tracking.

095 JOHN HEBARD, Douglas County, gives testimony on SB 66 A-ENGROSSED, (See Exhibit I).

154 SEN. SMITH: Who runs your waste water treatment facility?

166 HEBARD: Two of the facilities in Douglas county are operated by the county of Douglas and the other ten are run by the cities.

170 SEN SMITH: It is disgusting that you don't have a better repaire with the cities that you can't get your staffs together to find a way to get that to happen.

180 HEBARD: We would rather not deal with building new plants to accept septage.

191 SEN SMITH: This amendment would force those cities to accept septage from outside their city limits.

204 HEBARD: Yes, the users would pay to have the contents disposed of.

220 JEAN CAMERON, OEC, gives overview of amendments to SB 66 - 14, and willingness to work further on the bill with other agencies. PREVIOUSLY INTRODUCED INTO THE RECORD. I stated earlier that I would deal with the definition of how you define recycling revel rate. Would like to see the deletion of sections that asked DEQ to re-imburse parks and transportation for it's demonstration projects and also reimburse local governments for planning costs relating to this bill. Also concerned about the requiring of labeling of plastics to be recycled.

309 QUINCY SUGARMAN, , gives testimony on SB 66 A-ENGROSSED, and speaks to the battery issue. Mercury reduction timetable.

395 JIM WHITTY, Associated Oregon Industries, gives testimony on SB 66 A-ENGROSSED AMENDMENTS, (See Exhibit J) and speaks to the issues of Hazardous materials recovery. Voices concerns about, Offers amendments to section 40 41 42. In the amendment submitted earlier. Funding dealing with markets.

TAPE 90 SIDE B

074 LEONARD LANFRANCO, representing the Oregon Newspaper Publishers Association (see Exhibit K).

097 SEN SMITH: Have you had the opportunity to look at the amendments introduced by AOC ?

100 LANFRANCO: We would be supportive of dealing with changes but we support what has been done in the work group.

118 CHAIR SPRINGER: If the minimum content goals are already being met, do you really need a task force ?

120 LANFRANCO: We are committed to recycling in this state and believe in the process.

138 CHAIR SPRINGER: I recommend suspend further action on this bill to search and report on the 28th of march in the democratic caucus room and deal with funding issues. We cannot proceed as a group until the funding issues have been discussed.

157 SEN SMITH: This bill is not getting off the ground.

CHAIR SPRINGER ADJOURNS MEETING AT 8:20 PM

Prepared by

Reviewed by

Stuart Farmer

Peter Green Committee Assistant  
Committee Administrator

EXHIBIT LOG:

A - SB 66-A16 - Senator John Kitzhaber - 2 Pages B - Testimony of the Association of Oregon Recyclers - Garden Foundation - 1 Pages C - Exhibits and Materials by staff - Peter Green - 9 Pages D - Testimony by DEQ - Bob Danko - 20 Pages E - Testimony of Recycling Advocates - Jeanne Roy - 1 Page F - Proposed Amendments - Hyle Otten - 3 Pages G - Proposed Amendments - Dave Phillips - 33 Pages H - Testimony by the Consumers for Recycling - Joel Ario - 7 Pages I - Testimony of Douglas County - John Hebard - 2 Pages J - Proposed Amendments - Jim Whitty - 1 Page K - Testimony of Oregon Newspaper Publishers Association - 2 Pages