

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks

report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

Measures Heard SB 1008 (WRK) SB 342 (WRK) SB 569 (PUB) SB 980 (PUB) SB 982 (PUB) SB 943 (PUB) SB 1117 (PUB) SB 537 (PUB)

SENATE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

April 5, 1991Hearing Room C 1:10 p.m.Tapes 93 - 95

MEMBERS PRESENT:Sen. Joyce Cohen, Chair Sen. Jim Hill, Vice-Chair Sen. Peter Brockman Sen. Jeannette Hamby Sen. Bob Shoemaker Sen. Dick Springer

MEMBER EXCUSED: Sen. Jim Bunn

STAFF PRESENT: Ingrid Swenson, Committee Counsel Bill Taylor, Committee Counsel Mark Thorburn, Committee Assistant

WITNESSES: The Honorable Stephen Herrell, Circuit Court Judge Larry OgleSB y, Oregon Juvenile Department Directors Association Steve Carmichael Oregon Juvenile Department Directors Association Marilyn Harbur, Juvenile and Family Justice Project Gloria Lewton, Clackamas County Department of Human Services Diana Roberts, Children Services Division Deborah Wilson, Department of Justice Helen Smith, Multnomah County District Attorney's Office Grant Higginson, State Health Division Robert Donough, Tri-County Youth Services Consortium Muriel Goldman, Workgroup on Runaway and Homeless Youth Paul Snider, Association of Oregon Counties Craig Christiansen, J Bar J Youth Services Tom English, Oregon Council on Crime and Delinquency Gina Wood, Children and Youth Services Commission

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 93, SIDE A

003 CHAIR COHEN: Calls the meeting to order at 1:10 p.m.

- Discusses the day's agenda.

SB 1008

005 CHAIR COHEN: Discusses previous testimony.

016 BILL TAYLOR, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: The amendments (Exhibit A) are technical amendments; explains the amendments.

032 MOTION: Sen. Shoemaker moves the amendments.

033 CHAIR COHEN: Calls for objections; hearing none, so ordered; Sens. Hill and Bunn excused.

035 MOTION: Sen. Brockman moves SB 1008 to the floor with a "do pass" recommendation.

040 VOTE: Motion passes unanimously; Sens. Hill and Bunn excused.

SB 342

045 CHAIR COHEN: Comments on the bill.

050 INGRID SWENSON, COMMITTEE COUNSEL: There are dash three amendments (Exhibit B) and a hand engrossed version of the bill (Exhibit C) which include those amendments.

- Explains the amendments.

064 CHAIR COHEN: Have everyone had the opportunity to see these amendments?

066 SWENSON: Did present them to the Juvenile Court Directors Association, Judge Herrell, and the Juvenile Rights Project.

071 CHAIR COHEN: Invites comments.

073 MOTION: Sen. Springer: Moves the amendments.

074 CHAIR COHEN: Calls for objections; hearing none, so ordered; Sens. Hill and Bunn excused.

076 MOTION: Sen. Springer moves SB 342 as amended to the floor with a "do pass" recommendation.

078 VOTE: Motion passes unanimously; Sens. Hill and Bunn excused.

SB 569

096 THE HONORABLE STEPHEN HERRELL, CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE: Supports the bill (Exhibit D). How much discussion do you want?

102 CHAIR COHEN: The members are not familiar with the bill.

107 HERRELL: The bill would officially establish a project to look at entire court system as it deals with families. Cites progress the project has already made. Will have work product ready for the 1993 session. The bill provides for spending limitation of \$225,000 for two years. Cites applications made for grants and identifies other potential funding sources. Sensitive to turf issues. Proposes amendments to the bill.

212 SEN. SHOEMAKER: What size of a body do you envisage?

217 HERRELL: We want it large, but we want the work groups of manageable size. Discusses current size of steering committee and the work groups.

224 MARILYN HARBUR, JUVENILE AND FAMILY JUSTICE PROJECT: We now have thirty people; the number of people we will contact just through public hearings and for input will be far greater.

233 SEN. SHOEMAKER: The people you contact for information are not necessarily part of that committee?

235 HARBUR: No. They may attend meetings from time to time and provide written materials, but would not be those we have to notify for each meeting.

238 SEN. SHOEMAKER: So you're thinking of a committee of 80 to 100?

239 HERRELL: There's some value in giving people a title, but the work is going to have to be done by a smaller group. We're at such an early stage that it's difficult to tell what the optimum size of the committee is.

247 CHAIR COHEN: Maybe have a core appointed by the Law Improvement Committee to get started; envision that, as needed, you may end up with work groups with subcommittees that will come back with recommendations.

- Need to determine how to interface this with what the Governor plans to do.

292 HERRELL: Offers another amendment.

309 SEN. SPRINGER: Who are the people working on the steering committee?

313 HERRELL: Names the steering committee.

327 SEN. SPRINGER: Wants copy of list of the thirty now involved.

329 CHAIR COHEN: How far along are you with money?

334 HARBUR: Discusses money already raised and potential sources for funding.

354 CHAIR COHEN: Thanks witnesses.

363 GLORIA LEWTON, CLACKAMAS COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES: If laundry list of participants kept in bill, then Oregon Community Children and Youth Services Commission should be added (Exhibit E).

371 CHAIR COHEN: Their participation needs to be there. Explains why.

- Do you see this as being able to work in tandem with the work that's going on?

381 LEWTON: Yes.

383 CHAIR COHEN: What is your perception of what needs to be done as to this kind of an effort?

389 LEWTON: Primarily, to recognize that local commissions are actively involved in trying to coordinate all issues that involve juvenile services. Need to make sure that any committee or commission like this includes a group that's already trying to do this in the larger scope.

395 CHAIR COHEN: Need to be made clear that this nucleus of people

would use what's going on out there, solicit from each local area those issues you've discovered could be improved on in the statute, solicit information, and ask the local groups to respond.

432 LEWTON: I agree.

433 CHAIR COHEN: You think people will be interested out in the communities?

435 LEWTON: Absolutely.

439 CHAIR COHEN: Thanks witness.

SB 980

468 LARRY OGLESB Y, OREGON JUVENILE DEPARTMENT DIRECTORS ASSOCIATION:
The bill restores a notice requirement for detention hearings which was left out of rewrite of the detention statute last session.

- Because of restructuring of the section itself, we have required the notice only for 10 day review hearings. Intended to have technical amendment.

496 CHAIR COHEN: And we don't have those ready to go yet, do we?

497 SWENSON: No.

TAPE 94, SIDE A

042 CHAIR COHEN: We'll need amendments from Legislative Counsel.

- We'll hold this over until we get the amendments.

SB 982

048 OGLESB Y: Introduces Steve Carmichael.

052 STEVE CARMICHAEL, OREGON JUVENILE DEPARTMENT DIRECTORS ASSOCIATION:
There is no law in Oregon for the research of juvenile records. This law based on one in Washington.

- Another provision would indicate that juvenile departments may release information to clinics, hospitals, and agencies that have the child under care or treatment.

072 CHAIR COHEN: That might cause a little question.

- At the present, can the defendant refuse to allow medical information to be transmitted? Is that done regularly and is that a problem?

076 CARMICHAEL: They can. It does not happen very often.

080 OGLESB Y: That's seldom a problem.

083 CHAIR COHEN: Should there be some notice that's going to be given if we

automatically allow it?

084 CARMICHAEL: That's a possibility.

085 CHAIR COHEN: You're just likely to pass on that stuff whether its

needed or not. What we're authorizing here is that it goes with the kid; that's how you can do it, right?

088 CARMICHAEL: That's correct.

089 CHAIR COHEN: And which there's no obligation to keep that part of it confidential within a hospital setting or a medical client setting.

095 CARMICHAEL: Did receive a call yesterday from the Division of Mental Health in which they expressed some concern about that.

- Could argue, for a juvenile offender, that you want all that information to move forward.

- It's a balance between the privacy of the individual and the desirability to have full information about a juvenile offender with the organization that's providing treatment.

105 CHAIR COHEN: There has been some concern about not being quite so cavalier about information that is personal and private. Might want to think about amending something.

- There hasn't been a problem?

118 OGLESB Y: That's correct.

- If it did occur, the court could be asked to direct the records to be sent.

126 CHAIR COHEN: Right now, how do you do it?

129 OGLESB Y: We have the sign off by the child and parent.

132 SEN. SHOEMAKER: How many of these kids are represented by counsel at this point?

134 CARMICHAEL: In our department, they all are.

135 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Virtually . . .

136 CARMICHAEL: That's in Lane County.

137 OGLESB Y: It varies from county to county.

140 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Should we consider some measure of protection when there's no advocate for the child? Explains concern.

149 CARMICHAEL: If the judge made that decision, that would probably balance those considerations.

152 SEN. SHOEMAKER: But the judge or director of juvenile department does have to make that decision.

153 CARMICHAEL: Under this provision, the director of the juvenile department would. That's appropriate for research, but perhaps we should change it to the judge for admission to a clinic or hospital.

159 SEN. HILL: For the research projects, do they necessarily want to know the name of the individual?

163 CARMICHAEL: For almost all studies, they'll just want the statistical data. But they often need to see them or want to look at a

particular child.

175 SEN. SHILL: Do the researchers also have to sign some sort of confidentiality agreement?

179 CARMICHAEL: Right. Discusses bill's requirements.

183 CHAIR COHEN: We have a concern about the automatic release without any kind of signoff on the basis of individual parent and/or child for the clinic side of things.

- If it hasn't been a problem to-date, not sure want to embark on something. Will want some amendments if we include the clinic and agencies.

196 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Heard agreement that, before records go to clinic, hospital, agency, the court would approve that.

202 CHAIR COHEN: Want to make sure that we're not doing a greater disservice than what we have now. Describes current situation.

- If you have trouble signing, think you would go to court anyway.

216 CARMICHAEL: Absolutely.

217 CHAIR COHEN: Right now, if the guardian or the minor refuses, they're going to go to the court to ask them to release.

- Asks Ingrid Swenson to check if the court can order the release of information.

223 SEN. SHOEMAKER: My concern was just to those cases where you have a child that's unrepresented by counsel and the family is not sufficiently on top of the situation.

227 CHAIR COHEN: That's another piece of that.

229 SEN. SPRINGER: Did we get anything in writing stating what the need for this was?

231 SWENSON: No.

233 SEN. SPRINGER: Would a group like Crime Victims United be an agency or individual engaged in legitimate research?

238 CARMICHAEL: Under the bill, that would be up to the department to decide. That would be based on the research design, intent, purpose, and the whole statement made around that.

241 SEN. SPRINGER: It's going to take a little bit more to persuade me.

244 SEN. HAMBY: Is there a protocol? Used to be a strict protocol in any research identifying human subjects. You do not abide by it because this does not involve federal funds, is that correct?

252 CHAIR COHEN: They're not doing fiscal research on human subjects.

254 SEN. HAMBY: Looking at the data.

255 CARMICHAEL: We wouldn't be doing the research. Information criteria must be met by recipient of information, but that does not speak to the release of information from us.

263 SEN. HAMBY: My concern parallels that of Sen. Springer.

267 CARMICHAEL: What more information would be helpful to you?

269 SEN. SPRINGER: Why do we need this; in writing.

273 CARMICHAEL: Would be glad to write something up.

SB 537

283 CHAIR COHEN: We have written testimony (Exhibits F and G); any issues involved can be taken up with SB 1117.

SB 1117

311 PAUL SNIDER, ASSOCIATION OF OREGON COUNTIES: Introduces other witnesses. Explains history of SB 730, which is in that Senate Human Resources Committee, and SB 1117.

- SB 1117 deals with the question of what we do once the services are available.

- What do we do with that segment of runaway and homeless youth who need the services but refuse to accept them? SB 1117 is intended to dovetail with SB 730. Explains SB 1117 and the amendments (Exhibit H).

- Secure shelter care, rather than detention, is what is defined here (Exhibit I).

414 SEN. HILL: What have they done?

416 SNIDER: Usually, they've run away. May involve some law violations. They're kids who've runaway from a potentially dangerous setting and have done so for good reason.

432 SEN. HILL: Concerned about putting them into secure shelter care just because they're runaways.

439 HERRELL: This is a highly complex issue; very easy to get sidetracked into the area of punishing kids for their status. We're talking about the highest risk kids who are not amenable to short term voluntary services that are available. We can either leave them in the streets or take control of them. There's a role for taking control of a kid to save their life. If they won't stay put, then will have to put them where they have to stay put.

TAPE 93, SIDE B

024 HERRELL: Shouldn't lock them up in detention centers. This bill will provide for 24 hour awake staff; can't put a lock on the door.

038 SEN. HILL: If these people refuse to go into some place else voluntarily?

042 BOB DONOUGH, TRI-COUNTY YOUTH SERVICES CONSORTIUM: The problem is that we have juveniles who are running away for good reason, but where they're running to doesn't make us feel very good. Have attempted to place them into voluntary shelter care and they just turn around and run. Working very hard to come up with alternatives to secured detention (Exhibit J) and is succeeding for most of them. For a very

small percentage, need one more element of care.

056 SEN. HILL: How long can they be kept in this kind of place?

057 CHAIR COHEN: There's a blank here.

058 SNIDER: It's been filled in; maximum of thirty days with a right to review every ten days.

060 SEN. HILL: Ten day right of review?

061 SNIDER: Yes.

062 SEN. HILL: But at the end of thirty though O.K.

063 SEN. SHOEMAKER: The behavior that you want to be able to remove the child from would be a crime if committed by an adult, right?

067 DONOUGH: That's true; you're assuming that the police are going to pick them up for it.

068 SEN. SHOEMAKER: The conduct would be crime if committed by an adult. Yet, you say that you won't put a child in these facilities unless he would be there for a reason other than having committed an act that would be a crime if committed by an adult. Seems to be inconsistent.

072 HERRELL: The juvenile justice system does not effectively deal with these kids for their law violations.

075 SEN. SHOEMAKER: You're not, by this bill, allowing yourself to put that child into the facility.

077 HERRELL: That's not what's intended.

079 SEN. SHOEMAKER: You've got it twice; in line 22 and in line 14 of the amendment.

082 HERRELL: The key is that the reason for the juvenile court jurisdiction is not the law violation, but the child's own conditions and circumstances.

084 SEN. SHOEMAKER: And then it says . . .

085 CHAIR COHEN: They're standard definitions.

086 SEN. SHOEMAKER: So the behavior can be criminal, but that's not why the child is in the jurisdiction of the . . .

087 HERRELL: That's it.

088 SEN. HAMBY: That was the one question . . .

089 CHAIR COHEN: I'd like to follow up. Assuming that you have a law violator that you can prosecute, you should be bringing them to the juvenile court on the basis of their law violations, you're not going to fill up this bed space with that kind of individual; they're going to go through the juvenile court system and be placed in detention.

096 HERRELL: These are the same kids; it's just a question of whether they've been arrested and charged with a crime or not. A lot of them are committing crimes to survive. Wish we had these kind of facilities

for violators as well; a lot of them do not belong in detention centers.

- Thinks this bill is drafted in such a way as not to co-mingle them.

- Maybe we ought to co-mingle them at some level.

109 SEN. HAMBY: Regarding the secured shelter care, if I'm a juvenile and want to run, what are you going to do to keep me there?

118 DONOUGH: If you have sufficient eye ball contact on the kid at all times, they'll stay put. One awake staff person not enough. Need sufficient client-staff ratio.

127 SEN. HAMBY: I hear one on one.

128 DONOUGH: Probably close to one on one.

132 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Think you have an inconsistency between line 22 and lines 13-14 of the amendment.

142 DONOUGH: Yes, it is inconsistent.

- This needs to get fixed. Explains why language about felons is included.

157 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Probably want to move up to felony on line 22.

159 SEN. HILL: Within this 30 day period, what do you do for them and what happens after that?

164 SNIDER: Provide all the programs and services that were the necessary prerequisites for the court to hold them in the first place. Want to get the child's attention long enough to bring an understanding that the services are necessary and desirable, but not to hold the child for such a long period of time that somehow that purpose gets frustrated.

180 DONOUGH: Have two other amendments. A word needs to be changed in line 28.

186 SWENSON: That is included in the dash one amendments.

187 DONOUGH: Services not consistently available throughout the state and rarely are they adequate to meet the needs of the juveniles that we're trying to serve. Asked for \$3 million last session to provide additional services for the population; the legislature appropriated \$ 1/2 million. We're concerned about continuing to use those funds for the voluntary services and not to fund the secure shelter; we need the voluntary services in place first. Want language that effect.

- While this gives permission to counties to put into place secure shelter care programs, it does not provide the funding to do so; they're not cheap.

218 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Regarding the last line of the amendment, do you mean that you can't even lock the front door?

223 HERRELL: Can't be locked in. Cites federal law.

228 SEN. HAMBY: Shares information about upcoming presentation on the correlation between lithium and runaway youth.

306 DONOUGH: You know what they called people in the fifties who wanted to put fluoride in the water.

308 CHAIR COHEN: Invites more witnesses to testify.

317 OGLESB Y: Supports the bill. There's clearly a need. This will help us help them.

327 CHAIR COHEN: What about the barrier against co-mingling? Would you endorse that?

334 OGLESB Y: Yes; we would support that.

- Difficult to get any agreement about status offenders, but we all agree that they should not be locked up in a formal detention setting and that they should not be co-mingled with delinquent youth.

344 CHAIR COHEN: So that's why you have a felon prohibition; O.K.

348 CRAIG CHRISTIANSEN, J BAR J YOUTH SERVICES: Concerned about the bill. Paraphrases Exhibit K.

453 SEN. SHOEMAKER: The typical kid that's in this program is a runaway. Is that more apt to be a minority kid?

464 CHRISTIANSEN: I believe that it is more gender specific.

468 SEN. SHOEMAKER: More apt to be a girl. Is it more apt to be a white girl?

470 CHRISTIANSEN: Certainly, in terms of total numbers.

472 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Questioning whether this particular group of kids is apt to be heavy in the minority end.

TAPE 94, SIDE B

028 CHRISTIANSEN: Can't answer that question.

- Returns to paraphrasing Exhibit K.

051 SEN. HAMBY: You apparently recognize and support the Illinois model; have you visited the Illinois project?

054 CHRISTIANSEN: I'm familiar with it.

055 SEN. HAMBY: Why don't they work regarding the issue you were just speaking of?

057 GINA WOOD, CHILDREN AND YOUTH SERVICES COMMISSION: The status offender work group had representation from Illinois providing technical assistance. Their project has worked.

070 SEN. HAMBY: Could your reference the comment by Mr. Christiansen that the word would get around against dropping into the voluntary shelters. What is Illinois doing about that?

073 WOOD: Illinois does not use the secured shelter concept. Explains what they do.

084 CHAIR COHEN: Is 730 sort of marching down towards Illinois?

085 WOOD: Exactly. That's part of what we attempted to do with the \$500,000 that was referenced earlier.

- Have identified minimum service standards that we felt would be the beginning of developing a system that would address this population of kids. Discusses Illinois project.

098 SEN. HAMBY: Is there a successful model anywhere in the United States similar to what is modeled in this bill?

100 HERRELL: Doesn't know of one. Not everybody in Illinois is ecstatic about their system.

109 SEN. HILL: If we don't do something like what the proponents of the bill are talking about, then what do we do with the kids with no base in the community?

123 WOOD: We need to recognize that these kids are not being addressed adequately in any fashion.

137 CHRISTIANSEN: It's more of concern than opposition; it's a bit premature. Our thrust is to focus on SB 730.

147 CHAIR COHEN: Wants to remind the committee that I'm going to visiting around with some Ways and Means priorities next week.

156 CHRISTIANSEN: Finishes paraphrasing Exhibit K.

197 CHAIR COHEN: Wants to get to SB 943; we'll proceed with the witnesses for that bill.

202 HERRELL: Whether its premature or not, if its a good idea, we ought to do it. Nothing is going to happen until the funding is in place anyway.

207 CHAIR COHEN: Let's go to SB 943; we'll still come back to the people who are signed up for SB 1117.

SB 943

216 CHAIR COHEN: This bill is at the request of CSD.

218 DIANA ROBERTS, CHILDREN SERVICES DIVISION: The bill is to establish a local procedure for reviewing suspicious child deaths. Paraphrases Exhibit L.

286 CHAIR COHEN: Asks witness to speed up testimony.

287 ROBERTS: Finishes paraphrasing Exhibit L. Encourages committee to read Exhibit M.

298 DEBORAH WILSON, DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE: Supports the bill. Discusses the amendment and hand engrossed copy of the bill contained in back of Exhibit N and in Exhibit O. Paraphrases testimony continued in Exhibit N.

332 CHAIR COHEN: Invites Helen Smith and Grant Higginson to testify.

339 HELEN SMITH, MULTNOMAH COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE: This is just an extension of our already existing multi-disciplinary team. Reviews the work of the team.

368 CHAIR COHEN: You don't see this as a huge addition.

369 SMITH: No. This is a natural extension to make the process whole.

374 GRANT HIGGINSON, STATE HEALTH DIVISION: Paraphrases Exhibit P. This would not represent a lot of extra work.

SB 1117

416 CHAIR COHEN: Let's go back to SB 1117.

422 MURIEL GOLDMAN, WORKGROUP ON RUNAWAY AND HOMELESS YOUTH: Supports the bill subject to the adoption of the amendments (Exhibit H) offered. Paraphrases Exhibit Q.

TAPE 95, SIDE A

002 GOLDMAN: Continues paraphrasing Exhibit Q. Would oppose this bill if status offenders were allowed to be placed back into detention. Need SB 730 to be enacted.

049 CHAIR COHEN: Where is 790 now?

050 GOLDMAN: What?

051 SWENSON: In Human Resources.

052 GOLDMAN: 730 is in Human Resources, but hasn't been heard yet.

- Mr. Christiansen expressed concerns about the State Commission setting criteria; when created, it was given authority to establish standards and criteria. They always bring in professionals who have experienced in the area to advise them. I have no problem with them developing criteria for staff secure shelter.

- Refers to recommendations from the National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges contained in Exhibit Q.

068 CHAIR COHEN: Thanks witness for her views and hard work.

073 TOM ENGLISH, OREGON COUNCIL ON CRIME AND DELINQUENCY: There are a group of youth that require intervention. Been careful to craft intervention with a lot of safeguards. This bill, with the amendments (Exhibit H), would not come into violation of the JDPA. Further protection in that the Juvenile Justice Advisory Committee is required to monitor this activity.

102 CHAIR COHEN: With respect to the compliance issue, if you just have it on the books and haven't implemented it because you haven't got 730 going, are you in compliance?

109 ENGLISH: We are in compliance. Explains what it would take to be out of compliance. We don't need a delay on this.

120 SEN. HILL: Is 730 essential for the passage of this bill?

123 GOLDMAN: The two go together. Describes what will happen without the ability to provide the resources.

141 SEN. HILL: As a practical matter, if 730 doesn't move, do you still want us to move on 1117?

146 GOLDMAN: Yes, but will argue in the other committee for passage of that.

147 ENGLISH: The bills are tied together in resources, but this bill stands on its own and can do what it needs to do. Urges help in passing the other bill.

155 GOLDMAN: It could serve as an incentive to pass the other bill.

156 CHAIR COHEN: Thanks the witnesses.

- Adjourns the meeting at 3:13 p.m.

Submitted by:

Reviewed by:

Mark Thorburn

Committee Counsel

Ingrid Swenson Committee Assistant

EXHIBIT LOG:

A - Amendments to SB 1008 - Committee Staff - 1 page
B - Amendments to SB 342 - Committee Staff - 1 page C - Hand
Engrossed Version of SB 342 - Committee Staff - 4 pages D - Letter
re: SB 569 - Judge Stephen Herrell - 4 pages E - Letter re: SB 569
- Gloria Lewton - 1 page F - Testimony on SB 537 - Jean Blackmore
and Shirley Trimble - 1 page G - Testimony on SB 537 - William
Carey - 2 pages H - Amendments to SB 1117 - Paul Snider - 2 pages
I - Copy of Federal Regulations - Committee Staff - 3 pages
J - "Oregon Runaway and Homeless Youth Project" re: SB 1117 - Bob
Donough - 24 pages K - Testimony on SB 1117 - Craig Christiansen -
4 pages L - Testimony on SB 943 - Diana Roberts - 2 pages
M - "A Report of Oregon Child Fatalities Due to Abuse and Neglect
1985 - 198 9" re: SB 943 - Diana Roberts - 44 pages N - Testimony
on SB 943 - Deborah Wilson - 7 pages O - Amendments to SB 943 -
Deborah Wilson - 1 page P - Testimony on SB 943 - Grant Higginson
- 2 pages Q - Testimony on SB 1117 - Muriel Goldman - 4 pages

EXHIBITS DISTRIBUTED BUT NOT REFERRED TO DURING HEARING:

R - Letter re: SB 1008 - Rodney Page - 1 page S - Memo re:
SB 943 - Janet Wallinder - 1 page T - Testimony on SB 1117 -
Ginger Baggett - 5 pages U - Testimony on SB 1117 - Jean Blackmore
and Shirley Trimble - 1 page