See: Minutes of Commission 1/9/70, p. 6, Vol. IX, Tapes #42 & 43

CRIMINAL LAW REVISION COMMISSION 311 Capitol Building Salem, Oregon

ARTICLE 28 . PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES

Preliminary Draft No. 2; December 1969

Reporter: Roger D. Wallingford

Subcommittee No. 2

ARTICLE 28 . PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES

Preliminary Draft No. 2; December 1969

Section 1. <u>Prostitution and related offenses; definitions</u>. As used in this Article, unless the context requires otherwise:

(1) "Deviate sexual intercourse" means sexual conduct between persons not married to each other consisting of contact between the sex organs of one person and the mouth or anus of another.

(2) "Place of prostitution" means any place where prostitution is practiced.

(3) "Prostitute" means a male or female person who engages in sexual conduct for a fee.

(4) "Prostitution enterprise" means an arrangement whereby two or more prostitutes are organized to conduct prostitution activities.

(5) "Public place" means a place to which the general public has access, and <u>lincludes</u>, but is not limited to, hallways, lobbies and other parts of apartment houses and hotels not constituting rooms or apartments designed for actual residence, and highways, streets, schools, places of amusement, parks, playgrounds and premises used in connection with public passenger transportation.

(6) "Sexual conduct" means "sexual intercourse" and "deviate sexual intercourse".

(7) "Sexual intercourse" has its ordinary meaning and occurs upon any penetration, however slight; emission is not required. Page 2 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES Preliminary Draft No. 2

COMMENTARY - PROSTITUTION OFFENSES; DEFINITIONS

A. Summary

These seven terms have been defined to bring clarity to the sections on prohibited prostitutional activity. While the word "prostitution" is not separately defined, it clearly means the type of activity engaged in by a"prostitute" defined as "sexual conduct" for a fee.

"Prostitute" is defined to include both heterosexual and homosexual activity, i.e., the sex of the parties to an act of prostitution is immaterial.

The definition of "sexual intercourse" and "deviate sexual intercourse" are identical to those applied to the Article on Sexual Offenses.

The definition of "public place" restates the meaning given that term in the Article on Riot and Disorderly Conduct.

"Place of prostitution" refers to any "situs" where acts of prostitution are attempted or practiced.

A "prostitution enterprise" is defined to include a "string" or "group" of two or more prostitutes organized to engage in prostitution activities without a fixed operational situs.

B. Derivation

The definitions, while drafted to reflect contemporary trends in the law of prostitution, represent a restatement of the general law in that field. No particular models were relied upon in formulating the definitions of subsections (2), (3) and (4).

C. Relationship to Existing Law

These definitions are new to existing law.

Two significant departures from existing law on prostitution will be fashioned by the applicability of the terms "prostitute" and "sexual conduct." The law will encompass both male and female prostitutes, and the sexual activity prohibited will extend beyond ordinary sexual intercourse to deviate sexual behavior. Page 3 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES Preliminary Draft No.2

Section 2. <u>Prostitution</u>. A person commits the crime of prostitution if he engages in or offers or agrees to engage in sexual conduct in return for a fee.

Existing Law ORS 166.060 (d)

COMMENTARY - PROSTITUTION

A. Summary

The origins of prostitution as a social phenomenon are now obscured by a distant past. It is obvious that there came a time in man's development when his insistent demand for sexual release was matched by a commercialized response from the female of the species. The social, moral, physical, psychological and legal problems wrought by this "conspiracy of self-interest" has continued to plague the sensibilities of man throughout history.

Research material on the subject of prostitution is voluminous; conflicting moral and legal considerations are abundant. While most of the civilized world continues to condemn prostitution, it is generally recognized that so long as the demand exists it will continue to prosper. Sustained prohibitory admonitions by church and state have failed to reform the supply or abate the demand.

In spite of prostitution's persistent will to survive, the arguments favoring continued penal legislation in the area are persuasive. Conflicting views are represented by the following authorities:

Barnes & Teeters, New Horizons in Criminology, pp. 92-96, (3rd ed 1959):

"There are two sharply divided schools of thought on handling the problem of 'the world's oldestprofession'. The moralists and purists attack prostitution head-on, without considering the underlying causes of the trouble or the complex aftermath of their campaigns. They close up segregated districts and houses of assignation and throw the street walker in jail. They do nothing to remove the causes of prostitution; they simply spread it where it cannot be effectively controlled or inspected. But they cannot really suppress the practice, so long as the demand and the supply exists....

Page 4 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES Preliminary Draft No. 2

"These short-sighted purist campaigns have had the particularly disastrous effect of making prostitution an ideal field for syndicates. The more practical school argues that we cannot do away with the evil without removing the causes and that such causes cannot be speedily removed. Their program involves segregated districts, public licensing, thorough medical inspection, the protection of prostitutes from exploitation by irresponsible third parties, and appropriate public health education measures....

"Some of the reasons men patronize prostitutes are cogently reviewed in the Kinsey report: (Kinsey, et al, Sexual Behavior in the Human Male, W. B. Saunders Co., 1948, pp. 606-608) ... a lack of sexual outlets in other directions; it is substantially cheaper than marrying or supporting a girl; they can forget other responsibilities or worries such as fear of pregnancy...

"What is to be done with prostitutes who are sent to jails and to reformatories? Prostitution in many states is a crime. But it is absurd to round up streetwalkers, arrest and send them away for treatment, unless there is some object other than to penalize them for plying a trade that is condoned by enough people to make it profitable. Arrest is not a deterrent, for many prostitutes carry on, no matter how often they are arrested...

"Until a program can be inaugurated that will insure the elimination of the panderer and place definite control of the problem in the hands of public welfare agencies, we can expect no adequate solution of the problem of the prostitute. But prostitutes should not be sent to penal institutions, no matter how kind the superintendents are or how understanding they may be about the problem. At present, the great majority of the girls and women in our state reformatories are, or were, prostitutes. The money saved by keeping them out of these prisons could well be applied to paying the salaries of trained personnel, including case workers, psychiatrists, and medical physicians who would handle each case on its individual merits ... "

Bloch, Crime in America, p. 273 (1961):

"Prostitution is essentially a social, medical and psychiatric problem, rather than a legal problem. Nevertheless, laws aimed at the procurer, transporter, operator, abductor and 'pimp' are properly in the scope of the law, as they involve exploitation. The Wolfenden Committee examined and

Page 5 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES Preliminary Draft No. 2

rejected the concept of legalized prostitution as giving an active legal sanction to a socially undesirable traffic. It considered public solicitation for immoral purposes the proper sphere of legal control, while exempting prostitution in itself from criminal responsibility because the law 'is not concerned with private morals or with ethical sanctions'." (Wolfenden Report on Homosexual Offenses and Prostitution, 1957, London, England).

25 Law and Contemporary Problems, p. 223 (1960)

"Prostitution might serve as [an] example... not so much of confusion as of evasion. The 'call girl' phenomenon in America today is simply a sophistication of the older practice. Christian culture has always been two-sided about prostitution -- unfairly condemning prostitutes, while winking at or even justifying toleration of prostitutes as a necessary evil. St. Thomas Acquinas reasoned that God allows it 'lest certain goods be lost or certain greater evils be incurred'. (St. Thomas Acquinas, Summa Theologue II-II, Qx, II). In the United States it is a criminal offense in all states, defined as the indiscriminate offer of sexual intercourse for hire; but in England, it is not in itself an offense, although certainly the law there prohibits certain features that commonly attend it, such as street and public solicitation ...

"About 69% of the total male population has some experience with prostitution, but a large part have had only one or two experiences, and some 15 to 20% have relations more than a few times a year. Prostitutes account for less than 10% of the total nonmarital sexual outlet for males. (Statistics from Kinsey, et al, supra, p. 597)...

"Prostitution is opposed [in the United States] on many grounds:

"(1) the degradation of the women who engage in it;

"(2) the threat to public health because of the transmission of venereal disease;

"(3) the effect on general law enforcement through police protection;

Page 6 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES Preliminary Draft No. 2

"(4) the effect on marital relations where recourse is had to prostitution; and

"(5) the patronage of prostitutes by young persons...."

Watson, Psychology for Lawyers, p. 155 (1960):

"Lack of either outlet, prostitution or female friends, may force [the man] to express his maladjustments in less desirable forms of sexual conduct like pedophilia or even rape...."

In summary, the arguments in favor of continued penal repression of commercialized sex are:

(1) Prostitution is a significant factor in the spread of venereal disease.

(2) Prostitution is a source of profit and influence for criminal groups that traffic in other illegal activities.

(3) Prostitution is a corrupting influence on government and law enforcement agencies.

(4) Prostitution is a significant factor in social disorganization, undermining marriage, the home and individual character.

(5) No practical alternatives are presently available to effectively deal with the problem of prostitution on a non-penal basis.

Those who favor legalization of prostitution under public supervision support their argument with the following rationale:

(1) The law cannot eliminate prostitution.

(2) Sumptuary laws incapable of effective enforcement encourage extortion and arbitrary prosecution.

(3) Absence of a commercial outlet for male sexuality results in an increase in sexual crimes.

(4) Registration and periodic health inspections best control the spread of venereal disease.

(5) Legalized prostitution affords less opportunity for official corruption than does total repression.

(6) The containment of prostitution to certain areas facilitates police protection for the general community. Page 7 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES Preliminary Draft No. 2

> Your reporter feels that the advocates of tolerated prostitution have yet to formulate socially acceptable alternatives to prohibitory legislation. The proposed draft, therefore, is designed to maintain the existing pattern of the law in the United States.

Traditionally, the term "prostitute" included only the female. Changing sexual mores in our society has wrought an alteration in this traditional view. In applying the proposed sections to persons of the same sex, and to the male prostitute, a more realistic appraisal of the problem is achieved.

The section covers all types of commercial sexual activity, whether heterosexual or homosexual. Since commercial prostitution makes available varied forms of abnormal sexual gratification, it would be unrealistic to confine coverage to "sexual intercourse" alone.

The commercial character of the conduct prohibited is expressed in the term "for a fee." The Model Penal Code speaks in terms of sexual activity "as a business." The California and Illinois Codes use the term "for money." The Michigan and New York Codes use the term "for a fee." It was felt that "as a business" lacked clarity when applied to an isolated act of prostitution. The term "for money" may be unduly restrictive in view of other forms of valuable consideration that may bind the transaction.

B. Derivation

With minor changes, the proposed section is derived from New York Revised Penal Law section 230.00 and Michigan Revised Criminal Code section 6201.

C. Relationship to Existing Law

ORS 166.060. Vagrancy. "(1) The following described persons are guilty of vagrancy and shall be punished upon conviction by imprisonment in the county jail for a period not exceeding six months, or by a fine of not more than \$100, or both:

"(d) Every common prostitute."

In <u>State v. Gustin</u>, 244 Or 531, 419 P2d 429 (1966), the defendant was convicted of vagrancy by prostitution and appealed. The issue raised was whether a single act of solicitation establishes the fact that a person is a common prostitute.

Page 8 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES Preliminary Draft No. 2

> The Court quoted with approval <u>Davis v. Sladden</u>, 17 Or 259, 21 P 140 (1889), approved in <u>Barnett v. Phelps</u>, 97 Or 242, 191 P 502, 11 ALR 663 (1920):

"Said Appleton, C. J.: 'A prostitute is a female given to indiscriminate lewdness for gain; it is the practice of a female offering her body to an indiscriminate intercourse with men.'

"When, then, the word 'prostitute' is applied to a woman, it is meant that she is given to the practice of offering her body to promiscuous intercourse with men for gain. Adultery may be committed by one act of illicit intercourse, but the female to whom the word 'prostitute' can be applied has only gained that character by a long continuance in the vice of lewdness..."

The Court in <u>Gustin</u> held that since the stipulation disclosed but a single offer of illicit intercourse, the evidence had failed to sustain the charge. The Court construed the word "common" used to describe the word "prostitute" in the statute as emphasizing the fact that the statute was directed against women who are given to the practice of offering themselves for <u>promiscuous</u> intercourse with men.

In <u>State v. Perry</u>, 86 Adv Sh 1 (1968), defendant appealed a conviction of the crime of vagrancy in being a common prostitute. Defendant contended that ORS 166.060 (d) was unconstitutionally vague, providing no standard by which the status of a "common prostitute" may be determined.

The Court pointed out that ORS 166.060 (d) does not proscribe and make punishable a specific act of prostitution; it defines the crime in terms of the defendant's status or condition. The Court held that vagrancy may be established by proof of a single act. In discussing the term "common," the Court commented:

"The feature which distinguishes a prostitute from other women who engage in illicit intercourse is the indiscrimination with which she offers herself to men for hire. The term 'common' is frequently used to describe this indiscrimination, and thus the term becomes a redundancy in the expression 'common prostitute'."

The Court then held that to the extent language in State v. Gustin could be interpreted to mean that evidence showing more than one act is necessary to establish a crime under ORS 166.060 (d), it was rejected.

Page 9 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES Preliminary Draft No. 2

The Court closed its decision with a critical analysis of ORS 166.060 (d) as applied to the crime of prostitution:

"The complexities created by the enactment of ORS 166.060 (d) as a crime of personal condition as distinguished from a crime of action present serious questions of their constitutionality as well as difficult problems of procedure and evidence and should prompt the legislature to heed the advice of Professor Sherry in Vagrants, Rogues and Vagabonds -- Old Concepts in Need of Revision, 48 Calif L Rev 537, 567 (1960):

"'In these circumstances the time is surely at hand to modernize the vagrancy concept or, better yet, to abandon it altogether for statutes which will harmonize with notions of a decent, fair and just administration of criminal justice and which will at the same time make it possible for police departments to discharge their responsibilities in a straightforward manner without the evasions and hypocrisies which so many of our procedural rules force upon them. This may be done by drafting legislation having to do with conduct rather than status, legislation which will describe the acts to be proscribed with precision and which will be free of the hazy penumbra of medieval ideas of social control characteristic of existing law'."

Page 10 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES

TEXT OF MODEL PENAL CODE

Section 251.2. Prostitution and Related Offenses.

(1) Prostitution. A person is guilty of prostitution, a pettty misdemeanor, if he or she:

(a) is an inmate of a house of prostitution or otherwise engages in sexual activity as a business; or

(b) loiters in or within view of any public place for the purpose of being hired to engage in sexual activity.

"Sexual activity" includes homosexual and other deviate sexual relations. A "house of prostitution" is any place where prostitution or promotion of prostitution is regularly carried on by one person under the control, management or supervision of another. An "inmate" is a person who engages in prostitution in or through the agency of a house of prostitution. "Public place" means any place to which the public or any substantial group thereof has access.

#

TEXT OF ILLINOIS CRIMINAL CODE OF 1961

§ 11-14. Prostitution

(a) Any person who performs, offers or agrees to perform any of the following acts for money commits an act of prostitution:

(1) Any act of sexual intercourse; or

(2) Any act of deviate sexual conduct.

(b) Penalty.

A person convicted of prostitution shall be fined not to exceed \$200 or imprisoned in a penal institution other than the penitentiary not to exceed one year, or both. 1961, July 28, Laws 1961, p. 1983, § 11-14.

###

Page 11 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES

TEXT OF CALIFORNIA TENTATIVE DRAFT

Section 1800. Prostitution.

Any person who solicits another to engage in or who engages

in any sexual conduct with another for money is guilty of prostitution.

In any prosecution for an offense under this chapter, the sex of the

parties concerned is immaterial.

Prostitution is a petty misdemeanor.

.

TEXT OF NEW YORK REVISED PENAL LAW

§ 230.00 Prostitution

A person is guilty of prostitution when such person engages or agrees or offers to engage in sexual conduct with another person in return for a fee.

Prostitution is a violation. L.1965, c. 1030, eff. Sept. 1, 1967.

#

TEXT OF MICHIGAN REVISED CRIMINAL CODE

[Prostitution]

Sec. 6201. (1) A person commits the crime of prostitution if he engages in or agrees or offers to engage in sexual conduct with another person in return for a fee.

(2) Prostitution is a Class B misdemeanor.

Page 12 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES Preliminary Draft No. 2

Section 3. Promoting prostitution. A person commits	((Existing (Law
the crime of promoting prostitution if, with intent to	(
	(ORS
promote prostitution, he knowingly:	(167.230
	(167.225
(1) Owns, controls, manages, supervises or other-	(167.105
	(167.110
wise maintains a place of prostitution or a prostitution	(167.115
	(167.120
enterprise; or	(167.125
	(167.130
(2) Induces or causes a person to engage in pros-	(167.135
	(167.140
titution or to remain in a place of prostitution; or	(465.110-990
	(434.070

(3) Receives or agrees to receive money or other property, other than as a prostitute being compensated

for personally rendered prostitution services, pursuant to an agreement or understanding that such money or other property is derived from a prostitution activity; or

174.110 (2) 167.240

(4) Engages in any conduct that institutes, aids or facilitates an act or enterprise of prostitution.

COMMENTARY - PROMOTING PROSTITUTION

A. Summary

This section creates a single comprehensive offense covering conduct characteristic of prostitution carried on as a commercial enterprise. Section 3 is designed to reach the typical "panderer," "pimp" and "madam."

The word "promote" is defined to mean, "2. to further the growth or establishment of (something). 3. to work actively and stir up interest for the accomplishment of (something)." (Webster's New World Dict.(1968)).

Page 13 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES Preliminary Draft No. 2

The mens rea requirement applicable to all conduct covered by section 3 is an "intent to promote prostitution." Within the scope of section 3 a person promotes prostitution if he knowingly:

- (1) Provides premises or persons for prostitution purposes; or
- (2) Causes or induces a person to engage in prostitution; or
- (3) Operates or assists in the management of a house of prostitution; or
- (4) Procures or solicits patrons for prostitutes; or
- (5) Receives or agrees to receive money or other property on the understanding that it is derived from prostitution; or
- (6) Transports a person or prostitute into or within the state with the intent of promoting prostitution; or
- (7) Does any other act with the intent of facilitating prostitution.

Section 4 of this Article, compelling prostitution, covers specific aspects of promoting prostitution which are considered to be aggravating factors serving to increase the severity of the offense:

- (1) The use of force or intimidation; or
- (2) Inducing or causing a person under the age of 18 to engage in prostitution; or
- (3) Inducing or causing a person's spouse or child to engage in prostitution.

Subsection (1) covers both the fixed situs and "call girl" type of prostitution activity. It extends to anyone actively participating in such an operation.

Subsection (2) is aimed at the "panderer," defined as "One who solicits for prostitutes or lewd women." (<u>Black's Law</u> <u>Dictionary</u>, p. 1265 (1951)). To "pander" has also been defined as "To entice or procure a female, by promises, threats, fraud, or artifice; to enter any place in which prostitution is practiced, for the purpose of prostitution." (See <u>Boyle v. State</u>, 110 Ark 318, 161 SW 1049). It should be noted that this Article does not restrict the word "prostitute" or prostitution generally to the female. Page 14 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES Preliminary Draft No. 2

> Subsection (3) is directed at the person who knowingly derives a profit from prostitution activity. It is more restricted in scope than is the usual "living off the earnings of a prostitute" statute. Traditional "pimping" statutes penalize "living or deriving support or maintenance in whole or in part from the earnings or proceeds of [a female person's prostitution]." (See Cal Pen Code 266h).

Such legislation is criticized by the Model Penal Code commentator:

"It is obvious that such laws were evolved to help prosecutors convict men believed to be engaged in promoting prostitution, often of their wives, if there were sufficient evidence the man might be convicted of soliciting for the woman. But where evidence of soliciting or other actual complicity in prostitution is lacking, conviction can be had on proof merely that she supports him 'in whole or in part.'

"Such legislation is insupportable in principle and goes well beyond any pragmatic justification which might be urged for it. In no other instance is criminal liability based on the bare fact that one is supported by another person who gains his livelihood illegally." (MPC Commentary, Tent Draft # 9, p. 180 (1959)).

Subsection (3) therefore requires both a knowing receipt of money or other property upon an agreement or understanding that such benefit is derived from prostitution, and an intent to promote prostitution.

Subsection (4) is designed to reach conduct that, in effect, aids and abets an act or enterprise of prostitution. This would include procuring prostitutes for patrons and procuring patrons for prostitutes. It would also reach transportation of persons for purposes of prostitution.

The federal <u>Mann Act</u> (18 USC 2421, 2422, 2423 (1951)) provides a felony penalty for anyone who transports a female across state lines for "prostitution, or debauchery or for any other immoral purpose." There is also substantial state legislation punishing the transportation of females into the state for purposes of prostitution. (See Md Ann Code Gen Laws, art 27, 528 (1952), "through or across" the state). Page 15 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES Preliminary Draft No. 2

> A different issue arises in connection with intrastate or local transportation, where the transporter knows or suspects that the purpose of the trip involves prostitution, e.g., a cab driver delivering a fare to a house of prostitution. A relatively disinterested person should not have to curtail his normal business practices on the basis of knowledge of another person's illicit pursuits. An exemption from criminal liability should apply where the transporter does not directly or indirectly promote or directly profit from prostitution activity.

Subsection (4) therefore requires an <u>intent</u> to promote prostitution, excluding from coverage legitimate activity incidental or collateral to acts or enterprises of prostitution.

It is also not the intention of section 3, particularly in regard to subsections (2) and (4), to reach the patron of a prostitute who, in paying a fee and engaging in sexual conduct, may "cause a person to engage in prostitution," or "engages in... conduct that institutes, aids or facilitates an act...of prostitution."

B. Derivation

The section is taken, with certain modifications, from Model Penal Code section 251.2 (2), (a) through (h).

Page 16 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES Preliminary Draft No. 2

C. Relationship to Existing Law

There are eight penal statutes in the Oregon criminal code directed at the exploitation of prostitution:

ORS:

- 167.105: Keeping a bawdyhouse. One year, \$500 fine.
- <u>167.110</u>: Common fame as evidence of bawdyhouse; rights of lessor.
- <u>167.115</u>: Placing wife in house of prostitution. Wife is a competent witness against her husband. 10 years.
- <u>167.120</u>: Living with, receiving earnings of, or soliciting for a prostitute. 15 years.
- <u>167.125</u>: Procuring female to engage in prostitution. Five years, \$5,000 fine.
- <u>167.130</u>: Transporting female for prostitution purposes. Five years, \$10,000 fine.
- <u>167.135</u>: Procuring or transporting female under 18 for prostitution purposes. 10 years, \$10,000 fine.
- <u>167.140</u>: Sufficiency of female's testimony in prosecution for encouraging prostitution. Must be corroborated by other evidence. Does not apply to ORS 167.120.

ORS 465.110 through 465.990 provides for the abatement of houses of prostitution as a nuisance. Violation of an injunction is punishable as contempt.

ORS 434.070 includes prostitutes and other lewd persons as members of the class which may be required to submit to examination by the State Health Officer. It also prohibits issuance of a certificate to a prostitute indicating freedom from venereal disease.

ORS 174.110 (2) provides that as used in the statutory laws of this state, words used in the masculine gender may include the feminine and the neuter. Page 17 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES Preliminary Draft No. 2

<u>ORS 167.240</u> prohibits inducing a minor to visit a house of prostitution. Penalty:

- If a minor, \$100 fine and six months in MacLaren School for Boys. (For minor visiting house of prostitution)
- (2) If a corporation, \$1,000 fine.
- (3) Any other person, \$250 fine and one year in jail.

ORS 167.225: Taking away female under 16 years of age without consent of parents for purpose of marriage, concubinage or prostitution. Two years and \$500 fine.

The wide disparity in these penalty provisions is not supported by any persuasive rationale. The 15 year penalty for living with or soliciting for a prostitute seems unusually severe when the deleterious effect of that conduct is measured against other prohibited conduct carrying lesser penalties.

ORS 167.115, 167.135 and 167.225 all prohibit conduct that would constitute compelling prostitution under the proposed draft.

<u>ORS 167.125</u> and <u>167.130</u> proscribe conduct that would also be treated as compelling prostitution if the element of force or intimidation were involved.

State v. McGinnis, 56 Or 163, 108 P 132 (1910), held that section 1932, B & C Comp, as amended [now ORS 167.105], directed at keeping a bawdyhouse, denounced acts either of omission or commission, and that if the owner of a structure leased it for purposes of prostitution, or knew that it was being so used and interposed no objection, he is guilty under the statute.

State v. Underwood, 79 Or 338, 155 P 194 (1916), held that an indictment for soliciting another on behalf of a prostitute must allege the name or identity of the prostitute so that the defendant could rebut the evidence of her alleged unlawful occupation. Page 18 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES Preliminary Draft No. 2

> In <u>State v. Goesser</u>, 203 Or 315, 280 P2d 354 (1955), defendant female was convicted under ORS 167.120 for receiving earnings of a common prostitute. The Court reversed on the grounds that the statute was directed solely to the conduct of a male panderer, as indicated by the language "any man". In view of this decision, the Legislative Assembly in 1955 amended ORS 167.120 to read "any person".

State v. McCowan, 203 Or 551, 280 P2d 976 (1955), held that "in a prosecution for receiving the earnings of a common prostitute, the alleged prostitute is not an 'accomplice' within meaning of the statute providing that conviction cannot be had on testimony of an 'accomplice' unless it is corroborated, since she could not be indicted and punished under the same statute which was employed against the defendant."

State v. Norris, 82 Or 680, 162 P 859 (1917), held that within section 2078, OL [now ORS 167.230], defining the crime of soliciting, procuring or enticing a child under 18 years to have sexual intercourse, the words "procure", "solicit", and "entice" each import an initial, active and wrongful effort. ORS 167.230 prohibits the procuring of a child under 18 years for immoral purposes. It would seem to cover procuring a minor for prostitution and placing such minor in a house of prostitution. The penalty is 20 years.

State v. Brown, 245 Or 245, 421 P2d 692 (1966), held that, under ORS 167.130, the failure of the transported female to consummate an act of sexual intercourse was not a valid defense, stating, "The performance or nonperformance of a separate offense by the female, while it may have been relevant in the jury's consideration of the entire transaction, is not a necessary element of the crime charged."

The proposed draft makes no substantive change in existing law. It does combine in one offense various aspects of commercialized prostitution that are presently covered in separate statutes with widely divergent penalties. It is submitted that a more realistic penalty structure will produce more effective prosecution in the area of commercialized prostitution. Page 19 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES

TEXT OF MODEL PENAL CODE

Section 251.2. Prostitution and Related Offenses

(2) <u>Promoting Prestitution</u>. A person who knowingly promotes prostitution of another commits a misdemeanor or felony as provided in Subsection (3). The following acts shall, without limitation of the foregoing, constitute promoting prostitution:

(a) owning, controlling, managing, supervising or otherwise keeping, alone or in association with others, a house of prostitution or a prostitution business; or

(b) procuring an inmate for a house of prostitution or a place in a house of prostitution for one who would be an inmate; or

(c) encouraging, inducing, or otherwise purposely causing another to become or remain a prostitute; or

(d) soliciting a person to patronize a prostitute; or

(e) procuring a prostitute for a patron; or

(f) transporting a person into or within this state with purpose to promote that person's engaging in prostitution, or procuring or paying for transportation with that purpose; or

(g) leasing or otherwise permitting a place controlled by the actor, alone or in association with others, to be regularly used for prostitution or the promotion of prostitution, or failure to make reasonable effort to abate such use by ejecting the tenant, notifying law enforcement authorities, or other legally available means; or

(h) soliciting, receiving, or agreeing to receive any benefit for doing or agreeing to do anything forbidden by this Subsection.

(4) Presumption from Living off Prostitutes. A person, other than the prostitute or the prostitute's minor child or other legal dependent incapable of self-support, who is supported in whole or substantial part by the proceeds of prostitution is presumed to be knowingly promoting prostitution in violation of Subsection (2).

Section 251.2. Prostitution and Related Offenses (Cont'd)

(6) Evidence. On the issue whether a place is a house of prostitution the following shall be admissible evidence: its general repute; the repute of the persons who reside in or frequent the place; the frequency, timing and duration of visits by non-residents. Testimony of a person against his spouse shall be admissible to prove offenses under this Section.

#

TEXT OF ILLINOIS CRIMINAL CODE OF 1961

§ 11-15. Soliciting for a Prostitute

(a) Any person who performs any of the following acts commits soliciting for a prostitute:

- (1) Solicits another for the purpose of prostitution; or
- (2) Arranges or offers to arrange a meeting of persons for the purpose of prostitution; or
- (3) Directs another to a place knowing such direction is for the purpose of prostitution.

(b) Penalty.

A person convicted of soliciting for a prostitute shall be fined not to exceed \$200 or imprisoned in a penal institution other than the penitentiary not to exceed one year, or both. 1961, July 28, Laws 1961, p. 1983, § 11-15.

§ 11-16. Pandering

(a) Any person who performs any of the following acts for money commits pandering:

- (1) Compels a female to become a prostitute; or
- (2) Arranges or offers to arrange a situation in which a female may practice prostitution.

(b) Penalty.

A person convicted of pandering by compulsion shall be imprisoned in the penitentiary from one to 10 years. A person convicted of pandering other than by compulsion shall be imprisoned in a penal institution other than the penitentiary not to exceed one year or in the penitentiary from one to 5 years. 1961, July 28, Laws 1961, p. 1983, § 11-16.

§ 11–19. Pimping

(a) Any person who receives money or other property from a prostitute, not for a lawful consideration, knowing it was earned in whole or in part from the practice of prostitution, commits pimping.

(b) Penalty.

A person convicted of pimping shall be fined not to exceed \$500 or imprisoned in a penal institution other than the penitentiary not to exceed one year, or both. 1961, July 28, Laws 1961, p. 1983, § 11-19.

Page 21

PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES

TEXT OF CALIFORNIA TENTATIVE DRAFT

Section 1803. Promoting Prostitution.

A person'is guilty of promoting prostitution who:

(1) owns, controls, manages, supervises or otherwise keeps, alone or in association with others, a place of prostitution or a prostitution enterprise; or

(2) knowingly solicits, induces or causes a person to commit or engage in prostitution or to reside in or occupy a place of prostitution; or

(3) accepts or receives money or other property pursuant to an agreement or understanding with any person whereby he participates or is to participate in the proceeds of prostitution activity.

Promoting prostitution is a felony of the third degree.

Section 1804. Abetting Prostitution.

A person is guilty of abetting prostitution who:

(1) solicits a person to patronize a prostitute; or

(2) procures a prostitute for a patron; or

(3) knowingly and for the purpose of prostitution, transports any person into, out of or within the state, or who procures or pays for the transportation of any person into, out of or within the state for the purpose of prostitution; or

(4) knowingly permits prostitution in any premises under his possession or control or fails to make reasonable effort to halt or abate such use.

Abetting prostitution is a misdemeanor:

TEXT OF NEW YORK REVISED PENAL LAW

§ 230.15 Promoting prostitution; definitions of terms The following definitions are applicable to this article:

1. "Advance prostitution." A person "advances prostitution" when, acting other than as a prostitute or as a patron thereof, he

knowingly causes or aids a person to commit or engage in prostitution, procures or solicits patrons for prostitution, provides persons or premises for prostitution purposes, operates or assists in the operation of a house of prostitution or a prostitution enterprise, or engages in any other conduct designed to institute, aid or facilitate an act or enterprise of prostitution.

2. "Profit from prostitution." A person "profits from prostitution" when, acting other than as a prostitute receiving compensation for personally rendered prostitution services, he accepts or receives money or other property pursuant to an agreement or understanding with any person whereby he participates or is to participate in the proceeds of prostitution activity. L.1965, c. 1030, eff. Sept. 1, 1967.

§ 230.20 Promoting prostitution in the third degree

A person is guilty of promoting prostitution in the third degree when he knowingly advances or profits from prostitution.

Promoting prostitution in the third degree is a class A misdemeanor. L.1965, c. 1030, eff. Sept. 1, 1967.

§ 230.25 Promoting prostitution in the second degree

A person is guilty of promoting prostitution in the second degree when he knowingly:

1. Advances or profits from prostitution by managing, supervising, controlling or owning, either alone or in association with others, a house of prostitution or a prostitution business or enterprise involving prostitution activity by two or more prostitutes; or

2. Advances or profits from prostitution of a person less than nineteen years old.

Promoting prostitution in the second degree is a class D felony. L.1965, c. 1030, eff. Sept. 1, 1967.

§ 230.30 Promoting prostitution in the first degree

A person is guilty of promoting prostitution in the first degree when he knowingly:

1. Advances prostitution by compelling a person by force or intimidation to engage in prostitution, or profits from such coercive conduct by another; or

2. Advances or profits from prostitution of a person less than sixteen years old.

Promoting prostitution in the first degree is a class C felony. L.1965, c. 1030, eff. Sept. 1, 1967.

TEXT OF MICHIGAN REVISED CRIMINAL CODE

[Promoting Prostitution: Definition of Terms]

Sec. 6220. The following definitions are applicable in the following three sections:

(a) A person "advances prostitution" if, acting other than as a prostitute or a patron of a prostitute, he knowingly causes or aids a person to commit or engage in prostitution, procures or solicits patrons for prostitution, provides persons or premises for prostitution purposes, operates or assists in the operation of a house of prostitution or a prostitution enterprise, or engages in any other conduct designed to institute, aid, or facilitate an act or enterprise of prostitution.

(b) A person "profits from prostitution" if, acting other than as a prostitute receiving compensation for personally-rendered prostitution services, he accepts or receives money or other property pursuant to an agreement or understanding with any person whereby he participates or is to participate in the proceeds of prostitution activity.

[Promoting Prostitution in the First Degree]

Sec. 6221. (1) A person commits the crime of promoting prostitution in the first degree if he knowingly:

(a) Advances prostitution by compelling a person by force or intimidation to engage in prostitution, or profits from such coercive conduct by another; or

(b) Advances or profits from prostitution of a person less than 17 years old.

(2) Promoting prostitution in the first degree is a Class B felony.

[Promoting Prostitution in the Second Degree]

Sec. 6222. (1) A person commits the crime of promoting prostitution in the second degree if he knowingly:

(a) Advances or profits from prostitution by managing, supervising, controlling or owning, either alone or in association with others, a house of prostitution or a prostitution business or enterprise involving prostitution activity by 2 or more prostitutes; or

(b) Advances or profits from prostitution of a person less than 20 years old.

(2) Promoting prostitution in the second degree is a Class C felony.

[Promoting Prostitution in the Third Degree]

Sec. 6223. (1) A person commits the crime of promoting prostitution in the third degree if he knowingly advances or profits from prostitution.

(2) Promoting prostitution in the third degree is a Class A misdemeanor.

###

Page 25 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES Preliminary Draft No. 2

> The induction of a wife into prostitution has traditionally been severely penalized. Society views such conduct as grossly disruptive to the sanctity of marriage and the stability of the home. Subsection (3) extends the scope of such coverage to include children and stepchildren. It is apparent that the public policy rationale is equally applicable to this class of persons. If the child was forced into prostitution, or was less than 18 years old, the provisions of subsections (1) and (2) would also apply.

Section 4 is not intended to apply to the patron of a prostitute but is directed to the third party promoter. If a patron uses force or intimidation to compel a prostitute to engage in sexual conduct, the elements of rape would be present. The term "induces or causes," used in subsections (2) and (3), is not intended to cover a patron who pays a fee to a prostitute in return for sexual favors.

B. Derivation

The basic structure of the section is derived from proposed California Revised Penal Code section 1805. (See Tentative Draft No. 2, June 1968).

C. Relationship to Existing Law

Existing law was discussed in the commentary to section 4, promoting prostitution. The conduct covered in this section is presently prohibited by three Oregon statutes:

ORS 167.125, Coercing female to engage in prostitution. Five year maximum.

ORS 167.115, Placing wife in house of prostitution. Ten year maximum.

ORS 167.135, Procuring female under 18 for prostitution. Ten year maximum.

Under the proposed Article on prostitution, these offenses would not be limited to females, i.e., it would be a crime to coerce a male into prostitution, or to cause a minor male to engage in prostitution. The term "wife" was not changed to "spouse" in the proposed section, since it is highly unlikely that a wife would cause or aid her husband to engage in prostitution. Coverage is provided for a mother who causes her child or stepchild to engage in prostitution. Page 26 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES

TEXT OF CALIFORNIA TENTATIVE DRAFT

Section 1805. Compelling Prostitution.

A person is guilty of compelling prostitution who:

(1) by force, threat or duress compels another to engage in prostitution; or

(2) causes or aids a person under the age of eighteen to commit or engage in prostitution; or

(3) causes or aids his wife, child or any person whose care, protection or support he is responsible for, to commit or engage in prostitution.

Compelling prostitution is a felony of the third degree.

#

Page 24 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES Preliminary Draft No. 2

	فشرييه مجند ومستعربين والمستجر بالمراجع والمستجر والمتعاد والمتعاد
Section 4. Compelling prostitution. A person	((Existing (Law
commits the crime of compelling prostitution if he	(
The second difference of the second sec	(ORS
knowingly:	(167.125
	(167.115
(1) Uses force or intimidation to compel another	(167.135
•	(167,230

to engage in prostitution; or

(2) Induces or causes a person under the age of 18 to engage in prostitution; or ${}_{{}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{C}\otimes^{1}}{}^{\mathcal{C}}$

(3) Induces or causes his wife, child or stepchild to engage in prostitution.

COMMENTARY - COMPELLING PROSTITUTION

A. Summary

Section 4 particularizes three forms of promoting prostitution that are considered aggravating factors serving to increase the seriousness of the offense. It <u>covers</u> coercive conduct characterized by force or duress, conduct that exploits the immature and that which victimizes a dependent person.

Subsection (1) reflects the view that a prostitute's voluntary participation in the prohibited activity is a factor to be considered in measuring the culpability of the "promoter." The social and psychological pressures that draw a person into a life of prostitution are complex and varied. While it is true that penal legislation will never provide a fully effective deterrent to voluntary prostitution, the law should continue to apply forceful deterrents against the use of coercion in this area.

Subsection (2) affirms certain public policy positions:

- (1) The immature deserve particular protection in the area of sexually orientated offenses.
- (2) As maturity increases, there is a concomitant increase in resistance to personal engagement in prostitution.
- (3) The harmful effects of a life of prostitution are cumulative and progressive; involvement at an early age makes reform and rehabilitation more difficult.

Page 27 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES Preliminary Draft No. 2

Section 5. <u>Promoting and compelling prostitution</u>; <u>corroboration</u>. A person shall not be convicted under sections 4 and 6 of this Article solely on the uncorroborated testimony of the person whose prostitution he is alleged to have promoted or compelled.

;	Existing Law	
	ORS 167.140 136.550	-

COMMENTARY - PROMOTING AND COMPELLING PROSTITUTION;

CORROBORATION

A. Summary

This section continues the corroboration requirement in existing law for felony crimes in this area. The corroboration rule is usually not applied in other areas of sexual misconduct. As noted in the commentary to the Sexual Offenses Article:

"...Seduction statutes usually, rape statutes occasionally and sodomy and indecent exposure cases hardly ever, require that the complainant's testimony be corroborated. If such corroboration defense is to be allowed at all there is validity for applying it to all sex offenses. Wigmore disapproves of corroboration requirements in general on the ground that they are unnecessary because (1) jurors are naturally suspicious of such complaints and (2) the court has the power to set aside a verdict for insufficient evidence. <u>7 Wigmore Evidence</u>, s. 2061 (3 ed 1940); 60 ALR 1124, 62 Yale LJ 55 (1952).

"While a general caution against convicting on the bare testimony of the complainant has validity, it would seem that the emphasis would be better placed on the credibility of the complainant than on the mere weight of evidence. If the testimony of the complainant is credible it should be sufficient. Note, 18 Ore L Rev 264 (1939)."

The proposed draft on Sexual Offenses did not include a corroboration requirement.

Page 28 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES Preliminary Draft No. 2

> It is reasonable to assume that the false complainant presents a problem in all areas of sexual offenses, including prostitution. Your reporter feels, though, that a corroboration requirement for prostitution offenses does not create an unjustifiable inconsistency. Certain distinctions may legitimately be drawn between promoting and compelling prostitution and other sexual offenses. There is a commercialized aspect of prostitution that supports corruption for profit; the thrust of social disapproval is directed not at the sexual conduct itself, but towards the environment in which it thrives.

The ordinary complainant in a sex case has been victimized by an isolated criminal act; corroborating evidence is often sparse or nonexistent. To make out the crime of promoting or compelling prostitution an additional affirmative act must be shown -- the act of prostitution itself. It is not an unreasonable burden upon the state to prove by independent evidence that an act of prostitution was, in fact, committed.

Since the crime of attempt, in the Article on Inchoate Crimes, relates to the substantive offense attempted, it is presumed that the corroboration rule would apply equally to an attempt to promote or compel prostitution.

B. Derivation

The section is derived from New York Revised Penal Law section 230.35.

C. Relationship to Existing Law

ORS 167.140. Sufficiency of female's testimony in prosecution for encouraging prostitution. Upon a trial for inveigling, enticing or taking away an unmarried female for the purpose of prostitution, the defendant cannot be convicted upon the testimony of the female injured unless she is corroborated by other evidence tending to connect the defendant with the commission of the crime.

State v. McCowan, 203 Or 551, 280 P2d 976 (1955), held that this section did not apply to ORS 167.120, Living with, receiving earnings of, or soliciting for a prostitute.

ORS 136.550 requires that the testimony of an accomplice be corroborated by other evidence tending to connect the defendant with the commission of the crime.

State v. Barnett, 86 Adv Sh 131, 436 P2d 821 (1968), held that only those who could be punished for the crime for which accused is tried are accomplices. Page 29 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES Preliminary Draft No. 2

> A prostitute would therefore not be an accomplice to the crime of promoting or compelling prostitution.

State v. Caldwell, 241 Or 355, 405 P2d 847 (1965), held that evidence adequate to support a conviction is not essential to constitute corroboration; it is sufficient to meet the requirements of the statute if it fairly and legitimately tends to connect the defendant with the commission of the crime.

The proposed corroboration requirement broadens ORS 167.140 to include the crime of receiving the earnings of or soliciting for a prostitute. This would, in effect, negate the holding in <u>State v. McCowan</u>. If a corroboration rule is required in this area at all, no logical basis exists for the distinction drawn in ORS 167.140. Page 30 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES

TEXT OF NEW YORK REVISED PENAL LAW

§ 230.35 Promoting prostitution; corroboration

A person shall not be convicted of promoting prostitution or of an attempt to commit the same solely on the uncorroborated testimony of a person whose prostitution activity he is alleged to have advanced or attempted to advance, or from whose prostitution activity he is alleged to have profited or attempted to profit. L.1965, c. 1030, eff. Sept. 1, 1967.

###

Page 31 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES Preliminary Draft No. 2

Section 6. <u>Evidence</u>. On the issue of whether a place is a place of prostitution as defined in subsection (6) of section 1 of this Article, its general repute and the repute of persons who reside in or frequent the place shall be competent evidence. In

Existing Law
ORS 167.110 167.115 139.320

Bue zons won't elimite with pui not to testify.

any prosecution under subsection (3) of section 4 of this Article, a wife

is a competent witness against her husband.

COMMENTARY - EVIDENCE

A. Summary

Special evidentiary rules governing admission of reputation of alleged houses of prostitution, as well as the competency of spousal testimony, is common legislation in the field of prostitution. (e.g., Ohio Rev Code Ann 2905.25 (1953); Ga Code Ann 26-6206 (1953); Wis Stat 944.35 (1957)).

Abrogation of the common law rule excluding spousal testimony has special utility in prosecuting pimps and panderers who not infrequently are married to the prostitute. See <u>Wyatt v. United</u> <u>States</u>, 263 F2d 304 (5th Cir 1959), sustaining admissibility of the wife's testimony, without the aid of a statute, on the grounds that even under common law a wife could testify against her husband as to offenses of which she was the victim. There was no evidence in the case that Wyatt's prostitute wife was anything but a willing collaborator with him.

Section 6 does not make a wife a compellable witness as is done by the Oregon nonsupport statute (ORS 167.625). By virtue of the provisions of ORS 139.320 a wife or husband may testify against the other in cases of unlawful acts committed against the minor child of either.

B. Derivation.

With substantial structural changes, the section is derived from Model Penal Code section 251.2 (6).

C. Relationship to Existing Law

ORS 167.110, Common fame as evidence of bawdyhouse: "In all prosecutions under [this statute], common fame is competent evidence in support of the indictment." Page 32 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES Preliminary Draft No. 2

The species and quantum of proof necessary to sustain a conviction under this statute has been twice discussed by the Oregon court:

State v. Thomas, 56 Or 170, 108 P 135 (1910), "Though the character of the house [of ill-fame] and the right to its possession depended upon evidence of common fame, such general reputation, if believed beyond a reasonable doubt, was sufficient to establish the averments of the indictment."

State v. Gold, 133 Or 635, 290 P 1093 (1930), "Evidence of possession of premises by defendant charged with maintaining house of prostitution held sufficient to take case to jury. Acts of defendant charged, in exercising authority over premises and directing and discharging employees, implied that she was in possession."

ORS 167.115, relating to placing a wife in a house of prostitution, states: "In all prosecutions under this section a wife is a competent witness against her husband."

ORS 44.040. Confidential communications. "(a) ... A wife shall not be examined for or against her husband without his consent."

State v. Luper, 49 Or 605, 91 P 444 (1907), held that ORS 44.040 providing that neither husband nor wife shall be examined as to any communication made by one to the other does not apply to criminal proceedings.

ORS 139.320, Husband or wife as witness. "In all criminal actions in which the husband is the party accused, the wife is a competent witness...; but neither husband nor wife in such cases shall be compelled or allowed to testify in such cases unless by consent of both of them... provided, that in all cases of...other unlawful act committed against any minor child of either or both of the parties,...husband or wife shall be allowed to testify against the other."

In State v. LeFils, 209 Or 666, 307 P2d 1048 (1957), the Supreme Court construed ORS 139.320 as it relates to compelling a non-consenting wife to testify over her husband's objections:

"The effect of this statute is 'to remove the subject matter from the field of incompetency of witnesses as at common law and to transfer it to the field of privilege', (State v. Dennis, 177 Or 73, 97, 159 P2d 838, 161 P2d 670 (1945))...except in the instances mentioned in the provisos to this statute, either party may be a witness when the Page 33 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES Preliminary Draft No. 2

> other is the accused, if both have actively consented...Had the legislature intended that the witness-spouse should be compellable in the proviso, the word 'competent' or the word 'compelled' would have been used..."

Another instance of removal of this privilege is found in ORS 167.625, Special rules of evidence for honsupport sections:

"(3) No provisions of law prohibiting the disclosure of confidential communications between husband and wife apply. A wife is a competent and compellable witness."

Page 34 PROSTITUTION AND RELATED OFFENSES

TEXT OF MODEL PENAL CODE

Section 251.2. Prostitution and Related Offenses.

(6) Evidence. On the issue whether a place is a house of prostitution the following shall be admissible evidence: its general repute; the repute of the persons who reside in or frequent the place; the frequency, timing and duration of visits by non-residents. Testimony of a person against his spouse shall be admissible to prove offenses under this Section.

#

TEXT OF CALIFORNIA TENTATIVE DRAFT

Section 1806. Evidence.

On the issue whether a premise is a place of prostitution the following shall be admissible evidence: its general repute and the repute of the persons who reside in or frequent the place. In a prosecution for any offense defined in this chapter, the privileges defined in Evidence Code Sections 970, 971 and 980 do not apply.

