Senate Committee on Agriculture and Natural Resources June 5, 1991 - Page

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks

report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

SENATE COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE AND NATURAL RESOURCES

June 5, 1991Hearing Room C 8:00 a.m. Tape 231 - 234

MEMBERS PRESENT:Sen. Dick Springer, Chair Sen. Joyce Cohen, Vice-Chair Sen. John Brenneman Sen. Shirley Gold Sen. Bob Kintigh Sen. Tricia Smith Sen. Eugene Timms

VISITING MEMBERS: Sen. Jeannette Hamby

STAFF PRESENT: Peter Green, Committee Administrator Chris Beck, Research Associate Jill Rader, Committee Clerk

MEASURES CONSIDERED: HB 2824-A(PUB) HB 2087-A(PPW) HB 2763-A(PPW) HB 2501-B(PPW) HB 2150-A(WRK) HB 2175-A(WRK)

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 231 SIDE A

003 CHAIR SPRINGER CALLS THE MEETING TO ORDER AT 8:00 AM

REPORT FROM STATE POLICE ON IMPLEMENTATION OF SJR14 FROM 1989

005 LARRY KRAFT, Lieutenant, Oregon State Police, Reports on SJR14 from 198 9 session and gives overview of written testimony EXHIBIT A.

042 CHAIR SPRINGER: Are you involved with the Explorers or Boy Scouts?

046 KRAFT: We don't have an active program with the Boy Scouts. There are liability problems.

PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 2087-A

WITNESSES: Mike (Ralph) Rodia, State Fire Marshal Tom O'Connor, League of Oregon Cities John Deer, Portland Fire Bureau

057 MIKE (RALPH) RODIA, State Fire Marshal, Gives overview of written testimony supporting HB 2087-A EXHIBIT B.

- 092 SEN. COHEN: Comments on the "simple registration fee" in Mr Rodia's testimony EXHIBIT B.
- 098 SEN. KINTIGH: Where in the bill is the item about the fertilizer?
- 100 RODIA: Discussion of the registration fee is on page 2 lines 23-26 of HB 208 7-A. The intent is that the fee be limited to low hazard materials.
- 110 SEN. KINTIGH: Does every farmer that has fertilizer have to register it?
- 112 RODIA: Farmers aren't subject to the survey or the fee, but distributors are.
- 116 CHAIR SPRINGER: Does the Emergency Board have to sign off on your fee schedule?
- 120 RODIA: No. But, each year a new fee schedule is adopted and each year we need the approval of the legislature through the Emergency Board for that particular fee schedule.
- 130 CHAIR SPRINGER: Do you need an expenditure limitation?
- 133 RODIA: The budget is authorized at a certain level. The fee schedule is adjusted to meet the authorized approval.
- 140 TOM O'CONNOR, League of Oregon Cities, Gives testimony supporting HB 208 7-A and submits a letter from the City of Salem also supporting HB 2087-A EXHIBIT C. -The community right to know is essential in protecting the community. -Summarizes the bill's provisions.
- 189 JOHN DEER, Senior Instructor, Portland Fire Bureau, The Portland Fire Bureau supports HB 2087-A.
- 196 SEN. KINTIGH: How is this implemented?
- 200 DEER: Explains the current dispatch process.

PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 2763-A

- WITNESSES: Larry Kraft, Oregon State Police Haven Baxter, Citizen Jeff Curtis, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW)
- 234 LARRY KRAFT, Oregon State Police, Submits written testimony EXHIBIT D, and gives a brief overview supporting HB 2763-A.
- 240 SEN. TIMMS: Expresses concern that enforcing this could overshadow more important issues.
- 259 KRAFT: This would not be priority. It would be accomplished through routine contact while addressing the priorities.
- 270 SEN. TIMMS: But it does take extra enforcement.
- 277 SEN. COHEN: Do animals even see color?
- 289 SEN. BRENNEMAN: Their eyes are better suited for night vision, so they see more black and white. You can't tell exactly what they see. How many fatalities were there last year?

- 303 KRAFT: Very few. The majority of the accidents didn't occur in the field.
- 316 SEN. SMITH: What kind of education programs are there?
- 334 KRAFT: The Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) has an extensive hunter's education program required to get a license.
- 350 SEN. SMITH: If hunter's know that they should wear bright colors for their own safety and it won't effect their hunting, how much regulation do they need?
- 359 KRAFT: You are correct, we can't legislate personal safety in some areas.
- 368 SEN. SMITH: That's not what this bill says.
- 375 HAVEN BAXTER, Citizen, Submits written testimony: EXHIBIT E, a copy of his comments, EXHIBIT F, written comments from Teri Gillette, EXHIBIT G, background information and EXHIBIT H, a newspaper article from the Eugene Register-Guard. -Gives overview of EXHIBIT E.

## TAPE 232 SIDE A

- 035 BAXTER: Continues testimony on HB 2763-A -Young hunter's will mimic what their parent's wear.
- 053 SEN. SMITH: Did any agency ever give you recommendations for colors to wear hunting?
- 056 BAXTER: Through the hunter education program they do stress wearing orange.
- 068 SEN. SMITH: Why do you think people where camo when they know they are likely to get shot?
- 071 BAXTER: Part of it is the way you're raised. It is tradition.
- 087 JEFF CURTIS, ODFW, ODFW supports HB 2763-A. Wearing hunter orange has a major safety impact.
- 096 SEN. SMITH: Do you provide information with your tags about hunter safety including recommending clothing colors?
- CURTIS: We do pass that information out with the hunter regulations packet.
- 106 CHAIR SPRINGER: Do you have knowledge of non-hunters out in the woods during hunting season?
- 115 CURTIS: Mike Bickler of our hunter education program would have that information. It seems to be the case that the majority of fatalities are hunters.
- 123 SEN. KINTIGH: The proposed bill doesn't specify how much orange?
- 127 CURTIS: That was debated in the House. A hunter would have to wear either an orange hat or vest.

- 135 SEN. BRENNEMAN: Do you know why turkeys are excluded in the legislation?
- 138 CURTIS: There is a belief that turkeys can see color.

PUBLIC HEARING AND WORK SESSION HB 2501-B

- WITNESSES: Sen. Jeannette Hamby, District 5 Ray Craig, Department of Forestry Liz Frenkel, Sierra Club Logan Jones, Department of Forestry
- 152 SEN. JEANNETTE HAMBY, District 5, Gives testimony strongly supporting HB  $250\ 1-B$
- 179 RAY CRAIG, Dept of Forestry, Urges passage of HB 2501-B and submits written testimony EXHIBIT I, a letter from Jim Brown. -Gives background of HB 2501-B. -The Tillamook State Forest Plan will be funded with non-general fund money.
- 205 CHAIR SPRINGER: Regional strategies for the counties seems to include tourism. Why on the advisory committee does there have to be a representative from each of the three counties?
- 215 CRAIG: Having a member from each county was an amendment at the request of the Counties Forest Trust Land Advisory Council. The reason was to make sure the counties were represented.
- 222 CHAIR SPRINGER: We can reevaluate that.
- 230 SEN. COHEN: Why aren't there more parks people on the Advisory Committee?
- 236 CHAIR SPRINGER: Lists who will be on the advisory committee. Recreation isn't well represented.
- $247\ \textsc{CRAIG:}$  The Department of Parks and Recreation would be involved in the planning process.
- 255 CHAIR SPRINGER: Addresses Forestry's role in recreation.
- 276 CRAIG: The Department of Forestry doesn't have any recreational expertise on the staff. We look to the Department of Parks and Recreation for that expertise.
- 283 CHAIR SPRINGER: Parks doesn't have enough money to manage what it has.
- 295 LIZ FRENKEL, Oregon Chapter, Sierra Club, On the House side she requested a designation of a small preservation of the trees planted by Nancy Ryles. She recommends this amendment.
- 312 CHAIR SPRINGER: Do you think a representative of the environmentalists should be on the advisory committee?
- 313 FRENKEL: Yes.
- 325 SEN. SMITH: How about some parks people on this advisory committee?
- 334 FRENKEL: It isn't designed, as it stands now, to deal with recreation.

- 350 SEN. COHEN: There needs to be a balance for the type of recreation.
- 362 CHAIR SPRINGER: Has there been an inventory of the wetlands of the Tillamook Forest.
- 379 LOGAN JONES, Department of Forestry, Yes, there are designated areas of non-commercial forest lands of low production. There are also lands designated only for recreation.
- 401 CHAIR SPRINGER: How big is the Tillamook State Forest and how much land out of the total is restricted?
- 403 JONES: The Tillamook State Forest is about 350,000 acres; 95% of that is production land.
- 417 CHAIR SPRINGER: So we haven't deprived the forest of its economic value. When does cutting begin?

# TAPE 231 SIDE B

- 009 JONES: We have done some commercial thinning. Major harvest is scheduled for 20 years.
- 015 SEN. TIMMS: What part does the county play? How is it funded?
- 019 JONES: Their major concern is that the recreation plan is consistent with the primary purpose of the land. The money appropriated by Ways and Means would come from the Department of Forestry fund. This money is 1/3 of the revenue of the lands that the Department of Forestry receives.
- 048 SEN. COHEN: How much are you limited by the funds to adequately manage the area?
- 063 CRAIG: Gives examples of research projects.
- 081 JONES: Currently money isn't a limiting factor for doing the intensive management practices we feel are needed.
- 091 SEN. KINTIGH: What is your projected rotation age?
- 093 JONES: Generally from 65-80 years old. In the future it could be a 60-70 year range.
- 103 CHAIR SPRINGER: The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has money to create a scenic highway from Cloverdale through the coast range. Are you familiar with this? Will the state follow the path of the BLM?
- 124 JONES: That could be part of the recreation plan.
- 125 SEN. BRENNEMAN: There is opposition from local residents.
- CHAIR SPRINGER: If there was public access they wouldn't have to cut through private property. We might want to reconsider the composition of the Advisory Committee.
- 134 SEN. BRENNEMAN: Recommends not reconsidering the committee composition. Considers these lands as State Forest for the citizens of Oregon and especially the citizens of Tillamook. Avoid a political situation.

157 CHAIR SPRINGER: The original bill had one representative of the counties and 4 public citizens so someone has already made this political by changing it to 3 counties and 2 citizens.

161 SEN. BRENNEMAN: The people of Tillamook county are well represented. They have a concern about that forest and would like to have as strong a voice as possible.

WORK SESSION ON HB 2087-A

MOTION: Sen. Kintigh moves HB 2087-A to the floor, do pass.

VOTE: In a roll call vote the motion passes with 6 ayes. Sen. Gold is excused.

WORK SESSION ON HB 2763-A

MOTION: Sen. Cohen moves HB 2763-A to the floor, do pass.

VOTE: In a roll call vote the motion is adopted with 4 ayes. Sen. Brenneman and Sen. Timms vote no. Sen. Gold is excused.

PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 2824-A

WITNESSES: Greg Wolf, Land Conservation and Development Commission (LCDC)

200 CHAIR SPRINGER: EXHIBIT J a letter opposing the bill from the League of Oregon Cities is passed out.

227 GREG WOLF, LCDC, Recommends adding to HB 2824-A, a requirement that there be compliance with local comprehensive plan and implementing ordinances.

237 SEN. COHEN: There are basic conflicts with how best to provide the farmworker housing. There is a split in where the housing should be located. Doesn't want to address this until it is figured out how to deal constructively with the permanent work force.

WORK SESSION ON HB 2175-A

WITNESSES: John Kowalczyk, Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) John Charles, Oregon Environmental Council (OEC) Paul Cosgrove, Ethanol Fuel Association Denise MCPHAIL, Portland General Electric (PGE) Wendy Simms, DEQ Doug Morrison, Northwest Pulp and Paper

283 CHRIS BECK, Committee Research Associate, Goes over Exhibits before the committee. -EXHIBIT M, a matrix outlining key issues of the various amendments. -EXHIBIT N, hand engrossed HB 2175-A23 amendments, by DEQ. -EXHIBIT O, HB 2175-A26 amendments and EXHIBIT P, HB 2175-A27 amendments. -EXHIBIT Q, Sen. Cohen's amendments to HB 2175-A. -EXHIBIT R, Amendments to HB 2175-A from Tim Nissen of the Woodstove Industry.

CHAIR SPRINGER: Addresses EXHIBIT O, HB 2175-A26 and refers to EXHIBIT S, a Fiscal Impact Statement Form prepared by the Department of Transportation. How did Section 13a get in HB 2175? It establishes a reduction in the gas tax for ethanol blended fuel.

314 JOHN KOWALCZYK, DEQ, It wasn't in the original bill. It was proposed by the auto industry or oil industry and added by the house committee.

- 365 JOHN CHARLES, OEC, The gas tax is a highway maintenance tax, not an air pollution tax. Ethanol blend users should therefore be given a break under a different section of the bill where air pollution is addressed, not highway maintenance. Supports the HB 217 5-A26 amendments EXHIBIT O. Also submits written testimony, EXHIBIT T, a memo regarding public opinion on Clean Air Legislation.
- 386 KOWALCZYK: This amendment is a way of supporting use of alternative fuels but it will have an adverse impact on highway maintenance. Would concur with Mr. Charles.
- 403 PAUL COSGROVE, representing Ethanol Fuel Association, The Director of the Department of Transportation has concerns with the accuracy of the Fiscal Impact Form that has been handed out EXHIBIT S. The official Revenue Impact by Legislative Revenue is much different. -This issue was discussed in the House. The impact of section 13a of HB 217 5-A would be minimal. It received full support in the house committee.

TAPE 232 SIDE B

- 028 SEN. SMITH: What is the status of SB 1112 which also carried tax credits for alternative fuel users?
- 030 COSGROVE: That bill was referred to Senate Revenue. A hearing hasn't been scheduled as of yet. SB 1112 was also a reduction in gas tax and is consistent with HB 217 5-A.
- 044 SEN. COHEN: The decision on tax credits is made at a different level. This tax credit should be removed from HB 2175-A.
- SEN. TIMMS: Is it correct that the higher you make the tax credit the more effective it will be and the more people will use it?
- 055 COSGROVE: That is true. Oregon has few independent stations so the immediate impact will be less. The independent stations are the stations who can decide to sell the ethanol blend fuel.
- 094 SEN. TIMMS: Suggests further changes to the HB 2175-A regarding the tax credit for ethanol users.

MOTION: Sen Timms moves to amend HB 2175-A.

VOTE: The motion fails in a roll call vote with 2 ayes and 4 nays; Senators Cohen, Gold, Smith and Springer vote nay; Sen Brenneman is excused.

- 108 SEN. COHEN: Comments that she will be voting against things that she believes are good policy to get the bill moving. This was the first vote against her policy.
- 113 CHAIR SPRINGER: Concurs with Sen. Cohen.

MOTION: Chair Springer moves adoption of the HB 2175-A26 amendments.

VOTE: The motion is adopted with 5 ayes; Sen. Kintigh votes nay and Sen. Brenneman is excused.

123 BECK: Gives overview of the HB 2175-A27 amendments EXHIBIT P. Refers to page 5 of the HB 2175-A23 engrossed bill, EXHIBIT N.

- 151 DENISE McPHAIL, Portland General Electric (PGE), Submits EXHIBIT L a breakdown of the Permit Program, Title V Proposals and gives testimony opposing the HB 217 5-A27 amendments EXHIBIT P.
- 196 SEN. COHEN: How often do you run Beaver, historically?
- 198 MCPHAIL: Can't answer to the amount of actuals, but historically neither Boardman or Beaver have run very much.
- SEN. COHEN: But you're assuming from your breakdown EXHIBIT L that Beaver will run 50% of the time.
- 205 MCPHAIL: Beaver wasn't operated often because it wasn't economically feasible. Now it is. -The 50% is an estimate. The Boardman figure is also an estimate. -The Title V Program is a regulatory scheme that congress said should be supported with industry fees and a 4,000 ton cap. -None of the PGE plants are in a strained air pollution area. -The HB 2175-A27 amendment would result in unfair costs to PGE and their customers.
- 289 CHAIR SPRINGER: Do you have emission control systems in place at Boardman?
- 290 MCPHAIL: Yes. We also have continuous emission monitors. DEQ pointed out that PGE benefits under permitted under the cap.
- 315 CHAIR SPRINGER: Is the current technology state of the art for reducing emissions.
- 320 MCPHAIL: The Clean Air Act doesn't effect the emission from any of our plants until the year 200 0. -On the permitted or actuals, with a cap, you have a certain knowledge of how much money you will raise. There is an area of uncertainty of funding when so much of it is dependent on whether or not PGE's plants will operate.
- 374 SEN. TIMMS: Asks questions regarding the monetary figures from the Permit Program, Title V proposal list EXHIBIT L.
- 385 MCPHAIL: Gives details on how she arrived at the figures in EXHIBIT L. -The current air quality permits are not based on use. -Gives details on the current permit process. -Answers questions from Sen. Timms regarding permitted and actual emissions.

TAPE 233 SIDE A

023 SEN. COHEN: Do these costs go into the rate base?

MCPHAIL: Yes. The Public Utilities Commission allows government fees into the rates. This effects customers.

030 SEN. COHEN: How much more will an average ratepayer's bill be?

MCPHAIL: It would cost all of our customers \$1.00 per year if you pretend they use the same amount. The committee amendments HB 2175-A27 are unfair to our customers.

- 055 SEN. COHEN: Who will get a rebate on the property tax relief?
- 057 MCPHAIL: The customer.

060 CHAIR SPRINGER: How much of your load is residential versus industrial?

MCPHAIL: About 42% is residential, 30% is commercial and the remainder industrial.

066 CHAIR SPRINGER: So most of the cost would go to non-residential users.

MCPHAIL: Yes.

071 SEN. SMITH: When PUC disperses the money from the property tax relief, they will spread that through the rate base so, like cost of operation, the more power that is used the more the user benefits. Is that correct? So, a major consumer of power, is the reason why Boardman is operating. It makes sense that the major user of power should pay more for the cost of air pollution.

MCPHAIL: Yes. PGE opposes the costs the committee is proposing in the HB  $217\ 5-A27$  amendment.

114 CHAIR SPRINGER: Asks DEQ the reason for the 4,000 ton threshold. How did Congress arrive at that figure?

127 CHARLES: The cap should be removed. Those who pollute the most should pay the most. -Explains how Congress arrived at the 4,000 ton cap.

161 WENDY SIMMS, DEQ, provides the committee with written testimony and a copy of Title V of the Clean Air Act EXHIBIT K. The cost fee is a policy statement.

183 CHAIR SPRINGER: A vote to take the cap off would help smaller sources?

SIMMS: Correct.

189 SEN. TIMMS: Does the money from Title V Section 507 of the Clean Air Act EXHIBIT K, specifically go for small business?

200 SIMMS: It requires that the states collect money to pay for all aspects of the industrial program; it specifically included the small business assistance program.

206 SEN. TIMMS: So there isn't the flexibility to use other monies that were created?

211 SIMMS: Yes, there would be the flexibility to use the money to go beyond the requirements.

222 SEN. COHEN: This clean air bill won't pay for those things relating to hazardous waste.

MOTION: Chair Springer moves the adoption of the HB 2175-A27 amendments.

VOTE: In a roll call vote the motion is adopted with 4 ayes; Senators Brenneman, Kintigh and Timms vote no.

SEN. COHEN: Goes along with the HB 2175-A27 amendments with some objections.

- 252 TIM NISSEN: Discusses his proposed amendments to HB 2175-A EXHIBIT R relating to wood stoves.
- 292 MOTION: Sen. Smith moves adoption of the amendments submitted by the Wood
- Energy Institute of Oregon, EXHIBIT R. Hearing no objection the motion is adopted.
- 298 SEN. COHEN: Discusses her amendments to HB 2175-A EXHIBIT Q relating to the Portland Metro area task force membership.
- 333 MOTION: Sen. Cohen moves adoption of the amendments relating to the Portland Metro area task force; Hearing no objections the motion is adopted.
- 337 SEN. TIMMS: Introduces amendments, HB 2175-A25 EXHIBIT U, relating to actual emissions.
- $355~{\rm SEN}$ . COHEN: Asks if the HB  $2175-{\rm A}25~{\rm amendments}$  mean actuals across the board with no opportunity to opt out.
- 366 DOUG MORRISON, NW Pulp and Paper, Explains the HB 2175-A25 amendment EXHIBIT U. This allows any source to choose to pay on actuals or permitted for any pollutant.
- 389 SEN. SMITH: Are the permits issued from the type of pollution for each stack or is the permit issued for the whole plant?
- 400 MORRISON: Explains how permits are issued.

## TAPE 234 SIDE A

- 006 SEN. SMITH: How many permits are in a typical pulp mill under the scenario you gave for the HB 2175-A25 amendments EXHIBIT U? If the fees are based on actuals for certain stacks rather than a permitted fee, it seems like that would be a complicated process.
- MORRISON: One permit per plant. The HB 2175-A25 amendment doesn't change the current permitting process.
- 024 SEN. TIMMS: Currently you're permitting per stack. An actual emission seems fair.
- 034 MORRISON: Explains the permitting process.
- 044 SEN. SMITH: Do you get a permit for each stack, for each emission or for each plant?
- 049 SEN. BRENNEMAN: It seems if you have it on actual, there would be incentive to keep the emissions down.
- 059 SEN. SMITH: Concerned that this will create a bureaucratic nightmare in determining fees.
- 062 SIMMS: Explains the permitting process for various stages in a pulp mill as it relates to the HB  $\,$  217 5-A25 amendments EXHIBIT U.
- 079 SEN. COHEN: The basic question is, is this a reasonable way to apply

#### fees?

- 105 MORRISON: It will take staff time to review submissions on fees. -Shows the committee an example of a pulp mill's report. -Fee assessment isn't a complicated endeavor.
- 127 SEN. SMITH: Under the HB 2175-A25 amendments EXHIBIT U, how do you set one permit fee and break out actuals from it?
- 151 SIMMS: DEQ isn't opposed to looking at actuals in the long run but for the first year it will be complicated.
- 159 SEN. SMITH: Would you consider allowing the industry to choose plant by plant rather than stack by stack?
- 163 MORRISON: Would consider it. It depends on the criteria developed.
- 174 SEN. COHEN: You aren't talking about actuals after all. You're talking about the amount you currently use in terms of a permit.
- 186 MORRISON: If what we are proposing is phony then the entire permit process is phony.
- 189 SEN. COHEN: The permit process is a function process. No one is assuming that it is an actual.
- 200 MORRISON: We are asking for the ability to use long standing reviewed formulas.
- COHEN: Ok but don't call them actuals.
- 213 KOWALCZYK: With this fee we are trying to develop revenue to fund the permit program. -With the permit fees we know we will have a certain amount of money. -With the actual emissions fee we don't know how much revenue we will have. -Gives specifics of what will be needed to develop the program.
- 237 SIMMS: If we use what is in the Department's emission inventory for assessing the fee, then you run into the problem of phony actuals.
- 255 MORRISON: It wouldn't be accurate to use 1987 production data.
- 260 SEN. COHEN: No one is advocating going back to the 1987 figures. There is not a clear understanding of what `actuals' means. We need to develop a policy for the long run.
- 314 SEN. TIMMS: If we go to actuals then DEQ won't have the revenues they need.
- 321 SIMMS: If you use the same dollar per ton there would be far less revenue created by using actuals.
- 330 SEN. TIMMS: The more money created, the more bureaucracy created.
- $342\ \text{MOTION:} \text{Chair Springer moves adoption of the HB } 2175-A23$  amendments in the hand engrossed version.
- VOTE: In a roll call vote the motion is adopted with 5 ayes; Sen. Kintigh votes nay and Sen. Brenneman is excused.

SEN. TIMMS: There is no reason to move the HB 2175-A25 amendments sensing how the committee feels.

 ${\tt MOTION:Chair}$  Springer moves HB 2175-A as amended to Ways and Means, do pass.

VOTE: The motion is adopted with 4 ayes; Senators Kintigh and Timms vote Nay

and Sen. Brenneman is excused.

PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 2824-A

WITNESSES: John McCulley, Tree Fruit Growers

375 JOHN MCCULLEY, Tree Fruit Growers, Gives overview supporting HB 2824-A and submits written testimony EXHIBIT V. The House suggested further requirements. If additional requirements were imposed then the circumstances wouldn't change.

CHAIR SPRINGER ADJOURNS THE MEETING AT 11:00 AM

## EXHIBIT LOG:

Testimony on SJR14 - Kraft - 25 pages B - Testimony on HB 2087-A - Rodia - 2 pages C - Testimony on HB 2087-A - O'Connor - 1 page D - Testimony on HB 2763-A - Kraft - 1 page E - Testimony on HB 2763-A - Baxter - 1 page F - Testimony on HB 2763-A - Baxter -Testimony on HB 2763-A - Baxter - 1 page H - Testimony 1 page G on HB 2763-A - Baxter - 1 page I -Testimony on HB 2501-B - Craig - 2 pages J -Testimony on HB 2824-A - Staff - 1 page K -Testimony on HB 2175-A - Simms - 9 pages L -Testimony on HB 2175-A - McPhail - 1 page M -Testimony on HB 2175-A - Staff - 1 page N -Amendments to HB 2175-A -DEQ - 25 pages O -Amendments to HB 2175-A - Staff - 1 page P -Amendments to HB 2175-A - Staff - 1 page Q -Amendments to HB 2175-A - Cohen - 1 page R -Amendments to HB 2175-A - Nissen - 1 page S -Testimony on HB 2175-A - Staff - 1 page T -Testimony on HB 2175-A - Charles - 7 pages U -Amendments to HB 2175-A - Timms - 1 page V -Testimony on HB 2824-A -McCulley - 1 page W -Testimony on HB 2175-A - League of Women Voters - 1 page

Submitted by: Reviewed by:

Jill Rader Peter Green Assistant Administrator