For further exhibits to Racial Harassment:

See exhibit file:

HB 2479

Also covers HB 2702 and HB 2703

No defamation ^{R6} 4-30-81

In the "Dear Abby" column that the R-G carried on April 20, your headline writer and one of Abby's correspondents eemed to agree that to be publicly alled a homosexual is slanderous.

While being known as gay can still unfairly cost people their jobs, and so fits the definition of slander, it is important to note that "accusing" someone of being gay is in no sense a defamation of character.

Gay people have contributed a great deal to society and to this community. They are no more to be despised than are women who wear scarlet in public, an offense for which the Bible prescribes death.

I commend Representative Hendriksen and Senators Fadeley and Kulongoski for their support of legislation to protect gay people from arbitrary discrimination.

ALAN CONTRERAS 609 E. 14th Ave. Eugene



House Committee on Judiciary Exhibit B, Racial Harassment May 8, 1981 - 1:45 pm 2 Page Exhibit Presented By: Rep. Hendriksen

5124 Royal Avenue Eugene, Oregon 97402

Eugene Police Department City Hall Eugene, Oregon 974___

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

On April 18, 1981, the RG "Mailbag" printed my anti-racism letter (see enclosed).

On April 20, I received the enclosed letter (in the same envelope) in response to my letter.

I am passing the information to your agency in hopes that it may assist your investigation of racial harrassment in Eugene.

Yours truly, Mmig fink Monica Kirk

ENCL

cc: Eugene Human Rights Council Representative Margie Hendricksen Senator Edward Fadeley

APR 21 1981

Monica the most naust people Bol ever met are Blocks, whe they quit I will, My sacise thught me to we more Bund the failing NR exect for shits i Erbreed with b lock a present the world buth min billed pele R aw student at the David Peters' training as a third year ght him the merit yone, for that matter). applies the law and sits in ctive investigation of all nority and non-minority His April 8 letter dismissed in t any other law school (arguably), they minority or non-minority, poor or rich. whether inside or outside the profession revalent at the U of O Law Center than evertheless exist and have t racism is institutionalized inifestations may not be more than one letter returned 2 xceeded the word limitation. the experiences of many Lastly, the R-G should be While racist attitudes and claims of his classmates MONICA KIRK excepting" Mr. Peters letter from Mailbag-Law student, third 50-word in this land some cal me lives. My household has limit for 0 should have thorough facts before law students ц in the sys any more an adverse all of us igment and criticize 01 0 ତ୍ରି theu 30

APR 21 1981

House Committee on Judiciary Exhibit C, Racial Harassment May 8, 1981 - 1:45 pm 1 Page Exhibit Presented By: Rep. Mason

494 State St., Suite 250 Salem, Oregon 97301



The League of Women Voters of Oregon 581-5722

Testimony Supporting HB's 2479, 2702 & 2703 House Judiciary Committee May 8, 1981

The League of Women Voters of Oregon believes that the Oregon Legislature has an interest and an obligation to provide for the peace and safety (and happiness) of Oregonians individually, as well as collectively, as declared in Article 1, Section 1 of the Oregon Constitution.

We support the concept embodied in the proposed measures to better protect every individual's basic rights under law, such as that being considered by this committee. It is our belief that the legislature, as the state's policy-making body, is best able to identify and focus on the problems to strengthen current law concerning intimidating or tormenting individuals.

In determining the scope of the proposed legislation, we favor the inclusion of all who require protection from the forms of intimidation specified in the proposed measures. Any limitation or exclusion will not fulfill the general notion implied in the proposals.

We support fully making individuals who intimidate people be subject to criminal penalties; at the same time, we believe that the widest scope of relief should be available to victims of intimidation. It is our hope, therefore, that a provision for private rights of action be included in the legislation.

In summary, we support establishing a clear policy concerning intimidation of individuals; we favor offering protection from intimidation -- to live in relative peace; and, we support a wide scope of relief for victims of intimidation.

We commend Governor Atiyeb for taking the initiative in proposing the idea; and, we look toward your leadership in determining a thoughtful and an enforceable law.

> Ellen Bombalski Government/Judiciary

Julia K. Murray J.K. Murray Government/Judiciary Chr.

Norma Jean Germond President

House Committee on Judiciary Exhibit D, Racial Harassment May 8, 1981 - 1:45 pm 1 Page Exhibit Presented By: Rep. Mason



GOVERNOR'S COMMISSION FOR WOMEN

-1-16-LABOR AND INDUSTRIES BUILDING, SALEM, OREGON 97310 PHONE (503) 378-6520 790 WINTER STREET, N.E.

To: House Judiciary Committee Representatives: Mason, Rutherford, Bugas, Cohen, Courtney, Hendriksen, Lombard, Springer and Smith

From: Governor's Commission for Women

Date: May 8, 1981

Executive Department

Re: HB 2479, HB 2702 and HB 2703

The Governor's Commission for Women shares the concern of Oregonians about the alarming and increasing incidents of intimidation, harassment and violence towards some citizens by others who are motivated by prejudice and hate.

We support HB 2479 in its effort to address harassment on account of the person's race, color, religion, ancestry or national origin. It is important that the Legislature address specifically the problem that violence is often directed towards a victim solely because of the victim's race or color or religion and to make a strong policy statement that such violence, intimidation and harassment will not be tolerated.

However, equally important is the problem of violence directed towards citizens because of their sexual orientation. The same prejudice and hate which motivates violence against persons who are of a particular color or race or religion also motivates violence against citizens because of sexual orientation. In a free and civilized society, the sense of justice and fairness which motivates us to want to protect persons from the prejudicial acts of others because of color or religion should be no less when the victim of that attack is selected solely because of his/her sexual orientation. Therefore, the Governor's Commission also supports HB 2702 and HB 2703.