Senate Redistricting April 30, 1991 Page These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks

report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

SENATE COMMITTEE ON REDISTRICTING

April 30, 1991Hearing Room "B" 3:00 p.m. Tapes 39 - 40

MEMBERS PRESENT:Sen. Glenn Otto, Chair Sen. Dick Springer, Vice-Chair Sen. Bill Bradbury Sen. John Brenneman Sen. Jim Bunn Sen. Scott Duff Sen. Mae Yih

STAFF PRESENT: Gail Ryder, Senior Committee Administrator John Houser, Committee Administrator Joan Green, Committee Assistant

MEASURES CONSIDERED:

SB 1000 - Relating to redistricting,

WS

These minutes contain materials which paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this session. Only text enclosed in quotation marks report a speaker's exact words. For complete contents of the proceedings, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE 39, SIDE A

005 CHAIR OTTO: Called the meeting to order at 3:16 p.m.

(TAPE 39, SIDE A)

WORK SESSION

SB 1000 RELATING TO REDISTRICTING

Witnesses:Gail Ryder, Senior Administrator, Senate Committee on Redistricting Tim Josi, State Representative, District 3 Allen Harper, Legislative Aide to Sen. J. Hill Don Distad, Lincoln County Resident Carolyn Oakley, State Representative, District 36 Cliff Trow, State Senator, District 18 Ray Baum, State Representative, District 58 Jerry Barnes, State Representative, District 52

006 OTTO: "What's on the agenda Gail?"

007 GAIL RYDER, SENIOR ADMINISTRATOR, SENATE COMMITTEE ON REDISTRICTING: "Mr. Chairman what you see in front of you are a series of amendments that have been submitted to committee staff, as of noon yesterday. Visually what you have on the left hand side of each of these large boards is the amendment that is being proposed, the right hand side is a copy of the area, as it would appear in the Committee Plan, (Exhibits J. X). You also have xeroxed copies of those pictures in front of you, (Exhibit C), although they don't xerox very well, so you may want to get up and go look around. If you like I can go through briefly what each of the amendments does. And then there are a number of people in the audience that would like to testify on various amendments."

017 OTTO: "Alright, go ahead."

018 RYDER: "What we did was organize them by regions, the first set of amendments are numbered within the Tri-County region." Reviews amendments beginning with Tri-County #1, Exhibit A.

042 BUNN: "Gail, what was the Hispanic breakdown of the other half of the senate district?" $\,$

043 RYDER: "It was below 10% Senator, that's why it isn't (listed), we only listed those that were above 10%."

046 RYDER: "If the senate district was above 10% we listed it. So we did add the two house districts together and it made the senate district below 10%." Continues with discussion of proposed amendments beginning with pg. 2, Tri-County Amendment #3, Exhibit A. "Tri- County Amendment #4, which was submitted by Sen. Bill Kennemer, I think I'll leave for the moment and let Sen. Bunn explain that. You have a series of six photographs over there, two of each county that show the changes and the existing." Continues with discussion of proposed amendments beginning with pg. 3, Tri-County Amendment #5, Exhibit A. "This would allow Rep. Rijken to have a larger Indian population within her district. Coastal Amendment #3 was submitted by Sen. Jim Bunn as an exchange between House District (HD) 3 and HD 29 and I'll let Sen. Bunn explain that one further for you."

103 BUNN: "As drawn, do you want an explanation now?"

- 104 RYDER: "Do you want to do them now, or do you want to do them all at once?"
- 104 BUNN: "I'll do them later."
- 105 RYDER: "Okay." Continues with discussion of proposed amendments beginning with pg. 7, Mid-Valley Amendment #1, Exhibit A. Notes the deviation variance in the Southern Amendment #2, pg. 9, Exhibit A.
- 163 OTTO: "Sen. Bunn."
- 164 BUNN: "Gail, you mentioned a 2.5, did the committee adopt a 2.5 (unintelligible)?" $\,$
- 165 RYDER: "No, nothing has been adopted yet Senator. It's just the plan was based on a -3 east of the mountains and a +2.5 west of the mountains. I'm just showing that this deviates from that."
- 168 BUNN: "How were the 2 and the 3 deviations determined?"
- 169 RYDER: "How do you mean?"
- 170 BUNN: "You said the plan was based upon a -3 east of the mountains, +2 west of the mountains. How was that determined?"
- 172 RYDER: "You mean why was it determined?"
- 173 BUNN: "Did you just one day decide that that was what you were going to do, or somebody gave you a letter directing you to do that?"
- 174 RYDER: "As we attempted to draw many different versions east of the mountains and tried to keep whole counties together, the -3 deviation seemed to be the one figure that Legislative Counsel said we could defend, that would still maintain the communities of interest within each of the counties, as much as possible, without dividing counties in half."
- 180 BUNN: "Did Legislative Counsel indicate that having a different standard for western Oregon that, than eastern Oregon would be defendable?" $\,$
- 183 RYDER: "I explained that to her (Kathleen Beaufait), she said she believed it would be defendable."
- 184 BUNN: "And were the 2% and 3% levels set before the drawing was undertaken or after the drawing was undertaken?"
- 186 RYDER: "During the process, Senator."
- 188 OTTO: "It was a leadership decision."
- 191 BUNN: "Thank you Mr. Chair."
- 191 RYDER: Continues with discussion of proposed amendments beginning with pg. 9, Southern Amendment #2, Exhibit A. "The final page of this proposed amendment (pg. 12, Exhibit A), is to show the differences in ethnic breakdowns. We only used statistics that were over 10%, and that's what you see here. It shows a breakdown between the Committee Legislative Plan that was unamended as of 04/16, the Senate Minority Office, Senate District Plan, which was unamended as of 04/16 and then the various versions of the amendments. And so you need to check the number of the amendments on the right hand side to show the differences in ethnic population. Also for the record, we have a full packet in front of you that is the complete population breakdowns of every district of, every 90, every one of the 90 districts of the original Committee Plan, (Exhibit B). This was done prior to some cleanup, there was still a necessity to find orphans under county lines, and things like that. So there may be some variations between the final version of that plan and these numbers, but they're very very close."
- 234 BUNN: "Mr. Chair?"
- 234 OTTO: "Sen. Bunn."
- 234 BUNN: "Gail, in dealing with the Mid-Valley Amendment $\sharp 1$, (pg. 7, Exhibit A), you had mentioned that it increased the Hispanic population in Senate District (SD) 15. But apparently it also decreases the Hispanic percentage in House District 28. Did taking in larger portions of Clackamas County or restoring portions of Marion County account for the increased Hispanic population, or do you know which of those?"
- 243 RYDER: "Could you repeat for me where the decrease is, Senator?"
- 244 BUNN: "Okay, looking at the last page (pg. 12, Exhibit A), under HD 28, you show the original Committee Plan had 16.3% Hispanic and then I see under the Mid-Valley #1 Amendment, (pg. 7, Exhibit A) . . ."
- 248 RYDER: "Yes it is a slight decrease."

- 251 BUNN: "Yes, and so as the percentage of the Hispanic community makeup of that district is decreased, I'm wondering how that shift came about. You had earlier stated the overall in the senate district increase, did you check to see if that increase came about through taking in Clackamas County areas or whether it was restoring Marion County portions?"
- 257 RYDER: "I don't know the answer to your question, but I could find out for you."
- 258 BUNN: "Okay. And I also noticed there was a shift from a negative 2,000 to a positive 2,000 roughly, so a shift of about 4,000 voters. Is there a particular reason for that much of a shift?"
- 262 RYDER: "Yes there is. As we were developing this plan it was necessary to divide the state between the Tri-County area and the rest of the state. That was done on two separate files, with two separate staff people working on those areas. And what we discovered on the 16th, when we presented this plan, is that we had additional population that needed to go between the bridges, between the two areas. That's what accounted for it."
- 270 BUNN: "Okay, Mr. Chair, also, Gail had mentioned that I might present information on different plans. I assume that you have written testimony from Sen. Kennemer, Sen. Kintigh and Sen. Hannon, is that not correct?"
- 274 RYDER: "I believe Lester brought some with him. Sen. Kintigh's testimony was received on the 25th and was entered for the record in that meeting."
- 277 BUNN: "Okay, well I did not draw Sen. Kennemer's plan and his testimony, if you don't have it, I'll provide you for the record", (Exhibit E).
- 279 RYDER: "Did you bring it with you? We can send for copies."
- 281 BUNN: "And then dealing specifically with the one amendment that I did propose. I would take the opportunity now to briefly explain that, if that's acceptable?"
- 284 OTTO: "Explain it."
- 285 BUNN: "Under the Senate Democrat Plan, Yamhill County was divided primarily . . . " $\,$
- 286 UNKNOWN: "What (unintelligible) is that?"
- 288 RYDER: (Unintelligible).
- 287 UNKNOWN: "Just so I can look at it."
- 288 BUNN: "I believe it is referred to as Coastal #3", (pg 6, Exhibit A).
- 289 YIH: "Do you have a page number?"
- 290 HOUSER: "Six."
- 293 BUNN: "I would refer you to our maps, but I think our maps are not in the same (unintelligible).
- 293 RYDER: "I think they are."
- 294 BUNN: "Okay."
- 295 RYDER: "They should be. What you have in your map packet (Exhibit C) is the . . ." $\,$
- 297 BUNN: "Well I would refer on mine to the fourth and fifth pages, and they are Coastal Amendment #3, and then immediately behind that, in my packet, is Committee Plan. And the Democrat Plan divided much of Yamhill County along Highway 99 W, which is a definite..., the major transportation route through the county. The problem is, it is also the highway upon which many of the communities are built. And therefore, when you use that highway to split the county in half, you split the communities in half. So you split McMinnville, you split Lafayette, you split Dundee and you split portions of Newberg. And recognizing the shift in population that has happened, the county I think had the fifth largest growth percent, there do have to be some changes, but splitting four communities seemed unacceptable. And so, in the limited time available, the proposal was to restore Newberg to a complete community. And so we have restored Newberg and then, by doing so, shifted an equal amount of population back from one portion of the county to the other, and in essence we are trying to hold Newberg as a community of interest. I believe that the same should be done for Dundee, and yet we didn't have time, with the constraints we've had to deal with that. We may come, apparently we will be given some more time for amendments, so we may come back and propose that Dundee also be held with Newberg, as a community they share telephone service, they share schools. And with the county division the way it is, it didn't make any sense to make a long jog, if you look at your map, into town, take out a portion of town

and then head back, after going east head back west, and around. There was no logic, as far as community of interest, for that. So we're trying to correct that and I will continue that effort."

335 OTTO: "That's all?"

335 BUNN: "That's all."

336 RYDER: "Mr. Chair, just for your information, apparently these maps (Exhibit C) the very last page should be your first page. So if you pull that off they should all be in order. They were xeroxed in the wrong order."

340 BUNN: "I am sorry, what was that Mr. Chair?"

341 RYDER: "The last page of your map packet should be the first page and then they should all go in order."

342 BUNN: "The Coastal Amendment #1 should be on top?"

343 OTTO: "No."

344 RYDER: "Oh, mine was Tri-County Amendment #1."

344 BUNN: "Okay, mine has Committee Plan on top, and it is followed after Committee Plan by Coastal Amendment #2."

346 RYDER: "Maybe we have a different packet we can give you Sen. Bunn. I don't know why the mixup."

349 OTTO: "We have been asked by several members of the audience, who never had a chance to testify before on the plan, if they could testify today, and I agreed to that. So if anyone wants to testify on the plan please make your wishes known."

357 JERRY BARNES, STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 52: "Mr. Chair, I would like to testify, if I may?"

357 OTTO: "You may, come up, identify yourself for the tape and . . ."

360 BARNES: "Thank you Mr. Chair and members of the committee. My name is Jerry Barnes. I am State Representative from District 52, which is Jackson County, eastern part. I proposed to the staff, and apologize for not being able to be here to submit it in person, but I am here to speak and answer questions. My district now includes basically the eastern half of Jackson County. It takes in the cities of Ashland, Phoenix, Talent, the southern part of the City of Medford, which is the biggest city, (unintelligible) a little part of the eastern and then it goes on up and takes in some cities of Eagle Point, Shady Cove, Butte Falls, Prospect and the like. I submitted my proposal basically to, I think perhaps, keep like-minded people together. As a former county commissioner, and of course now a realtor, I've dealt with many people throughout the county, and certainly in eastern Jackson County and western Klamath County, which is just to the east of our county. The proposal that I have submitted basically takes in what I would consider the eastern mountain portion of the county. These people are very, as I say, like-minded, generally they live up in the mountains, the high mountains, which would be the Cascade or the lower part of the mountains, fairly heavy bunch of independent minded people. Whether they're registered "R's" or "D's", I think you're going to find that they pretty much vote on things based on how they're living their So in that regard political preferences, I suppose, doesn't really mean that much. There is a considerable like-mindedness, you'll find there is a lot of environmentalist, a lot of timber workers. These communities, as a matter of fact, even have their own school districts. And one of them, of course, is likely to be impacted by a measure we voted recently, in terms of merging of the school districts. For example, in the northeastern part you have, it is not a city, incorporated city, but it is the unincorporated community of Prospect, they have their own school district. Moving on south you have the city, the town I should say, of Butte Falls, they have their own school district. And then when you get to the eastern part of it, you have another unincorporated area called Lincoln or Pinehurst, they have their own school district. And believe me in dealing with them, certainly with Ballot Measure, or with SB 815 , the school funding thing, they do think and react a little bit different from the rest of the folks down in the, down in the valley.

413 BARNES: I submitted this to take that into account, and I suppose if I could have drawn a straight line down the eastern part of the county that would have been much better, but in trying to follow some major roads there is some few jagged portions there. But I think my plan incorporates these people, and like I say they relate very well to the people on the other side of the line, up in the Klamath side of the Cascades, so this is the reason why I think it would be a good reason perhaps to keep them as, as a group. I've also went back and picked up a little part of the City of Medford, and the reason why I have done this, and I don't know if staff has passed on to you, but, as you know the City of Medford said that they would like to have one representative. Well the city has too much of a population for one representative, the Chamber of Medford Jackson County have come in with a letter and said they would basically like to have three people

represent the City of Medford, with perhaps a minor adjustments in the lines. Well in having to literally give people to Rep. Pickard in the western part of Klamath County, we've had to readjust that a little bit. There are roads that goes, go into this area. There are three major highways, State Highways 140 , 66 and 62, and there are some county roads, and as a matter of fact there is one major forest service road that connects these communities in the north part of the county. I really think that these people have more in common with the folks in western Klamath County then the people who live down in the valleys would. These are the people, primarily, who work in town or farmers, agriculture or the like. So with that, Mr. Chairman, I've tried to go along with the boundaries as best I can keeping this, I noticed you called it a community of interest, I would call it like-mindedness, so that whomever does represent these folks, at least don't have a wide disparity of who they would represent. So with that I would propose my amendment, that is your Southern Amendment #1 and I stand to answer any questions you might have."

464 OTTO: "Any questions? On the City of Medford, I received probably three or four letters from the city and they have three representatives now, as I understand it. (Unintelligible) they wanted one representative, and our staff went ahead and worked as hard as they could to figure out how they could get the representatives decreased in the City of Medford so . . ."

481 BARNES: "Yes, and I appreciate that. And I know where they're coming from. The Chamber, which is the Chamber (of Commerce) of Medford/Jackson County, of course, has a much wider representation, and perhaps that is why they come up and said let's keep the three representatives. Representing a number of cities, you know I treat Medford like I would any other city, although it is a little bit larger."

TAPE 40, SIDE A

- 032 OTTO: "Any questions, Bill, Sen. Bradbury, you have a . . ."
- 032 BRADBURY: "I'm sorry, I just wondered if there was a copy of Rep. Barnes's proposal here? Is it, I am just flipping through looking for it "
- 035 RYDER: "Mr. Chair, Sen. Bradbury, it would be Coastal Amendment, wait a minute, excuse me, it would be Southern Amendment #1, is, and I believe #2. There are slight differences with Rep. Baum's version between Southern Amendment #1 and Southern Amendment #2." (Exhibit A)
- 039 UNKNOWN: "Four or five pages from the back."
- 040 RYDER: "Which are these four sheets right down here, in front of the dias, these four." (Exhibit W)
- 041 BRADBURY: "Oh, down on the bottom there, on the right?"
- 042 RYDER: "Yes, the four that look similar color.
- 043 BRADBURY: "Yeah, green and purple?"
- 043 RYDER: "Right."
- 044 BRADBURY: "Green and purple plan."
- 044 OTTO: "Okay, any other questions of Rep. Barnes? Thank you."
- 045 BARNES: "Thank you very much Mr. Chair."
- 047 OTTO: "Rep. Josi."
- 052 TIM JOSI, STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 3: "Thank you Mr. Chair. I'm Rep. Tim Josi from District 3. I bring with me Don Distad, he's a citizen from Lincoln County, he'd also like to say a few words."
 Discusses written testimony, Exhibit D. "And I might add that this was an agreement that Rep. Rijken and I worked on concurrently. Under the original plan Sheridan is cut in half, and I would take a portion, District 3 would (take a) portion and District 4 would take the other portion. I think the word that you need to concentrate on, at this point, is the word "substantial". The current plan does not place, substantially, the House District 4 in Yamhill County, this one does." Continues with review of testimony, Exhibit D. "Thank you."
- 088 BUNN: "Mr. Chair?"
- 089 OTTO: "Sen. Bunn."
- 089 BUNN: "Rep. Josi, you said that under your proposal the reservation land would all be in one district. Does your change shift population or just land?"
- 092 JOSI: "Land and population."
- 092 BUNN: "You know how many members of the Grande Ronde Indian tribe are shifted under your plan, united in one district?" $\,$

- 094 JOSI: "I don't have that figure, no."
- 095 BUNN: "Okay, did you have that figure when you drew the plan?"
- 095 JOSI: "No I didn't."
- 096 BUNN: "You stated that Yamhill County should have three representatives. Under your proposal, how many of those three representatives districts would have a majority of population coming from Yamhill County?"
- 100 JOSI: "No, no I think you've misconstrued what I said Senator. I said Yamhill County, now under the current plan, has three representatives. This would give them three substantial representatives."
- 103 BUNN: "Do you believe that Yamhill County should be divided so that it does have three representative districts?"
- 105 JOSI: "That was a plan that was presented to me, and I'm not going to get into the logistics of the original plan."
- 107 BUNN: "Would you prefer a plan, there is an alternative plan that the committee has dealt with, that has Yamhill County represented by two representatives. Would you find that preferable for the county?"
- 110 JOSI: "I'd have to see the plan."
- 110 BUNN: "Okay, Lester could you present the plan please?" (See 04/16/91 meeting, oversize Exhibit E, Senate Minority 5th Congressional map). "Yes, okay, as you can see the area in pink includes the western portion of Yamhill County and the portion in blue includes the eastern portion of Yamhill County. Do you feel that plan would be better or worse for Yamhill County than the plan that you're proposing?"
- 118 JOSI: "You know that really doesn't have anything to do with my representative district. I, Senator, understand the battle you are fighting, but I don't think that, I should be called in to fight your battle for you."
- 122 BUNN: "Well what I'm trying to understand is, you are proposing a plan that divides Yamhill County into three representative districts. And as you're you're advocating that apparently over alternatives. And I just wanted to be clear that that is correct."
- 126 JOSI: "No, I, once again you put words in my mouth. The plan, the current plan has three representatives . . ." $\,$
- 128 BUNN: "Well. . ."
- 129 JOSI: "... in Yamhill County. One is not very substantial and divides communities of interest and for that reason I changed it, and now Yamhill County, under the plan that we're talking about, you now have three substantial districts."
- 131 BUNN: "You say the plan we're talking about. There is a Senate Democrat Plan, a Senate Republican Plan, there is not a plan that has been adopted by this committee. So you've chosen to come in and support one plan, the Senate Democrat Plan, with some modifications, apparently, rather than supporting the Senate Republican Plan. Is that not correct?"
- 136 JOSI: "Now I think you're talking about the logistics of the whole process in which plan will ultimately be adopted. Believe me Senator, I am going to cover my bases with every plan I run across. Now when your plan is being considered, if I've got any concerns with it, I'll be here."
- 140 BUNN: "Okay, my plan is being considered. I would say the committee is considering all proposals it has before it. And that's why I'd like to get your input now, because once it passes this committee, we no longer have the opportunity to ask you those questions."
- 144 JOSI: "I understand, I don't have any amendments that would suggest changes to your plan." $\,$
- 146 BUNN: "Okay, but you do support dividing Yamhill County, as proposed in your amendment?" $\,$
- 148 JOSI: "I support my amendments."
- 148 BUNN: "Under your amendments would the representative districts representing Yamhill County have a majority of the population within those districts?"
- 152 JOSI: "It gets, certainly not true with HD 4. I don't know what the numbers are, but knowing what Tillamook County, how much of a district it represents, it's, it's very close with House District 3. Your brother's district, I would imagine that's substantial, but I don't know what the figures are."
- 160 BUNN: "Do you know how many people Yamhill County has?"

161 JOST . "No "

161 BUNN: "Okay, well if we deal with the plan that you're proposing to amend, if I understand it correctly Tillamook County has about 21,000 people?" $\,$

163 JOSI: "Yeah, roughly."

163 BUNN: "Okay."

164 JOSI: "I don't have the figures."

164 BUNN: "And so if we've got about 47,000 people in a legislative district then 26,000 of those people under the Senate Democrat Plan would come from Yamhill County, 21,000 of those people from Marion County, so in fact Yamhill County, if representative district 29 has a majority of the voice from Yamhill County. Senate District 2, under the Senate Democrat Plan, would have a slight majority of the voice from Yamhill County. Under your proposal would that majority remain?"

173 JOSI: "It'd be closer to a balance."

- 173 BUNN: "Do you know how many people you shift from one district to the other?" $\,$
- 174 JOSI: "No, I don't know what the numbers are."
- 175 BUNN: "Okay, and again I'll ask, you know, . . ."
- 176 JOSI: "I asked for the numbers, but I don't have them, I just didn't get them."
- 177 OTTO: "Gail, do you want to provide us with the numbers?"
- 177 RYDER: "Mr. Chair, the numbers that I just figured have to do with the American Indian population and I can tell you . . ."
- 178 BUNN: "No, that, I was trying to deal with the total population within the . . ." $\,$
- 179 RYDER: "No, we don't have those numbers, we can get that for you, if you like. I do have Indian population, that you referenced early, and that is what (unintelligible) if you would like . . ."
- 181 BUNN: "Yes, I would be interested to know what the population within District 4 was before the change and then with the proposed amendment. Is that the figure that you had?"
- 184 RYDER: "I can get that very quickly for you."
- 184 BUNN: "Okay."
- 184 RYDER: "With the change House District 3 would have 507, and House District 4 would have 1,348 for a total to Senate District 2 of 1,855. Prior to that in House District 3 there were 507 and in..., they were the same."
- 190 BUNN: "Okay, so in fact the proposed amendment does not increase the voting strength of a minority. At least the American Indian minority?"
- 193 RYDER: "No the original committee proposal did that."
- 194 BUNN: "Okay, but the amendment does not?"
- 195 RYDER: "No."
- 195 BUNN: "It leaves those numbers exactly the same?"
- 195 RYDER: "That's true. The purpose was to bring the City of Sheridan together." $\,$
- 196 BUNN: "Okay, I was just trying to clarify because part of the earlier statement, I had thought, was that it also strengthened the Native American voice in the district. But apparently it changes land, but not population."
- 199 RYDER: "That's true."
- 200 JOSI: "That's, I understand the tact you're taking, but also you want to, before you draw a conclusions . . ."
- 201 OTTO: "Would you identify yourself?"
- 205 BUNN: "I understand that, but Senator, or excuse me, Rep. Josi, if the Siletz tribe resides within the 3rd House District?"
- 208 JOSI: "Fourth."

209 BUNN: "Within the fourth house district, and the Senate Democrat Plan has placed the Grande Ronde Indian population within the fourth district, and you have not shifted any additional individuals into the fourth district, how has that enhanced their representation?"

- 213 JOSI: "It has not, I assume that there were, from what I had understood that the original plan left out some of the Grande Ronde Indians. If that is not the case then I was misled."
- 217 BUNN: "Okay, and then again as far as Yamhill County is concerned you don't see it as a problem that Yamhill County has three seats?"
- 219 JOSI: "The original, I keep going back to this, the original plan gave Yamhill County three seats."
- 221 BUNN: "Well I understand, but if we are faced with a plan that is two or three, is there a preference?" All other things being equal, is there a preference?"
- 223 JOSI: "Yes there is, and I'm not going to tell you what it is."
- 225 BUNN: "Thank you very much."
- 226 OTTO: "More testimony?"

226 DON DISTAD, LINCOLN COUNTY RESIDENT: "My name is Don Distad, I live in the north end of Lincoln County, just south of Shilo Inn. From my standpoint this would simplify the districts between three and four. It would serve the north end of Lincoln County with two district representatives, which I think is an advantage because it's more committees and more contact. It would make Highway 18 a dividing line, which I think would be simplifying it, and altogether I support the bill."

- 235 OTTO: "Would you please identify yourself again . . . "
- 236 DISTAD: "Yes, Don Distad, I live at 39th and Lee NW, Lincoln City."
- 238 OTTO: "Any other questions? Thank you."
- 240 OTTO: "Rep. Oakley."
- 244 CAROLYN OAKLEY, STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 36: "Mr. Speaker or Mr. Chair, excuse me . . . " $\,$
- 245 OTTO: "Right, right . . ."
- 246 OAKLEY: "Right here?"

246 OTTO: "Mr. Chair and members, for the record, Carolyn Oakley, State Representative, House District 36, portions of Linn and Benton counties. I'm here in support of Sen. Yih's amendment which she has offered previously, (pg. 7, #2, Exhibit A). And I'd like to just reiterate, briefly, what she has already said. We need to keep the tradition of history on these boundary lines. The tradition is that the district lines have been drawn along the Willamette River and the county lines. In addition, redrawing the existing lines would be disruptive to the community. You know it takes time to educate the voters as to who their representative is. And I may be a little bit selfish, but I have spent countless hours in this community knocking on doors, Colorado Lake area and down in the Dixie area, these folks know me as their representative, if they see me on the street they stop me and chat with me and these folks write to me on a regular basis. These, and I'd even say that these voters are quite possibly the best communicators I have to me in, in my district, and I'd certainly like to keep them. And finally I do feel that the people of the State of Oregon would be better served under Sen. Yih's plan and I urge that you adopt Sen. Yih's amendment."

- 270 OTTO: "Rep. Oakley, if you never represented these people in the future, under whichever plan we adopt, you think people would stop speaking to you?"
- 273 OAKLEY: "I am sure they would still continue speaking to me, in fact they would probably continue to write to me." $\,$
- 278 OAKLEY: "It's amazing the number of folks who think that I am their legislator and they don't even in the same city that I represent. But you know I am your constituent, so I yes I certainly understand that."
- 282 OTTO: "Any questions of Rep. Oakley?"
- 284 YIH: "Well, Mr. Chairman, I just want to say I agree with Rep. Oakley, that it would be very confusing for the voters that they suddenly be represented by somebody across the river in Benton County when they have traditionally been represented by Linn County Commissioners, Albany City Council and Linn County state representative and senators. And I hate to see voters become confused and lose interest in the government process."

295 OAKLEY: "I'd like to say that Sen. Yih and myself both work very hard on our constituency and with, with our constituency and certainly would like to keep those folks."

298 OTTO: "You know I might make some comments. In the Portland metropolitan area we have quite a few representatives and senators, and I would imagine that if you walked down the street and asked a person at random, what legislative district you live in, they couldn't tell you. Maybe one out of ten."

305 OAKLEY: "You're probably right. It's nice being from a smaller community so we're the person on the street that they recognize, the person in the grocery store that they recognize and like to visit with."

308 OTTO: "Okay. Who's next?"

310 OAKLEY: "Thank you."

312 RYDER: "I think they're sending for Sen. Trow, . . ."

312 YIH: "Thank you very much for (unintelligible)."

312 RYDER: " . . . Allen Harper is here for Rep. Hill."

312 OTTO: "Allen Harper."

315 ALLEN HARPER, LEGISLATIVE AIDE, SENATOR J. HILL: "Good afternoon, Allen Harper, Legislative Aide for Sen. J. Hill. I apologize that the Senator couldn't make it this afternoon, so I am sent in his stead. Mr. Chairman, members, I'd like to speak, just for the record, to the amendment that I believe is right here, (pg. 7, #1, Exhibit A), also known as Mid-Valley Amendments #1. And I wanted for the record to express to you the, the fundamental or the key change that we've made from the Committee Plan of 4/15 is to take the Fruitland/Pratum area, which is the, in the Committee Plan it is the north boundary of HD 31, Rep. Gilmour's district and return it to Rep. Parkinson. We feel that these two communities are very strongly aligned with Silverton, which is in Senator or Representative Parkinson's district and that they're more the farming type of communities, and they're just a community of interest that already have that existing political boundary. The second, largest change that we made to the amendments was the West Salem area, and because of the denseness of population we couldn't use the Willamette River as a natural boundary, so we couldn't put all of West Salem in one house district or another. So we felt that the amendments were the best compromise between the distribution of population, the compactness of the house districts and also respecting existing political boundaries between those house districts, Rep. Derfler and Rep. Courtney. The other reason why I'm here today is because the Fruitland/Pratum amendment deals with Sen. Bunn's district and we really wanted to give him a chance to ask me any questions and answer any questions he, or anybody of the committee, might have to that change because of the interest in that area. So I would be happy to answer any questions you might have."

349 OTTO: "Any questions? Apparently not, thank you."

351 HARPER: "Thank you."

352 OTTO: "Rep. Baum."

354 RAY BAUM, STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 58: "Mr. Chairman, could I ask Rep. Barnes to sit here . . .

355 OTTO: "Uh huh."

356 BAUM: ". . . also, 'cause he knows the country side a little better than I do?" For the record I'm Rep. Ray Baum from district 58. I representative Union, Molalla and Umatilla counties. I have a plan, I've been involved with both Sen. Scott Duff and your good legal staff administrator. We've now basically had a plan, based on the east side, we haven't had any loud objections to it, 'till we get down to the southern Oregon area. I think I have a plan in front of you, (pg. 9, #2, Exhibit A) that is very similar to Rep. Barnes plan except it doesn't have such an intrusion from Klamath County into Jackson County. The problem with it, it has a +2.92 deviation, which, according to my understanding, is a little higher than what is being allowed in the west side area."

372 RYDER: "Mr. Chairman, Rep. Baum, there's been no decision made, . . $^{\rm "}$

373 BAUM: "Okay."

374 RYDER: ". . . it's just that the remainder of the plan has no more than 2.5."

374 BAUM: "Okay."

374 RYDER: (Unintelligible).

374 BAUM: "Okay, so what, what has happened is we've had kind of a

deviation we've been working on in the eastern Oregon areas, by virtue of the fact that we have to go a long way to find most people. In order to keep the county lines intact and do something that makes sense we've had a standard deviation over there of -3, until you come to the, what we call the corner. That's down in southern Oregon where the Klamath County line meets the Jackson County line, and we view all of the 2nd Congressional District a very solid community of interest. We have it at the northeast corner, the City of LaGrande with Eastern Oregon State College anchoring that corner, we have the southwest corner with Ashland, also a college town, and in-between we have a lot of resource dependent communities, from Grants Pass to Pendleton, Ontario. So within that community of interest my plan for the southern Oregon area simply is kind of a compromise between what is now on your proposed legislative plan, which has a substantial intrusion into northern Jackson County or the, maybe perhaps the Senate Republican Plan which has an intrusion into southern and it takes you along the edge. That leaves Ashland whole, which I think is important, it also backs out the northern Jackson County intrusion, and, but it does create a situation where Rep. Barnes new district would have about 1,500 more people then it needs and Pickard would be 1,500 less. We'd have a few percent deviation either way we'd go. But it would reduce the intrusion and I believe it is a sound compromise. Now it doesn't deal with the issue of the coterminous concept, and that is yet to be dealt with, but it would allow those communities that do have things in common, as described by Rep. Barnes in his previous testimony, that would apply here, as well. Have those mountain communities would stay in the same district and share the same commonality with the Klamath County folks and still keep us from going, to the, right up to the city limits of Medford, and would keep folks out of, keep, Rep. Barnes in Ashland and keep Rep. Pickard out of Ashland, and satisfy the (unintelligible)."

- 423 OTTO: "You've discussed your plan with the other, with the senator and representatives that are involved?
- 426 BAUM: "I've discussed it with all the southern Oregon representatives. I've talked about this, generally, with Rep. Pickard, but I have discussed it thoroughly with Rep. Barnes and, and Sen. Hannon knows about it and . . ."
- 432 OTTO: "Are they in agreement?"
- 433 BAUM: "I wouldn't want to speak for them, but you know Mr. Chair, our relationship with the Senate Republicans have been a little tenuous lately, but, you know how, it kind of, just kind of a process. I think when I ran the general plan by Sen. Hannon today, it wasn't, he didn't indicate he had any objection to it."
- 443 BUNN: "Mr. Chair?"
- 444 OTTO: "Sen. Bunn."
- 444 BUNN: "Rep. Baum, is your supporting an amendment your indication that you believe it's a good plan, or that it is simply a better than was submitted?"
- 448 BAUM: "Well I think it's a better plan than was submitted. I think it's a better way to deal with the situation."
- 451 BUNN: "So the fact that you, you may or may not have Senate Republican support on the amendment, you wouldn't take as indication that it is seen as a good plan?"
- 455 BAUM: "No I didn't, like you can probably vouch for it, I didn't check with the Senate Republicans directly prior to making the amendment. I did after it had been drafted, simply a, kind of an opportunity I had earlier today to run it by a few folks and, but it's a plan that I kind of intended as a kind of a compromise that reduces the intrusion into Jackson County from Klamath County and seems to satisfy the community of interest and the need to maintain a balanced approach to that area of the state, so that we aren't shifting large sections of the population on the basis of, of community of interest. This reduces that and I think it is an appropriate amendment to the plan."
- 472 OTTO: "Sen. Duff."
- 473 DUFF: "So in other words balancing one person, one vote with a need to maintain eastern Oregon as a community of interest fits with the 3% deviation?"
- 475 BAUM: "Right, either way you go, 3% up or 3% down. And that's where we are, Mr. Chair, I just respectfully submit that for your committee's consideration."
- 479 BARNES: "Mr. Chair, for the record, J. Barnes, State Rep., District 52. As I indicated in my testimony, if I could draw a state line, straight line from north to south, that would be the way to go so you could keep the mountainous people by themselves. I like Rep. Baum's plan, I think it does maintain that community of interest. The problem I had, of course, was coming up with more of a balance in terms of population. If you would permit the 2.96, I believe, deviation that would be fine with me. Like I say when I went through it with the staff I had a hard time coming up with, with that."

```
498 BUNN: "Mr. Chair? Rep. Baum, you referred to a 3% deviation."
(TAPE LAPSE)
TAPE 39, SIDE B
001 BUNN: ". . . community of interest, is that correct?"
001 BAUM: "That's correct, and it has to do with the spaces that we have
out there, to get people, we'd just would have to violate almost every county line, if we'd, if we go over to zero deviation, it'd be tough,
that issue is yet to be decided. But like I said, my first statement
applies, is in the eastern part of the state, we're working on that together."
007 OTTO: "Questions, further questions?"
007 BUNN: "Mr. Chair."
007 DUFF: Mr. Chairman."
008 OTTO: "Sen. Bunn."
008 BUNN: "As you talk about the 3% allowing you to maintain the communities of interest, couldn't you go to 5 or 10% and just assure
that many more communities would be protected?"
009 BAUM: "Right. You could probably go the other direction, plus 5 or
10, . . .
010 BUNN: "Okay."
010 BAUM: ". . . do the same thing."
011 BUNN: "So, I am just wondering how, how we come up with 3 instead of
011 BAUM: "No magic to it, and I don't know what will happen on the
House side, but that (unintelligible).
013 BUNN: "Okay, thank you."
013 OTTO: "Sen. Duff."
014 DUFF: "With the example of Morrow County (unintelligible) the 3\%
deviation appears to match their concerns about splitting that county's
community of interests.
015 BAUM: "Right, right."
016 OTTO: "Any further questions? Thank you."
017 BAUM: "Thank you."
017 BARNES: "Thank you."
018 RYDER: ". . . I don't know. Mr. Chairman, could I place something
on the record?"
018 OTTO: "Yes, uh huh."
019 RYDER: "Mr. Chair, I would like to bring to your attention an error
on pg. 8 of the proposed amendment sheet, (Exhibit A), regarding Sen.
Yih's amendment.
                  The population figures you see there are the
populations of the Committee Plan, rather than of the Yih amendment. You were furnished with a copy of that at your last meeting, and Sen.
Yih's statistics are correct, rather than these. So if you'd cross that off I would appreciate it."
024 BUNN: (Unintelligible).
024 YIH: "Gail, are you going to show the correct deviation?"
025 RYDER: "I just need to cross it out and use this."
026 YIH: "Oh, you're just substituted mine?"
027 OTTO: Is Sen. Trow here?"
027 SPRINGER: "Yes."
028 RYDER: "Oh yes."
028 General acknowledgement.
033 CLIFF TROW, STATE SENATOR, DISTRICT 18: "Mr. Chairman, members of
the committee, I'm Sen. Trow from District 18. I am here to speak in
favor of the Majority Plan. As I understand it you have the possibility
of a motion to amend the plan, to change the plan. And I'm opposed to
```

497 OTTO: "Okay "

that and I'd like to talk a little bit about the districts, SD 18, as it was proposed to you. All of us were, were invited to, to do research . 038 BUNN: "Mr. Chair?" 040 OTTO: "Sen. Bunn." 040 BUNN: "I'm sorry to interrupt Sen. Trow, but I am trying to figure out, you referred to amendment, we've got about 20 different amendments, is there . . . 041 TROW: "I'm sorry." 042 BUNN: " . . . is there a specific one (unintelligible) I'm tracking with you?" 042 TROW: "Okay, I haven't been following what you've been doing. I apologize for that. But it is the, Senator . . 043 RYDER: "Mr., I can explain, that would be Mid-Valley Amendment #2, (pq. 7, Exhibit A), which is the amendment submitted by Sen. Mae Yih. 044 BUNN: "Okay, again I'm sorry for the interruption. I just wanted to be following. 044 TROW: "I'm sorry too." 045 OTTO: "Sen. Trow, go ahead." 045 TROW: "Alright. We were all invited to make suggestions about what our districts should look like and I did that and your Committee Administrator worked over all of those and came up with a new Senate District 18, which is not exactly as I've proposed it, but it does River, directly east of Corvallis, to take in a few hundred voters over there. And that area across the Willamette, in Linn County, is an area that basically relates very closely to Corvallis and to Oregon State school district. The areas are in the Corvallis telephone directory with Corvallis numbers. The businesses that are out there in that area, Corvallis, many of them work at the University and do other things. it seemed to me that a district that was Corvallis centered should be a

contain some of the features that I very much wanted in the district. And one of those was for the district to move across the Willamette University, both of which I do represent in the district. The district has historically included those areas. The areas are in the Corvallis there's a major appliance store called Parrots, there's a major automobile dealership, they all refer to themselves as Corvallis businesses. Many of the people who live there relate very closely to district that should include that area. And so I recommended that that be in the district, I'm pleased that the Majority Plan has it in the district, I think it makes sense that it be there. The district has come up to the, if I go back to not before 1970, the district was the entirety of two counties, Polk and Benton counties. After 1970 the district changed and, the district had to shrink and did shrink and so areas of Polk County, the West Salem areas, were taken out of the district and areas of Benton County, the North Albany area of Benton County was taken out of the district. And at that point the district that Sen. Yih now represents moved across the river, basically, to incorporate North Albany. And, so, the tradition of there being natural boundaries was changed in 1970. The rivers really aren't so much barriers as they are bridges to certain communities. And so the people who live across the Willamette River, many of them relate to the important areas that I represent. So if you're thinking of community of interest, as you create these districts, it seems to me that an urban community, like Corvallis, with the University, the Oregon State
University, does make that kind of, kind of interest and it doesn't hurt to cross a river and cross into another county to make a district be that consistent with the cultural and the economic aspect of the, the community. So I'd recommend you keep it as it is."

089 OTTO: "That piece of, it goes into your county, that does have a community of interest?" $\,$

091 TROW: "The Linn County area, that would be in the district, yes it does, it really relates very closely with Corvallis. There is an Oregon State golf course there, there is an Oregon State experimental station there, there is fish research done over there, so it relates to the University, there are people who are in the Corvallis school district and buses go over there and bring kids back and forth to school, so it does, it relates to Corvallis. It does not relate to Albany. It is a long ways, it's eight miles, nine miles from Albany. It's just across the river. You cross those bridges, I don't know whether you've done that or not, you cross those bridges from Linn County, you're immediately in downtown Corvallis."

100 OTTO: "Okay, questions?"

101 BUNN: "Mr. Chair."

101 OTTO: "Yes."

102 BUNN: "Sen. Trow, have you had a chance to look at the Senate

Republican proposal for your district?"

- 103 TROW: "I haven't studied it in great deal, no."
- 104 BUNN: "Okay, then I won't ask you a question (unintelligible)."
- 105 OTTO: "Sen. Yih, you want to follow Sen. Trow?"

106 YIH: "Well, I guess it's pretty obvious we don't agree. that the people in Linn County should be kept as a whole, due to preservation of community of interest. That area of people have grass seed farmers, we have a lot of them represented, they go to Albany city for their city affairs, for their shopping, for all the activities. So obviously we have a disagreement there. And the area in North Albany, a lot of them go to Corvallis school district also. So those two areas, very much the same. North Albany area, that I'd like to see district 18 move into, very much, a lot of them go to Corvallis for schools, go to Corvallis for, go to the golf course in the area that Sen. Trow mentioned. So I feel that we need to keep Linn County whole. 19 is going to have the entire Linn County, except for a little pocket of 1,300 people. It just doesn't make sense to pick out a little piece out of Linn County and put it in a separate senate district. So obviously we disagree, but, that is up to the committee to decide whether we should preserve community of interest, follow historical and geographical lines as they are, as a natural boundary and whether we should look at better distribution of population. Because under my plan the population are more equally distributed, so I feel my proposal makes much better sense in every respect."

- 136 OTTO: "A difference of opinion makes for dog races, something that I'm familiar with. Any . . ."
- 137 General laughter.
- 139 BRADBURY: "Mr. Chair, just a question of Sen. Trow."
- 140 OTTO: "Yes."
- 141 BRADBURY: "The plan, as it currently exists has an area to the east of Corvallis, in a different county across the river, but east of Corvallis, in your district. How would, I mean, I think you just spoke to this in your testimony, but maybe, how would you describe that area in relation to Corvallis, which is clearly the city that you represent?"
- 148 TROW: "Well I would say it really is part of Corvallis and it considers itself part of Corvallis. The businesses label them, who are out there, label themselves as Corvallis businesses. Most of their clientele comes from Corvallis. A lot of the people who live out there go to school in Corvallis, the University main campus is in Corvallis, it has extension out in that area. That area that I'm talking about really does relate to Corvallis and the City of Corvallis and the University. It does not relate to Albany, and I beg to disagree with, with Sen. Yih about North Albany, North Albany relates to Albany, it really does. And they're just across the river from each other, and the schools are related and other things are related there. So, I don't know, I just disagree."
- 159 BRADBURY: "Thank you."
- 160 OTTO: "I don't, we'll close the hearing on that plan and we'll have a work session on that plan right now since we have the two people here. I would accept a motion to adopt one of the plans, Sen. Yih's plan, or the one outlined by committee."
- 164 YIH: "Mr. Chairman, I want to make sure that the record shows the correct population deviation, (Exhibit F), as Gail has mentioned, that would show the population figure dated April 30th on my stationary. And I like the Mid-Valley Amendment #2 (pg. 7, #2, Exhibit A) to say, on the 3rd line '. . . Willamette River boundary is restored at the 1981 district line.', instead of 'partially.' The whole Willamette River boundary and the county line is restore under my plan, so I would like to see we delete the word 'partially'."
- 176 BRADBURY: "Mr. Chair?"
- 176 RYDER: "Mr. Chairman, Sen. Yih, if I could respond to that. The reason it says 'partially' is that the entire Willamette Valley line does not sustain all the way throughout the county boundary. There is an area in North Albany, in both plans, that would be included in Rep. Oakley's area. So the river does not completely divide the two counties in this one case and that is why it says 'partially'."
- 182 YIH: "Under existing plan Willamette River already divides between North Albany and Albany. But I'm talking my amendment restores the Willamette River boundary line."
- 185 RYDER: "That portion?"
- 185 YIH: "That portion of it . . . " $\,$
- 185 RYDER: "Yes . . . "

```
186 YIH: " . . . so it just restores it, but it is not going to restore
the committee amendment and the boundary is restore at the 198 1
district line. So I don't think we need the word 'partially'."
192 OTTO: "Okay."
192 BRADBURY: "Mr. Chair."
193 YIH: "I move that we amend the Committee Plan with the Mid-Valley
Amendment #2, submitted by Sen. Yih on April 25th."
196 BRADBURY: "Mr. Chair?"
196 MOTION: SEN. YIH MOVED THE MID-VALLEY AMENDMENT #2, PG. 7, EXHIBIT
196 OTTO: "The motion before us is to move Amendment #2 to the
Mid-Valley plan, in lieu of the Committee Plan. Sen. Bradbury."
199 BRADBURY: "Well I guess my, my first question is we're in work session right now on SB 100 0, have we adopted the Committee Plan?
That's my first question."
202 OTTO: "No."
202 BRADBURY: "So I guess it's not possible to amend the Committee Plan until we adopt the Committee Plan. So, I would, if you would . . . "
204 OTTO: "I think you're correct."
204 BRADBURY: " . . . I would move that we adopt the Committee Plan. That's the first, I think, and then we can deal with all the
amendments."
206 OTTO: (Unintelligible).
207 BRADBURY: "I would make that motion Mr. Chair."
207 NO ACTION TAKEN ON PREVIOUS MOTION BY SEN. YIH.
208 MOTION: SEN. BRADBURY MOVED TO AMEND SB 1000 BY ADOPTING THE
COMMITTEE PLAN.
208 OTTO: "Sen. Bradbury moves we adopt the Committee Plan. Discussion
on the motion?"
210 BUNN: "Mr. Chair?"
210 YIH: "Is this the time to make the amendment?"
211 UNKNOWN: "No, it would be . . ."
212 OTTO: "Amendments (unintelligible). Yes, Sen. Bunn."
212 BUNN: "This is not, by adopting it, our committee isn't accepting
that as a final version are they? I mean we have a bill that is full of
blanks, isn't it?"
214 RYDER: "Mr. Chairman, Sen. Bunn, what you have is a bill that merely deletes existing district language. And so the entire framework needs \frac{1}{2}
to be replaced in the bill, with a plan that then can be amended section
by section."
218 BUNN: "Okay, so then the motion is to amend the senate bill by
inserting the . . .
220 RYDER: "The Committee Plan."
219 BUNN: " . . . Democrat Plan."
        RYDER: "The Committee Plan."
221 BUNN: "So how is it the Committee Plan, if the committee hasn't
adopted it yet?"
222 OTTO: "We have a motion to adopt it."
222 RYDER: "That's what it's called."
223 BUNN: "Okay, well I would just like to point out it isn't the
Committee Plan until it is adopted by the committee.
224 OTTO: "We're trying to adopt the Committee Plan."
225 General laughter and various comments.
225 BUNN: "Well, we don't have a Committee Plan yet."
226 OTTO: "No, but hopefully after this vote, we will have a Committee
Plan."
```

- 227 General laughter.
- 227 BUNN: "Your hope, not mine."
- 232 BUNN: "Yes, Mr. Chair, I'm going to opposes the motion because I don't believe that the plan accurately or effectively protects the voting ability of minorities within the state and I don't think that the plan adequately protects the individual, based upon one person, one vote. And I think those two criteria have to be meant by any plan that we approve."
- 239 OTTO: "Okay, any further comments?"
- 240 BRADBURY: "Mr. Chair, just, I would disagree with the Senator and feel very strongly that this plan does in fact protect minority voting rights and I think our statistics very clearly show that. And that the deviations, while not at zero, are very much within the range that we need to achieve in order to get to the one person, one vote standard that the federal constitution requires us to get to."
- 247 OTTO: "Further comments?"
- 247 YIH: "Mr. Chairman, a question on the procedure. So you mean we, the committee has to adopt the plan first, so whether I agree with it or not, we adopt it and then we propose amendments . . . "
- 249 OTTO: "Amendments."
- 252 YIH: ". . . and then if I want to express my opposition or agreement that can be done at a final adoption of the plan?"
- 253 OTTO: "Right."
- 254 BRADBURY: "Mr. Chair?"
- 255 OTTO: "Sen. Bradbury."
- 255 BRADBURY: "I make a motion for a series of amendments that we have been talking about today, and I would assume you would make a motion, as well. So, and I think there are other motions here, but I think we need to have a plan that we're working from . . ."
- 258 YIH: "To start."
- 259 BRADBURY: ". . . before we start making amendments."
- 260 OTTO: "Okay, the motion is to adopt the Committee Plan, as presented. Any further comments? Call the roll please."
- 263 VOTE: MOTION CARRIED, 4-3. (VOTING NO: SEN. BRENNEMAN, SEN. BUNN, SEN. YIH).
- 267 OTTO: "Motion carried."
- 268 BUNN: "Mr. Chair?"
- 268 OTTO: "I think, okay, I don't know if I'll accept, if you're going to make a motion, because Sen. Yih made a motion before you. I will accept her motion."
- 271 BUNN: "Yeah, are we going to remain in work session?"
- 271 OTTO: "Pardon, yes, we are. Sen. Yih."
- 273 YIH: "Mr. Chair, I move that we amend the Committee Plan with the Mid-Valley Amendment #2 submitted by me on April 25th", (pg. 7, #2, Exhibit A).
- 277 MOTION: SEN. YIH MOVED TO AMEND SB 1000 BY ADOPTION OF THE MID-VALLEY AMENDMENT #2, PG. 7, EXHIBIT A.
- 278 OTTO: "Sen. Yih moves that we amend the Committee Plan by adoption of Mid-Valley plan #2 as adopted, as presented to this committee. Discussion on the motion?"
- 282 YIH: "Well, Mr. Chairman, I feel that my amendment will preserve the community of interest instead of taking out 1,300 people from Linn County and put them in a separate senate district. This will preserve the committee, community, as a whole, preserve community of interest and preserve the historical and the natural geographical lines and have a better distribution of population. And for these three reasons I propose this amendment."
- 294 BRADBURY: "Mr. Chair?"
- 294 OTTO: "Sen. Bradbury."
- 295 BRADBURY: "I oppose the amendment and I don't like being in this

position. I certainly respect both of the people who have come forward with their various proposals. I think the issue that this amendment raises is what is the more telling or important community of interest? Is it living in a county or is it being related to a city? I think that the reality is that most the people, regardless of which side of the river they live on, outside of Corvallis, relate to Corvallis, relate to Oregon State University, as a major employer and are basically focused in that direction. So that I think the community of interest is, in fact, strengthened by the proposal that is before us rather than the amendment. And I think that North Albany, does in fact, relate to Albany, even though they are on the other side of the river, there is a bridge connecting them, just like there is a bridge between Corvallis and, and East Corvallis. So I would oppose the motion."

314 YIH: "Well, Mr. Chairman, the area east in North Albany, east of the Independence Avenue, which are very much away from Albany already, they are closer to Corvallis, in that North Albany area east of the Independence Avenue, so they would be much closer to Corvallis then the area in Linn County that we are taking out. I offered to take a survey, with Sen. Trow. I say you call a few people in the area, I'll call a few people, just to see which community do they really belong too. But, the good Senator from Corvallis declined the offer. I thought we can ask the people how they feel, but, that offer was declined."

331 OTTO: "Okay we have a motion before us to amend Mid-Valley plan #2 into the Committee Plan. Call the roll please."

334 VOTE: MOTION FAILED, 3-4. (VOTING NO: SEN. BRADBURY, SEN. DUFF, SEN. SPRINGER, CHAIRMAN OTTO).

339 OTTO: "The motion failed."

340 BUNN: "Mr. Chair?"

340 OTTO: "Yes."

341 BUNN: "I move to amend the bill by replacing it with the Senate Republican Plan." $\,$

342 MOTION: SEN. BUNN MOVED TO AMEND SB 1000 BY REPLACING IT WITH THE SENATE REPUBLICAN PLAN.

343 OTTO: "The motion by Sen. Bunn is to amend the bill by replacing it with the Senate Republican Plan. Discussion?"

345 BUNN: "Mr. Chair, I've gone over the plan before so I will be very brief. But the plan is one that has zero percent deviation in every district. It recognizes and protects the voting strength of minority groups and I think that those two elements, being addressed adequately together, only in one plan leaves us one option and that is this plan for adoption."

354 OTTO: "Question, Sen. Bunn, does the Republican Plan include House of Representative districts?"

356 BUNN: "Mr. Chair, clearly when we have 30 senate districts, we have 60 house districts within them. We could arbitrarily draw lines, as I think the argument could be made that some lines have been arbitrarily drawn in the pass on other plans, but our concern was to fully involve members of the House of Representatives in dealing with those lines. It was felt that by getting their input, when it was in the House, and then coming back for concurrence or conference committee we would have a better ability to involve everyone in the process."

366 OTTO: "Okay, any com, further comments? We're voting on substituting the Minority Plan for the Majority Plan, which you see before us. Will you call the roll please?"

371 VOTE: MOTION FAILED, 2-5. (VOTING NO: SEN. BRADBURY, SEN. DUFF. SEN. SPRINGER, SEN. YIH, CHAIRMAN OTTO).

374 OTTO: "Motion failed."

376 BRADBURY: "Mr. Chair?"

376 OTTO: "Yes."

377 BRADBURY: "I have a series of amendments which I'd like to make and I'd prefer to make it as an en bloc motion, if I could?"

380 OTTO: "Alright."

380 BUNN: "Mr. Chair, I would prefer to address them one at a time, each on their own merits. I don't anticipate debating each one separately, but I would like the ability to deal with specific ones, also not knowing what they are I'm uncomfortable with taking them en bloc."

388 UNKNOWN: "Mr. Chair?"

388 OTTO: "Sen. Bradbury?"

388 BRADBURY: "It's up to you Mr. Chair, you're the . . . "

```
389 DUFF. "Mr Chair?"
390 OTTO: "Yes, Sen. Duff."
390 DUFF: "Has each one of these amendments been described prior to our
discussion here and . . .
392 UNKNOWN: "I believe so."
392 DUFF: "Have the statistics all been . . . "
393 BRADBURY: "They're all in the report."
394 DUFF: ". . . received testimony on each one?"
395 UNKNOWN: "I don't have any problem with en bloc."
396 BRADBURY: "Mr. Chair, I would defer to committee staff to tell
whether we've received testimony on them or not. I mean, I think we
have, I've been in and out, as you've probably noticed, so that I can't be sure. You can't say until I make the motion I s'pose?"
403 OTTO: "(Unintelligible) yeah."
404 BRADBURY: "Well I'll make, Mr. Chair, if you'll accept it, I'll make the motion en bloc and then we can do with it as you see fit. I would move that the committee accept Tri- County Amendment \sharp 1,\ \sharp 2,\ \sharp 3,\ \sharp 5,\ \sharp 6,
#7 and #8, Coastal Amendment #1." (Exhibit A)
416 BUNN: "Mr. Chair could I ask that you slow down, I'm . . . "
417 BRADBURY: "Sure."
417 BUNN: "On Tri-County I had . . . "
418 BRADBURY: "#1, #2, #3, #5, #6, #7, #8."
418 BUNN: "Thank you. We, I've got those."
421 BRADBURY: "Coastal Amendments #1 and #2 and Mid-Valley Amendment
#1." (Exhibit A)
422 MOTION: SEN. BRADBURY MOVED TO AMEND SB 1000 BY ADOPTING, EN BLOC,
THE TRI-COUNTY AMENDMENTS #1, #2, #3, #5, #6, #7 AND #8, COASTAL AMENDMENTS #1 AND #2 AND MID-VALLEY AMENDMENT #1, EXHIBIT A.
425 OTTO: "That's all you're amendments?"
425 BRADBURY: "That's it."
426 OTTO: "Okay, you've heard the motion, any discussion on the motion?"
427 BUNN: "Mr. Chair, I'd like to ask the maker of the motion a question. On Coastal Amendment \#2, what is your basis for supporting
431 BRADBURY: "I think there is a real effort to try to bring some,
community of interest better, closer together, work, in terms of,
particularly on the highway, my understanding is that there's a better relationship in, as it's amended in \#2, in terms of communities of
interest and the two representatives feel comfortable with that
amendment, as a way to more adequately represent the communities that they are responsible for representing."
443 BUNN: "Which community of interest is it that you're making whole?"
444 BRADBURY: "I'm not real sure which community of interest it is, specifically, it's, I think, what's it called, Roads End in, let me look
at the . . .
449 BUNN: "I think you've got the . . . "
450 BRADBURY: " . . . it's not an area I'm real familiar with though."
451 BUNN: "Okay, it's actually Sheridan, Sen. Bradbury, I believe was
the main concern. But as we deal with, I noticed one of the emotions or
one of the amendments you did not move to accept was \sharp 3 which makes Newberg whole. Is there a reason why making Sheridan whole within a
district is more important than making Newberg whole within a district?"
465 RYDER: "Mr. Chair, while you're deliberating too, I did receive,
just received some testimony from Rep. Hedy Rijken regarding the district in question so (unintelligible)", (Exhibit G). "I'd like to
enter it for the record.
481 DUFF: "Mr. Chairman?"
482 OTTO: "Yes, Sen. Duff."
```

483 DUFF: "Excuse me, I think they are still discussing, I didn't realize (unintelligible) interrupt."

- 029 BUNN: (Away from microphone) "If you'd like I'll point out the maps, (see 04/16/91 oversize Exhibit E). What I'm wondering is if you might accept a friendly amendment to include (unintelligible) your motion which just takes the Committee Plan (unintelligible) and takes part of Newberg and then comes back (unintelligible) if for example you leave Newberg whole and do the existing line (unintelligible) then you end up with Newberg not divided and you don't have any community (unintelligible). I assume the same logic would apply in both instances."
- 039 BUNN: "The green is Rep. Josi, and he has the proposal above. And the red is Rep. Bunn." $\,$
- 044 BRADBURY: "Mr. Chair, just a question. Where does the population come from, if you don't, in your proposal where's it come from, if you don't include a portion of Newberg?"
- 047 BRENNEMAN: "(Unintelligible) east of Carlton."
- 048 BUNN: "This triangular area which has been added, or excused me, pulled out of Rep. Josi's district by putting this population back in you equal the amount that you take out of Newberg. So basically (unintelligible) communities of interest whole and still balancing the population."
- 052 BRENNEMAN: "This area here too really has no connection with the cities of Yamhill and Carlton. (Unintelligible) splitting part of those communities, Yamhill and Carlton, which they are now."
- 054 BUNN: "For example, you would take the much (unintelligible) you would come up to Yamhill and you would take part of the school district out of, and split school district here, and you split in half here. By accepting the amendment, as a friendly amendment you would (unintelligible) similar to the proposal dealing with Sheridan in your Coastal #2 Amendment."
- 062 BRADBURY: "I'll stay with my motion, Mr. Chair."
- 062 BUNN: "So you won't accept that as a friendly amendment?"
- 063 BRADBURY: "No."
- 063 BUNN: "Okay, then as far as my question, is there a reason that it is more important to maintain Sheridan as a whole community then it is Newberg?"
- 064 BRADBURY: "I'm not aware of any reason one way or the other."
- 065 BUNN: "Is there any reason why the Coastal Amendment 2 is, is a preferable amendment to Coastal 3, or why both don't have equal merits?"
- 070 BRADBURY: "I can't really say details of what, I don't have a specific series of reasons why that would work better than the other."
- 074 BUNN: "Okay, well addressing the motion, Mr. Chair. I'm concerned about an amendment, and I don't mean to be offensive, but when you didn't know the community of interest, the name of the community that was being split, in one proposal, you can't give a reason why there's a different criteria in the other. Now we're coming down to nothing but a political vote versus the concern for the communities? And, you know, are we doing what's good for communities of interest?"
- 080 BRADBURY: "Well I'm convinced that from my conversations with the people that know the region well, which would be the representatives from that area, I'm convinced that they feel very strongly that this is an appropriate way to make the division, so that they keep the communities of interest that they're concerned about whole, and so I'm comfortable with that. I would be the first to say that I don't know that area personally, so you had me at, you know the area personally, so you had me at a disadvantage, if you want to talk about the areas there. I'm comfortable, that in my conversations with both those representatives, that this is an appropriate amendment."
- 089 BUNN: "Were you able to hear Rep. Josi's testimony?"
- 090 BRADBURY: "Heard part of it and I've had conversations with Rep. Josi."
- 091 BUNN: "Were you aware that he presented that one of the reasons, one of the key reasons, for this proposal was to increase the Indian population within Rep. Rijken's district?"
- 094 BRADBURY: "Yes, I'd heard that from both Rep. Rijken and . . ."
- 095 BUNN: "And were you aware, that in fact, there was no increase in that population, under their proposal?" $\,$

- 097 BRADBURY: "I guess I would defer to the staff, that's not my impression."
- 097 BUNN: "Okay, staff, do I understand correctly, Ms. Ryder, that that was the information you provided the committee?"
- 099 RYDER: "Mr. Chairman, Sen. Bunn, I believe what the proposal does is that the original committee proposal strengthens the Indian population by moving Rep. Rijken into those areas and she has another Indian reservation, as well. This particular change does not increase the Indian population, it merely adds, around that portion of the area, it only adds some additional geographic areas that are close to that Indian reservation."
- 105 BUNN: "Okay, but, in fact no population increase . . ."
- 106 RYDER: "In that area."
- 107 BUNN: ". . . among that minority group occurs?"
- 107 RYDER: "In that one area."
- 108 BUNN: "Okay, does that make any difference in your assessment of the value of the plan?" $\,$
- 110 BRADBURY: "Sounds like a fine amendment to me."
- 110 BUNN: "Okay, thank you."
- 110 BRENNEMAN: "Mr. Chairman?"
- 111 OTTO: "Yes."
- 111 BRENNEMAN: "I'd like to ask Sen. Bradbury a couple of questions about the Coastal Amendment 2, as well. On the, in the Roads End area of North Lincoln County, this amendment actually splits and takes part of Lincoln City, the north end of Lincoln City and throws it north into the Josi seats, seat 3. Are you aware that there was testimony at the meeting in Lincoln City, by the House Reapportionment (Sub) Committee, in which local citizens testified against splitting Lincoln City, in the new plan?"
- 122 BRADBURY: "I think I've read a news report about that committee hearing, yes."
- 124 BRENNEMAN: "Okay, and to protect communities of interest could you explain why splitting Lincoln City on the north end and dividing that community and putting it into the south end of Tillamook County, which is rural, keeps a consistent pattern with trying to keep communities of interest together?"
- 129 BRADBURY: "Lincoln City's about 20 miles long, I think. Is that correct?"
- 131 BRENNEMAN: "Well yes, but the north end of Lincoln City is Lincoln City and it's being split here to be put in with the south end of Tillamook County which is rural. And I wondered about the reasoning of how that community of interest, were to stay intact, when you in fact split it away and put it into a complete rural district."
- 135 BRADBURY: "Are you, . . . "
- 136 BRENNEMAN: "I'm asking, I guess for the reasoning behind splitting Lincoln City and . . . " $\,$
- 137 BRADBURY: "Are you basically saying that one district is completely rural and the other is urban, is that what your argument is? I'm not sure I understand."
- 138 BRENNEMAN: "What you're doing with this plan is your splitting the north end of Lincoln City away from the City of Lincoln City and you're placing it in a southern portion of Tillamook County which is entirely rural. And I wanted to know what your reasoning is, when you've given testimony and arguments, about keeping communities of interest together, when that clearly divides a community of interest in Lincoln City. Splitting it and throwing Lincoln City residents into south Tillamook rural county."
- 146 BRADBURY: "Well I think, I would fall back again on my reasoning is that the two representatives feel that that is a very good way to continue the representation of the overall community of interest that they represent and I'm comfortable with the proposal they've made."
- 150 BRENNEMAN: "Well I represent that area in my senate district and there isn't any community of interest between North Lincoln City and the south end of Tillamook County. However, keeping the City of Sheridan whole I can understand the reasoning about that, but there's no logical explanation, or one that I heard here today, given in committee where splitting Lincoln City was in the best interest of the north end of the citizens of Lincoln City. And I hoped maybe someone could establish that, the testimony given by the two representatives never dwelt on why

- it was important to split Lincoln City and throw North Lincoln City residents into the southern rural Tillamook County area."
- 163 OTTO: "Sen. Brenneman, I . . ."
- 163 BRENNEMAN: "(Unintelligible) talked about . . ."
- 163 OTTO: " \hdots . . . think that is going back to the 1980 census. Historically it was done then."
- 165 BRENNEMAN: "There has been a problem in that community. A lot of citizens of Lincoln City have never liked the fact that it was split in the north end and have argued, I think, quite strongly in the meeting in Lincoln City, about how they wanted Lincoln County restored."
- 170 OTTO: "Do you have more Sen. Brenneman."
- 170 BRENNEMAN: "That's what I had on that particular amendment. I'd hoped to review some of the others in the en bloc motion, but it's a little difficult to take 'em without just a little bit of time to look through them all."
- 174 OTTO: "Now would be the time to do it."
- 175 BRENNEMAN: "I'm trying to."
- 175 BUNN: "Mr. Chair, while Sen. Brenneman is looking at that, possibly I could ask a couple of questions. I would like to ask a question of Sen. Bradbury. In the discussions that you had with the representatives from that area, did they discuss, either of them, the significance of the Committee Plan as first proposed, having a majority of the vote in Rep. Josi's district coming from Yamhill County?"
- 183 BRADBURY: "No."
- 183 BUNN: "Did they discuss any political criteria for drawing the lines?" $\,$
- 184 BRADBURY: "No, I think the discussion that I was involved with, and I can't make a comment on all of their discussions, I don't spend a lot of time with them, but their concern was, as I understand it, the community of interest around the highway and I don't, that's my understanding, is they just presented that, they said this was what made the most sense and they felt this would be the best way to represent the area. So I, frankly, accept their judgement, as people who come from that area. I don't know exactly where on the highway they're talking about or anything like that. I just essentially accept their judgement."
- 195 BUNN: "Okay, so I assume it would be frivolous to ask if Sheridan has a stronger community interest than Lincoln City, I guess if you rely on their judgement, (unintelligible). I don't, yeah, I, (unintelligible). Okay, thank you. Mr. Chair, I share the same problem that Sen. Brenneman does. When we take about ten amendments at a time it is very difficult to identify the items within each question. But, obviously that was your decision in accepting them en bloc."
- 205 SPRINGER: "Okay, move the previous question, Mr. Chair."
- 206 OTTO: "Previous question has been called for. All those in favor of the previous question signify by saying 'aye'."
- 207 Unidentified ayes.
- 209 BUNN: "I'd ask for a roll call vote."
- 209 OTTO: "Alright, roll call vote."
- 210 VOTE: MOTION CARRIED, 4-2. EXCUSED: SEN. YIH. (VOTING NO: SEN. BRENNEMAN, SEN. BUNN).
- 214 OTTO: "Motion carried. And now we'll go back to Sen. Bradbury's, original motion. Would you please state that Sen. Bradbury?"
- 216 BRADBURY: "You want to restate the motion Mr. Chair?"
- 216 OTTO: "Yeah, it's the same motion, but so that the (unintelligible)." $\,$
- 218 BRADBURY: "Yes, the motion is to amend the Committee Plan with Tri-County Amendments #1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and 8 and Coastal Amendments #1 and 2 and Mid-Valley Amendment #1.
- 224 VOTE: MOTION CARRIED, 4-2. EXCUSED: SEN. YIH. (VOTING NO: SEN. BRENNEMAN, SEN. BUNN).
- 226 BUNN: "Mr. Chair, I'm going to vote no, but I would also like to object, for the record. This is the first time I've ever had a previous question used in a committee at a time where we have ten issues, and

```
something of this significance. I think that's a problem. And I vote
no "
230 OTTO: "Okay."
231 Continued with roll call.
233 OTTO: "Motion carried. Any other motions?"
234 DUFF: "Mr. Chair, I move to amend . . . "
234 BUNN: "Mr. Chair?"
234 OTTO: "Sen. Duff."
234 DUFF: ". . . by inserting Eastern Amendment #1." (Exhibit A)
235 MOTION: SEN. DUFF MOVED TO AMEND SB 1000 BY ADOPTING EASTERN
AMENDMENT #1, PG. 11, EXHIBIT A.
236 OTTO: "Sen. Duff moves the Eastern Oregon Amendment #1, discussion?"
239 DUFF: "Mr. Chairman, working in a bi-partisan way we have worked in
the Eastern Oregon region. I think that this will fit and enhance our
efforts to work within our community of interest."
242 OTTO: "Okav."
242 BUNN: "Mr. Chair?"
242 OTTO: "Sen. Bunn."
243 BUNN: "I think of the plan as submitted, by splitting Klamath the
way it does, causes a major problem and I think it divides communities
of interest much more than uniting them. And I would oppose it for those reasons. And besides that I would like to point out it does have a
deviation of over three percent negative, which is rather significant."
250 OTTO: "Further comments? Call for the question and take the roll
please."
252 VOTE: MOTION CARRIED, 4-2. EXCUSED: SEN. YIH. (VOTING NO: SEN.
BRENNEMAN, SEN. BUNN).
257 OTTO: "Motion carried."
257 BUNN: "Mr. Chair?"
257 OTTO: "Sen. Bunn."
258 BUNN: "Can someone identify for me the amendment number submitted by
Sen. Kintigh, I can't find it on the sheet?
261 RYDER: "The Mid-Valley . . . "
261 BUNN: "Okay, I would move . . ."
262 RYDER: " . . . Mid-Valley #3", (pg. 8, #3, Exhibit A).
262 BUNN: "Mr. Chair, I would move the Mid-Valley #3 Amendment."
262 MOTION: SEN. BUNN MOVED TO AMEND SB 1000 BY ADOPTING THE MID- VALLEY
#3 AMENDMENT, PG. 8, EXHIBIT A.
263 OTTO: "Sen. Bunn moves Mid-Valley #3 Amendment, discussion?"
265 BUNN: "Mr. Chair, I think that this amendment is necessary to
protect the community of interest. Clearly a rural area has been grabbed in the plan by the Springfield area and this simply retains that
area in a rural community and it also ends up with a better population distribution. And I think it is very unfortunate that the Senate
Democrat Plan has gone out of Springfield, with a finger around Sen.
Kintigh's residence, literally to the block level. And I think that should be corrected."
274 OTTO: "Further discussion. Your motion was to . . ."
276 BUNN: "Adopt the Mid-Valley Amendment #3."
277 OTTO: "Sen. Bunn's motion was to adopt Mid-Valley Amendment #3.
Call the roll please."
280 VOTE: MOTION FAILED, 2-4. EXCUSED: SEN. YIH. (VOTING NO: SEN.
BRADBURY, SEN. DUFF, SEN. SPRINGER, CHAIR OTTO).
284 OTTO: "Motion failed."
285 BUNN: "Mr. Chair?"
285 OTTO: "Sen. Bunn."
286 BUNN: "I move the Tri-County Amendment #4."
```

```
287 MOTION: SEN. BUNN MOVED TO AMEND SB 1000 BY ADOPTING THE TRI- COUNTY
AMENDMENT #4, PG. 2, EXHIBIT A.
287 OTTO: "Sen. Bunn moves Tri-County Amendment #4, discussion."
288 BUNN: "Mr. President, I think we have heard bi-partisan testimony
for the need to protect the integrity of Clackamas County, this
amendment does that. I think everyone's had the opportunity to see Sen.
Kennemer's testimony, (Exhibit E), that goes into further detail so I
won't take more of our time now."
294 OTTO: "Okay, Sen. Bunn moves Tri-County Amendment #4. Discussion,
call the roll please."
297 VOTE: MOTION FAILED, 4-2. EXCUSED: SEN. YIH. (VOTING NO: SEN. BRADBURY, SEN. DUFF, SEN. SPRINGER, CHAIR OTTO).
301 OTTO: "Motion failed."
302 BUNN: "Mr. Chair?"
302 OTTO: "Sen. Bunn."
302 BUNN: "I move Coastal Amendment #3."
302 MOTION: SEN. BUNN MOVED TO AMEND SB 1000 BY ADOPTING COASTAL
AMENDMENT #3, PG. 6, EXHIBIT A.
303 OTTO: "Sen. Bunn moves Coastal Amendment #3."
304 BUNN: "Mr. Chair, this is the amendment that I was discussing with
Sen. Bradbury earlier. This is one of those nice amendments that does
nothing to split a community of interest, it simply makes Newberg whole,
makes the Yamhill school district area whole and it's one of those that
we should be able to support without any problem, based upon community
of interest, population, minority counts or any other figure.'
313 OTTO: "Further comments, call the roll please. This is, let's
identify it again."
316 BUNN: "This would take . . ."
316 OTTO: "No, I mean . . . "
316 BUNN: "Coastal Amendment #3."
317 OTTO: "Coastal Amendment #4."
317 Numerous corrections to three.
317 OTTO: "Three. Call the roll please."
318 VOTE: MOTION FAILED, 2-4. EXCUSED: SEN. YIH. (VOTING NO: SEN. BRADBURY, SEN. DUFF, SEN. SPRINGER, CHAIR OTTO).
322 OTTO: "Motion failed."
323 BUNN: "Mr. Chair?"
323 OTTO: "Sen. Bunn."
323 BUNN: "I would move Southern Amendment #2."
324 OTTO: "Sen. Bunn moves Southern Amendment #2."
325 UNKNOWN: "Three."
325 BUNN: "I'll move two and then I'll . . ."
326 UNKNOWN: "Two, I'm sorry."
327 OTTO: "Which is it, two or three?"
328 BUNN: "It is two."
328 MOTION: SEN. BUNN MOVED TO AMEND SB 1000 BY ADOPTING SOUTHERN
AMENDMENT #2, PG. 9, EXHIBIT A.
328 OTTO: "Alright, Sen. Bunn moves Southern Oregon Amendment #2.
331 BUNN: "Mr. Chair, I have a major concern over the deviation, but it
is preferable to an earlier amendment and I think it would help. It
would give us the lesser of two evils.
334 OTTO: "Further comment? Call the roll please."
335 VOTE: MOTION FAILED, 2-4. EXCUSED: SEN. YIH. (VOTING NO: SEN.
```

BRADBURY, SEN. DUFF, SEN. SPRINGER, CHAIR OTTO).

- 338 OTTO: "Motion failed."
- 339 BUNN: "Mr. Chair, I would move Southern Amendment #3."
- 340 MOTION: SEN. BUNN MOVED TO AMEND SB 1000 BY ADOPTING SOUTHERN AMENDMENT #3, PG. 10, EXHIBIT A.
- 341 OTTO: "Sen. Bunn moves Southern Amendment #3. Discussion?"
- 344 BRADBURY: "Mr. Chair?"
- 344 OTTO: "Sen. Bradbury."
- 345 BRADBURY: "Just a comment, Southern Amendment #3, as I understand it, divides Ashland and, for the alleged purpose of sustaining the community of interest between Bend and Ashland. Which is about as far apart as any two communities I'm aware of. So, I object to this, as, it doesn't make much sense to me to try and keep Ashland and Bend relating to each other."
- 355 BUNN: "Mr. Chair?"
- 354 OTTO: "Sen. Bunn."
- 355 BUNN: "As we've had heard testimony before, Bend has a very strong tourist economy, Ashland has a very strong tourist economy. And I think that you can make a very valid argument, the northeastern Jackson County is certainly not as close to the type of community that Bend is and the Ashland community is. And therefore, we do protect a community of interest, as much as you can do so in that area and therefore I support the amendment."
- 366 BUNN: "My motion is to adopt Southern Amendment #3. And I would just again state for the record I'm concerned about the deviation, but it is the lesser of evils."
- 370 OTTO: "Sen. Bunn moves that we adopt the Southern Amendment $\mbox{\tt\#3.}$ Call the roll please."
- 372 VOTE: MOTION FAILED, 2-4. EXCUSED: SEN. YIH. (VOTING NO: SEN. BRADBURY, SEN. DUFF, SEN. SPRINGER, CHAIR OTTO).
- 377 OTTO: "Motion failed. Anything else to bring before the committee?"
- 379 RYDER: "Did you want those letters entered?"
- 380 OTTO: "Yes, Gail, please enter the letters."
- 382 RYDER: "Mr. Chair, we received a letter from Sen. Bunn and Sen. Brenneman yesterday, (Exhibit H) and you had a response drafted, (Exhibit I), and I'm entering them for the record. The result of it is that we're going to be extending the work session on this until Thursday, to allow the Minority Office additional time to prepare amendments."
- 389 OTTO: "Thank you."
- 392 BUNN: "Mr. Chair?"
- 392 OTTO: "Sen. Bunn."
- 393 BUNN: "Did I understand correctly from the letter that this extension is only for the Minority Office?"
- 394 OTTO: "I think that's the only one it was mailed to, is that correct Gail?" $\,$
- 397 RYDER: "Yes that's true."
- 397 BUNN: "So other groups will not have the same opportunity for amendments?" $\,$
- 398 OTTO: "They will not have the same opportunity."
- 399 BUNN: "Thank you."
- 400 BRADBURY: "Mr. Chair, I think it is important to note that the deadline we have been operating under was have amendments submitted by Monday noon. The Senate Minority Office needed some additional data and the committee has agreed to provide that additional data to enable them to propose additional amendments. But other people seem to be able to put in their amendments by Monday at noon."
- 412 OTTO: "Okay, the two letters are entered into the record. Anything else to bring before the committee?" $\,$
- 415 RYDER: "Mr. Goldstein."
- 417 UNKNOWN: "(Unintelligible) not a public hearing."

```
418 SPRINGER: "Do we need a motion on the bill?"
```

418 RYDER: "No, not until Thursday. Mr. Chair for the members information what we will do is then prepare some plots that, that show these amendments as you've adopted them today and we'll have them ready for you on your meeting on Thursday."

424 OTTO: "Okay, anything else to bring before the committee? We're adjourned."

424 Meeting adjourned at 5:15 p.m.

Verbatim Transcription By:

Reviewed By:

Linda K. Waters Ryder Assistant

Senior Administrator

EXHIBIT LOG

A - Proposed amendments, Staff, 12 pgs. B - Population summary report, Staff, 63 pgs. C - Maps of proposed amendments, Staff, 36 pgs. D - Testimony, Josi, 2 pgs. E - Kennemer testimony, Bunn, 2 pgs. F - Deviation figures, Yih, 1 pg. G - Testimony, Rijken, 2 pgs. H - Letter, Staff, 2 pgs. I - Letter, Staff, 2 pgs. J - Tri-County Amendment #1, 2 maps K - Tri-County Amendment #2, 2 maps L - Tri-County Amendment #3, 2 maps M - Tri-County Amendment #4, 6 maps N - Tri-County Amendment #5, 2 maps O - Tri-County Amendment #6, 2 maps P - Tri-County Amendment #8, 2 maps Q - Coastal Amendment #1, 2 maps R - Coastal Amendment #2, 2 maps S - Coastal Amendment #3, 2 maps T - Mid-Valley Amendment #1, 2 maps U - Mid-Valley Amendment #3, 2 maps W - Southern Amendment #1-3, 4 maps X - Eastern Oregon #1, 2 maps

Gail