Senate Committee on Judiciary May 20, 1991 - Page

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks

report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

Measures Heard HB 2407, PH HB 2413, PH HB 2395, PH HB 2415, PH HB 2412, PH HB 2411, PH

SENATE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

May 20, 1991Hearing Room C 1:00 p.m. Tapes 170 - 173

MEMBERS PRESENT:Sen. Joyce Cohen, Chair Sen. Jim Hill, Vice-Chair Sen. Peter Brockman Sen. Jim Bunn Sen. Jeannette Hamby Sen. Bob Shoemaker Sen. Dick Springer

STAFF PRESENT: Ingrid Swenson, Committee Counsel Kate Wrightson, Committee Assistant

WITNESSES:

Rep. Peter Courtney Vern Faatz, Board of Parole and Post-Prison Supervision Mary Hoyt, Task Force on Sex Abuse Against Children Ross Shepard, Oregon Criminal Defense Lawyers Association Elyse Clawson, Department of Corrections Beverly Wright, Citizen Don Welch, Clackamas County Juvenile Department Mark McDonald, Oregon District Attorneys Association Robert Coons, Crime Victims United Chris Gardner, Oregon District Attorneys Association Mark Blackman, American Civil Liberties Union Paul Drews, Childrens Services Division Deborah Wilson, Office of the Attorney General Debbie X., Citizen Billie Burnett, Citizen

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 168, SIDE A

002 CHAIR COHEN: Calls hearing to order at 1:12 p.m.

HB 2407, EXTENDS PERIOD OF TIME THAT SEX OFFENDERS MUST REGISTER WITH PAROLE OFFICE OR PROBATION DEPARTMENT, PUBLIC HEARING

020 REPRESENTATIVE PETER COURTNEY: Submits and reviews written testimony (Exhibit A).

129 CHAIR COHEN: Why are offenders given a different ID number under this bill? Why can't you use their SID number?

140 VERN FAATZ, BOARD OF PAROLE & POST-PRISON SUPERVISION: I don't know. We would prefer to use the SID number, as well.

158 MARY HOYT, CHAIR, TASK FORCE ON SEX ABUSE AGAINST CHILDREN: Reviews

- history of bills and of task force. -We only focused on juvenile sex offenders and on victims.
- 224 FAATZ: Submits and reviews written testimony (Exhibit B).
- 263 SEN. HAMBY: Is the victim currently given an ID number?
- 265 SWENSON: No.
- 267 ROSS SHEPARD, OREGON CRIMINAL DEFENSE LAWYERS ASSOCIATION: We support the bill because it provides for judicial review after a certain period of time.
- 276 SEN. SHOEMAKER: I see that transporting child pornography has been added to sex crimes. Do we have a definition of pornography?
- 284 SHEPARD: That alludes to a federal offense.
- 293 SWENSON: This refers to ORS sections on pronography.
- 297 SEN. SHOEMAKER: I see that "compelling and promoting prostitution" has also been added. Is that really a sex crime?
- 303 SHEPARD: It is probably on the fringes, but it is appropriately included here.
- 307 ELYSE CLAWSON, DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS: Submits and summarizes written testimony and proposed amendments (Exhibit C). -Line 8 should say "from," not "on," active parole. -Page 3, line 7, currently says "no soon than 10 years after date of conviction." It should say "10 years after date of termination of supervision," because we have inmates who would qualify for such registration.
- 335 SHEPARD: This might push the limit to 20 years after conviction; that is unnecessary.
- 345 BEVERLY WRIGHT, CITIZEN: Testifies, as former victim of child abuse, in favor of bill.
- 383 CHAIR COHEN: Is the toll-free number a good idea?
- 394 WRIGHT: If children knew how to use it, yes. A safe place for them to go would also be a good idea.
- TAPE 171, SIDE A
- HB 2413, CHANGES MAXIMUM LENGTH OF TIME FOR INFORMAL DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE MATTERS FROM SIX MONTHS TO FIVE YEARS, PUBLIC HEARING
- 027 HOYT: Reviews history of bill.
- 038 DON WELCH, CLACKAMAS COUNTY JUVENILE DEPARTMENT: Submits and summarizes written testimony (Exhibit D).
- 131 MARK MCDONALD, OREGON DISTRICT ATTORNEYS ASSOCIATION: Testifies in opposition to bill. -Informal dispositions were designed for relatively minor offenses; they are inappropriate treatment for sex offenses.
- 203 CHAIR COHEN: What do you want us to do?
- 204 MCDONALD: Table the bill, or limit it to non-person felonies.
- 208 CHAIR COHEN: There are no sentencing guidelines in juvenile court.

- 209 MCDONALD: Use the adult definitions.
- 212 CHAIR COHEN: How should we deal with these juveniles?
- 225 MCDONALD: Perhaps they cannot be rehabilitated. Adjudication is necessary.
- 322 ROBERT COONS, CRIME VICTIMS UNITED: Testifies in opposition to bill.
- 386 SHEPARD: Informal disposition is a valuable tool in the juvenile setting, but there needs to be a distinction between sex and non-sex crimes.
- 406 SEN. HILL: Why?
- 408 SHEPARD: Treatment takes longer for sex offenders.
- 418 SEN. HILL: Do you think formal charges make treatment more successful?
- 424 SHEPARD: I don't know if that is true.
- 431 SEN. SHOEMAKER: I'd like to hear from the proponents about informal disposition not involving an admission of wrongdoing. I think that is a problem.
- TAPE 170, SIDE B
- 006 WELCH: The limitations of the agreement are such that a child does not have to acknowledge guilt.
- 010 SEN. SHOEMAKER: I understood the witness to say that the child was not permitted to acknowledge guilt.
- 011 WELCH: An admission cannot be required by the court.
- 067 SEN. $\mbox{HILL:}\ \mbox{I would like to know what is considered successful treatment.}$
- HB 2395, RELATING TO HEARSAY IN CERTAIN PROCEEDINGS, PUBLIC HEARING
- 106 CHRIS GARDNER, OREGON DISTRICT ATTORNEYS ASSOCIATION: Submits and summarizes written testimony (Exhibit E).
- 133 CHAIR COHEN: What is the age limitation?
- 134 GARDNER: There would be no limitation. -Continues to summarize testimony.
- 204 CHAIR COHEN: What do you want us to do?
- 213 GARDNER: The bill is fine, though line 6 of page 3 may be too broad. Line 7 should be amended to read "at issue" and make it clear that hearsay exceptions apply to the conduct at issue.
- 234 CHAIR COHEN: Why is this bill here?
- 236 GARDNER: There is a huge societal cost. Abuse is a formula for failure. -Continues to review testimony.
- 362 SWENSON: How do you view the Oregon constitutional requirement for

- face-to-face confrontation, in regards to videotaped testimony?
- 370 GARDNER: I am aware of no instance where that clause has been interpreted differently than the federal confrontation clause.
- 404 SHEPARD: I am concerned that line 6, on page 3, does not allow proof of other crimes. If the bill is amended to address that problem, then I have no problem with the bill.
- TAPE 171, SIDE B
- 001 SEN. SHOEMAKER: The bill refers to a claim made by "the witness." Is that necessarily the victim?
- 004 SHEPARD: It could be any witness.
- 008 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Why not "the victim"?
- 009 SHEPARD: It would take it out of the spirit of civil litigation.
- 012 GARDNER: That could refer to the victim of another crime.
- 033 MARK BLACKMAN, AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION: Testifies in opposition to bill.
- 174 PAUL DREWS, CHILDRENS SERVICES DIVISION: Testifies in support of bill. -Submits and summarizes written testimony (Exhibit F).
- 217 WRIGHT: Testifies in opposition to bill. -The accused should be removed from the courtroom, not the child.
- 290 SEN. HILL: What about the other parent?
- 292 WRIGHT: Often the other parent helps to cover the crime. They should be removed as well, and charged as accessories.
- 372 SEN. SHOEMAKER: How old were you at your trial?
- 373 WRIGHT: I turned my father in when I was 16, and abuse was proven from infancy.
- HB 2415, CHANGES NAME OF CRIME OF SEXUAL PENETRATION WITH FOREIGN OBJECT TO UNLAWFUL SEXUAL PENETRATION, PUBLIC HEARING
- 387 GARDNER: Reviews intended purpose of bill.
- 418 SWENSON: As written, the bill includes any body part other than the penis; thus, it overlaps with sodomy.
- 424 GARDNER: It should refer to any penetration not linked to sodomy or rape statutes.
- TAPE 172, SIDE A
- HB 2412, RELATING TO EXPEDITION OF PROCEEDINGS IN WHICH CHILD OR MEMBER OF CHILD'S FAMILY IS VICTIM, PUBLIC HEARING
- 005 DREWS: Testifies in support of bill. -Submits and summarizes written testimony (Exhibit G). -We support the amendment which the Attorney General's office will propose.
- 024 HOYT: Reviews history of bill.

030 GARDNER: Testifies in favor of bill.

067 SHEPARD: This would cover civil and criminal proceedings, where children are involved either as witnesses or as plaintiffs/defendants. -The bill is too broad; these cases could be put in front of urgent psychological or commitment hearings.

097 DEBORAH WILSON, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL: Testifies in support of

bill. -Termination of parental rights cases under certain statutes are excluded; they have equally compelling reasons for expedition.

121 WRIGHT: Testifies against bill. -If all cases are expedited, children may not have enough time to go through counseling and understand what has happened to them. -Cases of severe abuse should be expedited.

172 GARDNER: I have no objection to fine-tuning the bill, but there is already a period of time between arraignment and trial; I don't think we need to add to that.

192 HOYT: I agree that parental rights cases need priority; I wouldn't be opposed to the bill covering sex abuse only.

HB 2411, EXTENDS STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS FOR CERTAIN SEXUAL OFFENSES INVOLVING CHILD VICTIM, PUBLIC HEARING

217 DEBBIE X., CITIZEN: Testifies in support of bill. -Submits and summarizes written testimony (Exhibit H).

TAPE 173, SIDE A

012 BILLIE BURNETT, CITIZEN: Testifies in support of bill. -Submits and summarizes written testimony (Exhibit I).

035 DREWS: Testifies in support of bill. -Submits and summarizes written testimony (Exhibit J).

058 CHAIR COHEN: Adjourns hearing at 3:42 p.m.

Submitted by: Reviewed by:

Kate Wrightson Ingrid Swenson AssistantCounsel

EXHIBIT LOG:

```
A - Testimony on HB 2407 - Rep. Peter Courtney - 4 pages
B - Testimony on HB 2407 - Vern Faatz - 2 pages
C - Testimony on HB 2407 - Elyse Clawson - 1 page
D - Testimony on HB 2413 - Don Welch - 2 pages E - Testimony
on HB 2395 - Chris Gardner - 2 pages F - Testimony on HB 2395 -
Paul Drews - 1 page G - Testimony on HB 2412 - Paul Drews - 1 page
H - Testimony on HB 2411 - Debbie X. - 7 pages I - Testimony
on HB 2411 - Billie Burnett - 6 pages J - Testimony on HB 2411 -
Paul Drews - 1 page
```

Senate Committee on Judiciary May 20, 1991 - Page

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks

report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

Measures Heard HB 2542, PH HB 2543, PH HB 2544, PH HB 2200, PH & WS HB 2196, PH & WS HB 2203, PH HB 2604, PH HB 2139, WS HB 2384, WS HB 2597, PH

SENATE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

May 20, 1991Hearing Room C 7:00 p.m. Tapes 174 - 177

MEMBERS PRESENT: Sen. Joyce Cohen, Chair Sen. Jim Hill, Vice-Chair Sen. Peter Brockman Sen. Jeannette Hamby Sen. Bob Shoemaker Sen. Dick Springer

MEMBER EXCUSED: Sen. Jim Bunn

STAFF PRESENT: Ingrid Swenson, Committee Counsel Kate Wrightson, Committee Assistant

WITNESSES: Russ Spencer, Oregon State Sheriffs Association Jim McIntyre, Office of the Multnomah County District Attorney Christy Gustafson, Office of the Multnomah County Sheriff Stan Robson, Benton County Sheriff's Department Lee Erickson, Oregon State Police Lloyd Smith, Law Enforcement Data System Elyse Clawson, Department of Corrections Judge Robert Thornton, Court of Appeals Jef van Valkenburgh, Department of Justice John Foote, Department of Corrections Vern Faatz, Board of Parole and Post-Prison Supervision David Fidanque, American Civil Liberties Union Glen Rader, Oregon State Police Larry Kraft, Oregon State Police Mike Hurley, Oregon State Police Crime Laboratory Charles Hayes, Oregon State Police Barbara Stoeffler, Mothers Against Drunk Driving Tony de Lorenzo, Motor Vehicles Division Ray GrimSB o, Intermountain Forensic Laboratories Catherine Otto, Oregon Association of Medical Technology Anthony Taylor, Citizen Rich Brook, Oregon State Police Crime Laboratory Jerry Cooper, Governor's Commission on DUII Bob Kaiser, Oregon Association of Police Associations

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 174, SIDE A

002 CHAIR COHEN: Calls hearing to order at 7:16 p.m.

HB 2542, SEPARATES ELEMENTS OF SEXUAL ABUSE IN 1ST DEGREE TO CREATE

- CRIMES OF SEXUAL ABUSE IN 1ST AND 2ND DEGREES, PUBLIC HEARING
- 012 RUSS SPENCER, OREGON STATE SHERIFFS ASSOCIATION: Testifies in favor of bill.
- 031 JIM MCINTYRE, OFFICE OF THE MULTNOMAH COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY: Reviews intended purpose of bill.
- 127 SWENSON: There is no age defense in Section 3 of the bill, but there is an age defense in Section 1. Why?
- 139 MCINTYRE: Our intent is to focus on pedophiles.
- 168 CHRISTY GUSTAFSON, OFFICE OF MULTNOMAH COUNTY SHERIFF: Supports bill.
- 215 CHAIR COHEN: Is it a good idea to investigate parents?
- 216 GUSTAFSON: Yes.
- 223 STAN ROBSON, BENTON COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT: Supports bill. -There is no longer a bestiality statute, but we are finding several cases of cult abuse. We need this bill to deal with those cases.
- 259 LEE ERICKSON, OREGON STATE POLICE: Testifies in support of bill. -Submits and summarizes written testimony (Exhibit A).
- 306 LLOYD SMITH, LAW ENFORCEMENT DATA SYSTEM: Testifies in support of bill.
- HB 2543, RELATING TO POST-PRISON SUPERVISION FOR PERSON CONVICTED OF SPECIFIED SEXUAL OFFENSES, PUBLIC HEARING
- 329 MCINTYRE: Reviews intended purpose of bill. -Bill will allow long-term monitoring of convicted sex offenders.
- 405 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Is there any provision for reducing the length of supervision, if the offender can demonstrate rehabilitation?
- 410 MCINTYRE: I would have to consult the administrative rules on post-prison supervision.

TAPE 175, SIDE A

- 019 SEN. HILL: Are the resources available to do this?
- 026 MCINTYRE: It's worth every cent.
- 033 SPENCER: The fiscal statement is predicated on the worst possible scenario.
- 067 ELYSE CLAWSON, DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS: Submits and summarizes written testimony (Exhibit B). -This bill has a long-term fiscal impact on the Department.
- 102 SEN. HILL: Do you have the resources you need to do this?
- 103 CLAWSON: The bill does not outline what the supervision should be. It is questionable whether we have what we need to do this, though offenders will receive active supervision. -The costs will increase as

the numbers of supervised offenders increase.

- 115 SEN. HILL: How intensely do you supervise now?
- 117 CLAWSON: It depends on the offender, the offense, risk classification, and our resources. All receive some active supervision.
- 123 SEN. HILL: Do you feel that is adequate?
- 124 CLAWSON: No.
- 128 CHAIR COHEN: What's the supervision ratio, and how often are offenders polygraphed?
- 131 CLAWSON: Offenders are polygraphed either in intensive supervision or in a treatment program which requires polygraphs. -The case load on intensive supervision is 1:30 or 1:35. We would like 1:25.
- 157 ROBSON: Testifies in favor of bill.
- 178 SEN. SHOEMAKER: None of these people are cured?
- 179 ROBSON: Nobody I've ever seen.
- 181 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Does incarceration do any good?
- 182 ROBSON: No.
- 183 SEN. SHOEMAKER: What about supervision?
- 184 ROBSON: It might be more effective.
- HB 2544, ALLOWS PROSECUTION OF FEMALES FOR CRIME OF RAPE, PUBLIC HEARING
- 196 MCINTYRE: Reviews history and intended purpose of bill. -The bill is intended to make rape a gender-neutral crime.
- 237 CHAIR COHEN: Submits written testimony from the Women's Rights Coalition (Exhibit C).
- 239 GUSTAFSON: Testifies in support of bill.
- 282 ROBSON: Testifies in support of bill.
- 299 JUDGE ROBERT THORNTON, COURT OF APPEALS: Testifies in support of bill. Submits and summarizes written testimony (Exhibits D, E, F, G, & $\rm H$).
- TAPE 174, SIDE B
- 001 THORNTON: Continues testimony.
- HB 2200, RELATING TO DISPOSITION OF PROPERTY SEIZED DURING SEARCH OR ARREST OF SUSPECTED PAROLE VIOLATOR, PUBLIC HEARING & WORK SESSION
- 052 CLAWSON: Submits and summarizes written testimony (Exhibit I).
- 069 JEF VAN VALKENBURGH, DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE: Reviews history and intended purpose of bill.

- 084 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Does this happen in other states?
- 085 VAN VALKENBURGH: I don't know.
- 086 SEN. SHOEMAKER: It seems sensible.
- 087 SEN. HILL: Moves HB 2200 to the floor with a "do pass" recommendation.
- 092 MOTION CARRIES UNANIMOUSLY, WITH SENATORS BUNN, HAMBY, AND SPRINGER EXCUSED.
- HB 2196, MODIFIES METHOD OF ESTABLISHING RESIDENCY FOR RELEASE OF PAROLEES, PUBLIC HEARING & WORK SESSION
- 097 CLAWSON: Submits and summarizes written testimony (Exhibit J).
- 113 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Moves HB 2196 to the floor with a "do pass" recommendation.
- 117 MOTION CARRIES UNANIMOUSLY, WITH SENATORS BUNN, HAMBY, AND SPRINGER EXCUSED.
- HB 2203, EXPANDS DEFINITION OF CONTRABAND, PUBLIC HEARING
- 120 JOHN FOOTE, DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS: Submits and summarizes written testimony (Exhibit K).
- 142 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Why is there still a catchall subsection (d)?
- 146 FOOTE: There is no pressing need to specify kinds of contraband.
- 154 SWENSON: The bill refers to monies possessed by an inmate. How is that to be distinguished from funds in an inmate's account?
- 160 FOOTE: That is not the intent.
- HB 2604, RELATING TO SANCTIONS FOR VIOLATIONS OF POST-PRISON SUPERVISION, PUBLIC HEARING
- 200 VERN FAATZ, BOARD OF PAROLE AND POST-PRISON SUPERVISION: Submits and summarizes written testimony (Exhibit L).
- 248 SEN. SPRINGER: Are these hearings now waived, or are they performed routinely?
- 251 FAATZ: We are required to hold hearings on revocations.
- 280 SEN. HILL: What if there was a problem after the case was delegated to a local jurisdiction?
- 284 FAATZ: We are in the process of working this out. It would come back to us.
- 295 CLAWSON: Submits and summarizes written testimony (Exhibit M).
- 320 SEN. HILL: Are resources available?
- 321 CLAWSON: Resources are limited, but this would allow us to begin the process.

332 DAVID FIDANQUE, AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION: The current statute is a hodgepodge. Post-prison supervision hearings cross-reference to the parole hearings process. -ORS 144.108 applies only to recommitment to prison facilities; if the bill is approved, there are other kinds of deprivations of liberty which require Morrissey hearings. This is probably current practice, but we need to fix the statute to reflect those practices. -Bill also deals with defendant's waiving of right to hearing. It should say "knowing waiver."

385 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Isn't a waiver a conscious relinquishment of a known right? That seems redundant.

HB 2139, CREATES CRIME OF GIVING FALSE INFORMATION TO OFFICER ENFORCING WILDLIFE, FISHING, OR BOATING LAWS, PUBLIC HEARING

402 GLEN RADER, OREGON STATE POLICE: Reviews intended purpose of bill.

TAPE 175, SIDE B

009 LARRY KRAFT, FISH & WILDLIFE DIVISION, OREGON STATE POLICE: Testifies in support of bill.

HB 2384, CREATES OFFENSE OF GIVING FALSE INFORMATION ABOUT LIABILITY INSURANCE TO POLICE OFFICER, PUBLIC HEARING

021 SEN. SHOEMAKER: On traffic infractions, the police officer can access the Police computer for license information?

024 RADER: Yes.

025 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Is the officer also able to obtain information on liability insurance?

026 RADER: No. -Explains records kept on liability insurance.

HB 2139, WORK SESSION

054 SWENSON: The parallel traffic infractions are Class A misdemeanors.

056 SEN. SPRINGER: Moves HB 2139 to the floor with a "do pass" recommendation.

061 MOTION CARRIES UNANIMOUSLY, WITH SENATORS BUNN & HAMBY EXCUSED.

HB 2384, WORK SESSION

068 SEN. BROCKMAN: Moves HB 2384 to the floor with a "do pass" recommendation.

074 MOTION CARRIES, WITH SENATORS BROCKMAN, SHOEMAKER, HILL, & COHEN VOTING AYE, SENATOR SPRINGER VOTING NAY, AND SENATORS BUNN & HAMBY EXCUSED.

HB 2597, AUTHORIZES POLICE OFFICER TO REQUEST URINE TEST FROM PERSON ARRESTED FOR DUII TO DETERMINE PRESENCE OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES, PUBLIC HEARING

087 RADER: Submits and summarizes written testimony (Exhibit N).

- 164 SEN. SHOEMAKER: What happens after you take the sample and it tests positive?
- 166 RADER: First, there is a screening process, and then a confirmation test. The results are provided to the court at the time of trial.
- 173 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Is there a level which corresponds to blood alcohol content?
- 179 RADER: The scientific community doesn't have a level in urinalysis which functions like the BAC. The court would have to decide how to weight this evidence.
- 200 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Can you tell how recently the drug was ingested?
- 207 MIKE HURLEY, OREGON STATE POLICE CRIME LABORATORY: We are not able to establish a time period for a positive sample. We can get a range, which may be a period of hours, or it may be longer. This information is used in addition to the officer's observations.
- 226 SEN. HILL: Doesn't marijuana stay in your system longer?
- 230 HURLEY: In small amounts, yes. We should establish certain cutoffs for drug levels.
- 256 SEN. HILL: Do different drugs produce different symptoms?
- 263 CHARLES HAYES, OREGON STATE POLICE: I am one of two drug recognition experts with the State Police. We have undergone extensive training to determine each of 7 categories of drugs, from the symptoms which they produce in the user, and we train other officers in these tests.
- 369 SEN. SHOEMAKER: What about rights of privacy, especially with women?
- 377 HAYES: We use a matron if one is available; we don't request a sample if we cannot ensure privacy.
- 401 SEN. HILL: In the states which allow this, is it a violation if the person refuses to give a sample?
- 405 RADER: Yes.
- 414 SEN. SPRINGER: What is the protocol for the urine test? Is it always done after a breath test?
- 427 RADER: We must have probable cause. Generally, we would require the breath test first; if the person appeared to have used a controlled substance, then we would request a urine test.
- TAPE 176, SIDE A
- 010 SEN. SPRINGER: From this preliminary study, can you estimate how many people are stopped for DUII who also have used controlled substances?
- 015 RADER: 40% of all samples taken on a random basis.
- 061 SWENSON: The bill has no independent requirement for level of

suspicion in requesting a urine sample. Does the officer has total discretion?

 ${\tt 069}$ RADER: It is the same as for breath tests: under arrest for reasonable cause.

 $\tt 083\ FIDANQUE:$ Explains constitutionality of current implied consent statute.

216 BARBARA STOEFFLER, MOTHERS AGAINST DRUNK DRIVING: Submits and summarizes written testimony in support of bill (Exhibit O).

273 TONY DE LORENZO, MOTOR VEHICLES DIVISION: Submits and summarizes written testimony in support of bill (Exhibit P).

286 RAY GRIMSB O, INTERMOUNTAIN FORENSIC LABORATORIES: Submits and summarizes written testimony (Exhibit Q). -Saliva testing more accurately reflects current drug levels.

309 SEN. SHOEMAKER: Are you suggesting saliva tests in addition to urine tests, or as substitutes?

311 GRIMSB O: I am suggesting both. Saliva is more indicative of the current state of intoxication.

321 CATHERINE OTTO, OREGON ASSOCIATION FOR MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY: Submits and summarizes written testimony (Exhibit R).

358 ANTHONY TAYLOR, CITIZEN: Testifies in opposition to bill.

TAPE 177, SIDE A

061 SEN. SPRINGER: Is there really no fiscal impact?

071 RICH BROOK, OREGON STATE POLICE CRIME LABORATORY: We don't think there will be an additional cost.

105 JERRY COOPER, GOVERNOR'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON DUII: Testifies in favor

of bill.

160 BOB KAISER, OREGON COUNCIL OF POLICE ASSOCIATIONS: Testifies in favor of bill.

168 CHAIR COHEN: Adjourns hearing at 9:49 p.m.

Submitted by: Reviewed by:

Kate Wrightson Ingrid Swenson AssistantCounsel

EXHIBIT LOG:

A - Testimony on HB 2542 - Lee Erickson - 4 pages

```
В
                    Testimony on HB 2543 - Elyse Clawson - 1 page
С
                   Testimony on HB 2544 - Chair Cohen - 10 pages
D
                   Testimony on HB 2544 - Judge Robert Thornton - 5 pages
E
                   Testimony on HB 2544 - Judge Robert Thornton - 13 pages
F
                   Testimony on HB 2544 - Judge Robert Thornton - 7 pages
G
                   Testimony on HB 2544 - Judge Robert Thornton - 18 pages
Н
                   Testimony on HB 2544 - Judge Robert Thornton - 45 pages
                Testimony on HB 2200 - Elyse Clawson - 1 page
Testimony on HB 2196 - Elyse Clawson - 1 page
Testimony on HB 2203 - John Foote - 9 pages
Testimony on HB 2604 - Vern Faatz - 3 pages
Testimony on HB 2604 - Elyse Clawson - 1 page
Testimony on HB 2597 - Glen Rader - 5 pages
I
                   Testimony on HB 2200 - Elyse Clawson - 1 page
J
K
L
M
Ν
                 Testimony on HB 2597 - Barbara Stoeffler - 1 page
0
                  Testimony on HB 2597 - Tony de Lorenzo - 1 page
Ρ
Q
                   Testimony on HB 2597 - Ray GrimSB o - 21 pages
R
                   Testimony on HB 2597 - Catherine Otto - 1 page
```