Senate Committee on Agriculture and Natural Resources May 6, 1991 - Page

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks

report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

SENATE COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE AND NATURAL RESOURCES

May 6, 1991Hearing Room C 8:00 a.m. Tape 187 - 188

MEMBERS PRESENT:Sen. Dick Springer, Chair Sen. Joyce Cohen, Vice-Chair Sen. John Brenneman Sen. Shirley Gold Sen. Bob Kintigh Sen. Tricia Smith Sen. Eugene Timms

STAFF PRESENT: Peter Green, Committee Administrator Chris Beck, Research Associate Kus Soumie, Committee Assistant

MEASURES CONSIDERED:

SB 91(WRK)

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 187 SIDE A

005 CHAIR SPRINGER CALLS THE MEETING TO ORDER AT 8:40 AM

WORK SESSION ON SB 91-A

WITNESSES: Bruce Andrews Hector Macpherson Steve Marks

Amendments to SB 91-A presented by staff EXHIBIT A

009 BRUCE ANDREWS, SIRECTOR State Department of Agriculture, gives testimony on SB 91-A and gives overview of the Department of Agriculture's involvment in this bill as well as objectives.

- answers series of questions from Commitee Members

038 SEN. TIMMS: Do you have any input regarding the timber issues in this bill?

042 ANDREWS: We had nothing to do with the timber issues involved in this bill. We are trying to get a more reginal definition for this

bill.

053 SEN. KINTIGH:

055 ANDREWS: Yes this is happening in many counties.

062 SEN. KINTIGH: Is it important to retain land involved in marginal use.

070 ANDREWS: I would like to protect land now in agricultural use. To expand an dnot contract.

075 CHAIR SPRINGER: Folks i Washington ocunty are concerned withtheir agricultural interests.

089 ANDREWS: Speaks about the diversity in the Agricultural field. And the importance of value added cultivation. The more ag land is fragmented the more

113 CHAIR SPRINGER:

117 ANDREWS: I am looking ot convert ag into value. Agriculture comes into conflict with urban development. When there are large

137 SEN. KINTIGH: Speaks about prime farm land being zoned for industrial use.

149 ANDREWS: Expansion should be in the areas that cannot be used for production of Agriculture.

163 SEN. KINTIGH:

171 SEN. SMITH: Do you think that the encroachment on farm lands is due to exhisting law or faulty implementation of the law?

185 ANDREWS:

198 STEVE MARKS, Aid to Sen President Kitzhaber, gives testimony on SB 91-A and plans for proposed amendments from President Kitzhaber.

200 - discussion with members on the feasiblility of continuing with the bill.

256 CHRIS BECK, committee staff, speaks to the amendments up today EXHIBIT  $\mbox{A}$ 

280 SEN. COHEN: I would like to move the -4 amendments later on in the hearing.

301 HECTOR MaCPHERSON, LCDC, gives testimony on SB 91 and presents overview of proposed amendments given in EXHIBIT B  $\,$ 

TAPE 188 SIDE A

004 SEN. COHEN: voices concerns with land use issues in oversight hearings in technical committees in counties.

008 MACPHERSON: We can be flexible as a commission as opposed to what is passed in the Legislature. We think that issues and decisions dealing with land use, are better left to the Commission. - continues

overview of EXHIBIT B

095 CHAIR SPRINGER: Are you satisfied that will

101 MACPHERSON: two from the private sector that would be able to make decisions. if there is controversy we would have oversight from

116 SEN COHEN: so you have specified memberships?

120 MACPHERSON: We had problems with who would be voting members.

127 SEN COHEN:

129 MACPHERSON: I would say that this committee should have more people reporting to it. Your concern that the local landowners could dominate the process.

143 SEN. SMITH: I am concerned with the rold as opposed tto someone from the county to ofer a report with recommendation. What would their weight be as opposed to

151 MACPHERSON: I see them as profesionals who would give in to technical advisment from opposing points of view. They would not make decisions as to what would and would not be secondary or primary lands.

176 SEN. KINTIGH: Are you familiar with the surounding land use models?

187 MACPHERSON: I am familiar with the Linn County system and am not happy with that system. This is a point system and not a good model.

020 BOB STACEY, City of Portland, gives testimony on SB 91-A and presents overview of EXHIBIT C - suggested amendments for state policy on lands in urban reserves

286 JAN CHILDS, City of Eugene, gives testimony on SB 91-A and gives overview of the plan boundry before the introduction of SB 100. - influence of development on the urban fringe - public agencies and orderly planning

377 SEN. SMITH: Weere those requests granted?

382 CHILDS: The change was granted, and was a very lengthy process.

401 SEN SMITH:

TAPE 187 SIDE B

003 CHILDS:

011 SEN. SMITH:

015 RICH, METRO, gives testimoy on the flaws in land use planning, and urban growth boundries. -

037 MARK TURPLE, , presents proposed amendments to SB 91-A including some technical errors.

050 JON CHANDLER, Common Ground, gives testimony on UGB (urban growth boundries) and problems around exception areas. We want to correct

those oversights. - gives proposed amendments to SB 91-A 102 SEN. TIMMS: Would it be better to not use that land which is farmable and can we do this under tha current system. 118 CHILDS: Sometimes there have been trades 128 STACEY: 131 SEN. TIMMS: series of questions to the panel 140 SEN KINTIGH: I forsee problems with the urban land owners. 152 STACEY: there should not be any restriction on farming or forestry practices. 171 SEN. SMITH: In the salem area the most intensive growth on the boundries with little filling in. I would like to see some density standards. 187 : 204 STACEY: MIXED USE MORE VIABLE. 220 SEN SMITH: 223 STACEY: City of Portland is very interested in minimum as well as maximum densities. 234 CHILDS: Development ot the 6-8-10 as planned, This may not go as far a we need to go. 249 CHANCLER: In the language it says that the commision will set standards. in section 21a it says that the commission will define what statdards are and implimented by the county. 273 SEN. KINTIGH: I seee a condridiction to what you see i owuldl ike to 284 CHILDS: Set backs etc do not change 337 GAIL MCEWEN, ODOT, EXHIBIT D 350 GREG WOLF, DLCD, gives testimony on SB 91-A and presents overview of EXHIBIT E 413 SEN. BRENNEMAN: line 28 page 12 says that no adverse impact should be changed. TAPE 188 SIDE B 008 WOLF: that language provides intent for minimisiong impact on land uses. This i sment to be a goal and not ment to be a standard. MEETING IS ADJOURNED AT 10:03 AM EXHIBIT LOG:

A - Testimony on SB - name - # pages B - Testimony on SB -

name - # pages C- Amendments to SB - name - # pages D - E - F - G - H -

Submitted by: Reviewed by:

Kus Soumie Peter Green Assistant Administrator