

Oregon Department of Justice Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General

### Oregon Department of Justice Division of Child Support Oregon Child Support System Project

Presentation to the Joint Committee On Information Management and Technology February 5, 2016



### **System Functionality**

A certified child support system must provide a number of key components



## **Oregon's Solution**

The Feasibility Study determined the best approach for Oregon to be a hybrid system:

- Transferring base system functional and technical components from California's system
- Augmented with components from the Michigan and New Jersey systems.
- All three systems have been in successful statewide operation for several years.
- Oregon's system will be built by a contracted vendor with industry experience and expertise.



## **Project Organizational Chart**



# System Project2015-2017

#### **Completed:**

- Project governance plans
  - E.g., Project governance plan, change control & issue management plan, risk management plan, organizational change management plan
- Facility stand-up for design and build
- System hosting procurement development environment
- All primary contractors onboarded
  - CSG Government Solutions Independent Quality Assurance
  - SLI Global Solutions Independent Verification & Validation (IV&V)
  - MAXIMUS Project Management
  - Deloitte Consulting, LLP Implementation (System Integrator)

#### CSG Government Solutions – Independent Quality Assurance

- Conducted and delivered the initial risk assessment report
- Continued risk management tracking and monitoring
- Completed quality management plan and quality assurance baseline project plan and quarterly updates
- Developed quality standards and operational definitions, and quality checklists outlining methodology and delivery
- Reviewed and delivered quality control review reports for 15 key foundational project governance plans
- Conducting independent reviews of deliverables produced by Deloitte

\* The OSCIO analyst participates in the review process for all QA deliverables \* All CSG quality control review reports are shared with the LFO



SLI Global Solutions -

Independent Verification & Validation Contractor (IV&V)

- Developed and delivered the IV&V management plan and communication plan
- Recently conducted the initial onsite federal IV&V review of the Project
- Delivered the draft initial IV&V review report to the federal oversight office (Office of Child Support Enforcement) and OSCIO (as the contract administrator) on December 10
- Delivered the subsequent draft submission to federal office on January 8. When federal office approves final draft, official report will be delivered to DOJ

\* All of SLI Global's final reports are shared with the LFO

#### MAXIMUS – Project Management Contractor

- Assisted DOJ in updating the key foundational project governance plans based on CSG's quality assurance recommendations
- Partnered with DOJ team members to develop and deliver training for the subject matter experts who will participate in the Joint Application Design (JAD) and Joint Technical Design (JTD) sessions
- Partnered with DOJ business analysts to review and refine the system requirements
- Developing plans and training through Organizational Change Management Team to assist the Program in managing change throughout the Project
- Meeting with data exchange partners (key stakeholders) through Interagency Coordination Team to discuss project timelines, requirements, and facilitate updated agreements as needed
- Developing the master project schedule based on CSG quality assurance recommendations



Deloitte Consulting, LLP – Implementation Contractor (System Integrator)

- Began onboarding activities on October 5, 2015
- Developed and delivered five project management plans
- Delivered project implementation plan and schedule (plan accepted by DOJ on December 10, 2015)
- Configured the application life cycle and source code management systems for receipt of the source code and system documentation from transfer states (California, Michigan, New Jersey)
- Standing up "proof of concept" environment to show functionality of base system from California for use in the JAD and JTD sessions



### The Child Support System Project

Oregon's new child support system will be based on current web-interface technology

At the conclusion of this project, Oregon's system will combine the best functionality of three of the newest child support systems in the nation.





### **System Project Schedule**







# System Project2015-2017

#### In process:

- Project schedule and budget baselining
- LFO readiness assessment review
- OSCIO Stage Gate #3 endorsement
- Transfer of application code, system documentation, and technical specifications from CA, MI, NJ
- Proof of concept build

# System Project2015-2017

#### **Future:**

- JAD & JTD sessions (Jan 2016 Aug 2016)
  - Business function
  - Technical design
- System build (Sep 2016 Jul 2017)
- Data conversion (Sep 2016 Jun 2018)
- User acceptance testing (Feb 2018 May 2018)

### **Current Project Budget**

2015-17 DOJ / DCS - System Project PROJECTD EXPENSES	LAB 15-17	Current Projection	Difference*
Personal Services**	3,578,473	4,491,432	912,959
Total Personal Services	3,578,473	4,491,432	912,959
SERVICE AND SUPPLY			
Travel	6,745	42,285	35,540
Training	3,177	32,029	28,852
Office Expenses	53,047	-	(53,047)
Communications	24,621	5,050	(19,571)
Data Processing	3,684,679	412,983	(3,271,696)
Contractors		-	
Professional Services	35,300,676	47,143,154	11,842,478
Rent/Utilities	138,256	-	(138,256)
Expendable Properties	801,392	220,127	(581,265
Other	494,536	4,352	(490,184)
Capital Outlay		3,882,334	3,882,334
DOJ Intraagency Charge	429,059	536,326	107,267
DAS Comp Usage/ Hosting Services	693,000	913,987	220,987
Total Service and Supply	41,629,188	53,192,626	11,563,438
Federal Share	29,997,991	38,070,837	8,072,846
State Share	15,209,670	19,613,221	4,403,551
Total	45,207,661	57,684,058	12,476,397

\* LFO/CIO adjusted original request to include COI, OF was reduced from original request

\*\* New positions are all in LAB at a step 2; current incumbents are at steps significantly higher than step 2.



### **Budget Comparison**

DOJ / DCS System Project	Initial Projection*	Current Projection*	Projection Difference
PERSONAL SERVICES			
Wages / Other Personal Exp / Benefits	\$5,824,035	\$16,848,127	\$11,024,092
Mass Transit	0	\$23,388	\$23,388
Total Personal Services	\$5,824,035	\$16,871,515	\$11,047,480
SERVICE AND SUPPLY			
Travel	\$189,000	\$51,313	-\$137,687
Training	\$0	\$35,224	\$35,224
Office Expenses	\$0	\$0	\$0
Communications	\$0	\$17,608	\$17,608
Data Processing	\$0	\$461,406	\$461,406
Contractors	\$0	\$0	\$0
Professional Services	\$96,740,112	\$93,801,552	-\$2,938,561
Rent/Utilities		\$0	\$0
Expendable Properties	\$4,547,392	\$223,202	-\$4,324,109
Other	\$0	\$5,822	\$5,822
Capital Outlay	\$0	\$3,882,335	\$3,882,335
DOJ Intraagency Charge	\$712,861	\$2,042,174	\$1,329,313
System Hosting	\$1,393,509	\$3,595,122	\$2,201,613
Total Service and Supply	\$103,582,875	\$104,115,758	\$532,883
Total	\$109,406,910	\$120,987,273	\$11,580,363

\*\$1.674 million in preparatory Project costs not included in initial projection or current projection



### **Anticipated Legislative Impacts**

- \$3,086,760 in additional project bond authority
  - Plus \$123,240 cost of issuance, total of \$3,210,000 in bond issuance
  - Shift in existing sale date amounts
- \$12,476,397 in additional expenditure limitation
  - \$4,403,551 Other Funds
  - \$8,072,846 Federal Funds
- Additional 2015-17 debt service, cost of issuance, and loan fee/interest limitation/appropriation totaling \$1,319,617
  - \$123,740 Other Funds
  - \$1,195,877 General Fund



### Thank You

### Questions?

