Tapes 163, (A)

Work Session: SB 814

SENATE COMMITTEE ON REVENUE AND SCHOOL FINANCE

May 22, 1991 1:00 PM Hearing Room A State Capitol Building

Members Present: Senator Jane Cease, Chair

Senator Joan Dukes, Vice Chair

Senator John Brenneman (arrived 1:45)

Senator Shirley Gold

Senator Ron Grensky (arrived 1:26)

Senator Tricia Smith

Members Excused: Senator Bill McCoy

Staff Present: Terry Drake, Legislative Revenue Office Mary Ann Zimmermann, Committee Assistant

Witnesses Present: Rick Burke, Department of Education (DOE) Walter Koscher, DOE TAPE 163 SIDE A

005 CHAIR CEASE called the meeting to order at 1:25 and conducted administrative business.

WORK SESSION - SB 814

042 RICK BURKE discussed district enrollment for Independent Education Program (IEP) students is 10 percent of the 2.0 special education weighting. The issue of inaccurate reporting to produce more entitlement to the 2.0 weighting was addressed. The recommendation was to investigate districts that are outside the range of 8-12 percent of qualifying children.

070 CHAIR CEASE recommended amendments requiring accurate reporting with review for the special education component of the formula.

 $\ensuremath{\text{O79}}$  CHAIR CEASE reviewed the ability of districts to use local election funds is an option in SB 814.

087 TERRY DRAKE explained the levy results will be included in the funding figures for school districts. Previous simulation data allowed for a large percentage of levy passage which actually did happen in yesterday's election (May 21, 1991). Therefore there should be little change from the figures already presented to the committee. EXHIBIT 1

118 SEN. DUKES asked where the current tax rates for districts could be obtained. The figures are available in the School Fund Formula (SFF) simulation. EXHIBIT 2

These minutes paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this meetings. Text enclosed in quotation marks reports the speakers exact words. For complete context of proceedings, please refer to the tape recording.

Senate Committee on

Revenue and

SchoolFinance

~ay 22, 1991 Page 2

128 SEN. DUKES questioned the election results of Columbia 5J School District because of the small number of people that voted. EXHIBIT 1 142 WALTER KOSCHER discussed the election results of May 21, 1991 as depicted in EXHIBIT 1.

160 SEN. SMITH questioned the ballot terminology of "extending the

curriculum downward". The response is a unification of a school district. 171 CHAIR CEASE asked if the districts that passed a levy are in the \$12.50 limitation.

- 178 TERRY DRAKE testified there is a strong correlation between election results and those districts subject to the limitation. Discussion continues with reference to EXHIBIT 1.
- 192 CHAIR CEASE asked for an analysis of the school districts subject to the Measure 5 limitation.
- 198 SEN. DUKES questioned the serial levy in EXHIBIT 1.
- 203 WALTER KOSCHER explained many serial levies have diminished but there are different types. The serial levy in EXHIBIT 1 was for a capital construction project.
- 227 Discussion follows regarding the difference of issuing a serial levy rather than an operation bond for capital construction. Reference was made to districts passing a serial levy for capital construction that are already at the cap therefore the state will pick up the bill. It was pointed out that SB 814 is not earmarking replacement dollars therefore a district would not receive state dollars to support a serial levy.
  259 TERRY DRAKE discussed that 80 percent of the levy failures in EXHIBIT 1 are districts not subject to the Measure 5 limitation.

 $275\,$  CHAIR CEASE conducted administrative business and adjourned the meeting at 1:47.

Mary Ann Zimmermann, Committee Assistant Kimberly Taylor, Office Manager EXHIBIT SUMMARY

1. May 21, 1991 Election Results, DOE, 5/22/91 - SB 814 2. SFF Draft Simulation, LRO, 5/20/91 (See Senate Revenue Minutes from 5/20/91, EXHIBIT 1 - SB 814)

These minutes paraphrase and/or summarize statements made during this meetings. Text enclosed in quotation marks reports the speakers exact words. For complete context of proceedings, please refer to the tape recording.